

International Human Rights Law (LAWW4112) (M34158)

View Online



1.

Moeckli, D., Shah, S., Sivakumaran, S., Harris, D.J.: International human rights law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

2.

Bisset, A.: Blackstone's international human rights documents. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2018).

3.

Clapham, A.: Human rights: a very short introduction. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2015).

4.

Joseph, S., Castan, M.: The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights: cases, materials, and commentary. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

5.

Tomuschat, C.: Human rights: between idealism and realism. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

6.

Smith, R.K.M.: Textbook on international human rights. Oxford University Press, Oxford

(2015).

7.

Rehman, J.: International human rights law. Longman, Harlow (2010).

8.

Alston, P., Goodman, R., Steiner, H.J.: International human rights: the successor to International human rights in context: laws, politics and morals: text and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

9.

Bantekas, I., Oette, L.: International human rights law and practice. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2016).

10.

Schutter, O. de: International human rights law: cases, materials, commentary. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom (2019).

11.

United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights,
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/Pages/WelcomePage.aspx>.

12.

Universal Human Rights Index of UN Documents, <http://uhri.ohchr.org/>.

13.

Council of Europe, <http://www.coe.int/en/web/portal/home>.

14.

Organisation of American States, <http://www.oas.org/en/default.asp>.

15.

African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, <http://www.achpr.org/>.

16.

African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights, <http://en.african-court.org/>.

17.

International Network for Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, <https://www.escr-net.org/>.

18.

Human Rights Watch, <http://www.hrw.org/>.

19.

Amnesty International, <https://www.amnesty.org/en/>.

20.

University of Minnesota Human Rights Library, <http://www1.umn.edu/humanrts/>.

21.

Bayefsky.com, <http://www.bayefsky.com/>.

22.

CCPR Centre, <http://ccprcentre.org/>.

23.

UPR Info, <https://www.upr-info.org/en>.

24.

ESCR-Net, <https://www.escr-net.org/>.

25.

History. In: International human rights law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

26.

Baxi: Too Many, or Too Few, Human Rights. Human Rights Law Review. 1, (2001).

27.

Alston: Conjuring Up New Human Rights: A Proposal for Quality Control. American Journal of International Law. 78, (1984).

28.

Tomuschat, C.: Human rights: between idealism and realism. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

29.

Alston, P., Goodman, R.: International human rights in context : the successor to international human rights in context : law, politics, morals : text and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

30.

Ishay, M.: The history of human rights: from ancient times to the globalization era. University of California Press, Berkeley, Calif (2008).

31.

Sohn: The New International Law: Protection of the Rights of Individuals Rather Than States. American University Law Review. 32, (1982).

32.

Roberts, C.N.J.: The contentious history of the International Bill of Human Rights. Cambridge University Press, New York (2015).

33.

McCrudden, C.: Human Rights Histories. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 35, 179–212 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqu020>.

34.

Politics. In: International human rights law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2017).

35.

Lauren, P.G.: The evolution of international human rights: visions seen. University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia (1998).

36.

Talking about Human Rights. European Human Rights Law Review. 500–516 (2004).

37.

Five Fables about Human Rights. In: The human rights reader: major political essays, speeches, and documents from ancient times to the present. pp. 392–402. Routledge, New York (2007).

38.

Shestack: The Philosophic Foundations of Human Rights. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 20, (1998).

39.

Dembour: What are Human Rights? Four Schools of Thought. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 32, (2010).

40.

Critiques. In: *International human rights law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2017).

41.

Normative and Theoretical Foundations of Human Rights. In: *Human rights: politics and practice*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

42.

Gearty, C.A., Douzinas, C.: "Framing the project" of international human rights law: reflections on the dysfunctional "family" of the Universal Declaration. In: *The Cambridge companion to human rights law*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

43.

Sources. In: *International human rights law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

44.

The Sources of Human Rights Law: Custom, Jus Cogens, and General Principles. *Australian Yearbook of International Law*. 12, (1988).

45.

Lillich: The Growing Importance of Customary International Human Rights Law. Georgia Journal of International and Comparative Law. 25, (1995).

46.

Shaw, M.N.: International law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014).

47.

Alston, P., Goodman, R., Steiner, H.J.: International human rights: the successor to International human rights in context : laws, politics and morals : text and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

48.

The Legal Premises for the International Protection of Human Rights. In: The reality of international law: essays in honour of Ian Brownlie. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1999).

49.

Roberts: Traditional and Modern Approaches to Customary International Law: A Reconciliation. American Journal of International Law. 95, (2001).

50.

Developing Human Rights Through International Customary Law. In: Implementing human rights: essays in honour of Morten Kjærum. pp. 307–317. Danish Institute for Human Rights, Copenhagen (2007).

51.

Thirlway, H.: Human Rights in Customary Law: An Attempt to Define Some of the Issues. Leiden Journal of International Law. 28, 495–506 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0922156515000266>.

52.

Sohn: The Human Rights Law of the Charter. Texas Journal of International Law. 12, (1977).

53.

Human Rights and the Magic of Jus Cogens. European Journal of International Law. 19, 491–508 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chn026>.

54.

Shelton: Hierarchy of Norms and Human Rights: Of Trumps and Winners. Saskatchewan Law Review. 65, (2002).

55.

Barelli: The Role of Soft Law in the International Legal System: The Case of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. International and Comparative Law Quarterly. 58, (2009).

56.

The Legal Status of Normative Pronouncements of Human Rights Treaty Bodies. (2011).

57.

Nature of Obligations. In: International human rights law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2017).

58.

Human Rights Committee: General Comment No. 31,
http://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=CCPR%2fC%2f21%2fRev.1%2fAdd.13, (26)AD.

59.

Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: General Comment No. 3,
[http://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=E%2f1991%2f23%28SUPP%29,\(14\)AD](http://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=E%2f1991%2f23%28SUPP%29,(14)AD).

60.

The Nature and Scope of States Parties' Obligations under the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Human Rights Quarterly. 9, (1987).

61.

Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: General Comment No. 9,
[http://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=E%2fC.12%2f1998%2f24,\(3\)AD](http://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=E%2fC.12%2f1998%2f24,(3)AD).

62.

Basic Principles and Guidelines on the Right to a Remedy and Reparation for Victims of Gross Violations of International Human Rights Law and Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law, adopted and proclaimed by General Assembly resolution 60/147 of 16 December 2005,
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/RemedyAndReparation.aspx>.

63.

Legal differentiation and the concept of the human rights treaty in international law. European Journal of International Law. 11, 489-519 (2000).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/11.3.489>.

64.

Reciprocity in Human Rights and International Humanitarian Law. The British year book of international law. 65, (1994).

65.

Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: General Comment No. 19 on the Right to Social Security,
http://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=E%2fC.

12%2fGC%2f19.

66.

Young: The Minimum Core of Economic and Social Rights: A Concept in Search of Content. Yale Journal of International Law. 33, (2008).

67.

Koch: Dichotomies, Trichotomies or Waves of Duties? Human Rights Law Review. 5, (2005).

68.

Sepúlveda Carmona, M.M.: The nature of the obligations under the International Covenant on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights. Intersentia, Antwerpen (2003).

69.

Nature of Obligations. In: International human rights law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

70.

A, B AND C v. IRELAND.

71.

Mellet v Ireland (Human Rights Committee).

72.

The Siracusa Principles on the Limitation and Derogation Provisions in the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights. Human Rights Quarterly. 7, (1985).

73.

Limburg Principles on the Implementation of the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights 1986. Human Rights Quarterly. 9, (1987).

74.

The Word 'Laws' in Article 30 of the American Convention on Human Rights, Inter-American Court of Human Rights Advisory Opinion OC-06/86. (9)AD.

75.

Marvellous Richness of Diversity or Invidious Cultural Relativism. Human rights law journal: HRLJ. 19, (1998).

76.

McGoldrick, D.: A DEFENCE OF THE MARGIN OF APPRECIATION AND AN ARGUMENT FOR ITS APPLICATION BY THE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE. International and Comparative Law Quarterly. 65, 21-60 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020589315000457>.

77.

Benvenisti, E.: Margin of Appreciation, Consensus, and Universal Standards. New York University Journal of International Law and Politics. 31, 843-854 (1999).

78.

Arai-Takahashi, Y.: Chapter 19, Proportionality, The Oxford handbook of international human rights law. In: The Oxford handbook of international human rights law. pp. 446-468. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

79.

Brems, E., Lavrysen, L.: 'Don't Use a Sledgehammer to Crack a Nut': Less Restrictive Means in the Case Law of the European Court of Human Rights. Human Rights Law Review. 15, 139-168 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngu040>.

80.

Cosentino, C.: Safe and Legal Abortion: An Emerging Human Right? The Long-lasting Dispute with State Sovereignty in ECHR Jurisprudence. *Human Rights Law Review*. 15, 569–589 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngv013>.

81.

Wicks, E.: A, B, C v Ireland: Abortion Law under the European Convention on Human Rights. *Human Rights Law Review*. 11, 556–566 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngr015>.

82.

Human Rights Committee: General Comment No. 29, http://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=CCPR%2fC%2f21%2fRev.1%2fAdd.11, (31)AD.

83.

A and others v Secretary of State for Home Affairs (Belmarsh Detainees) [2004] UKHL 56.

84.

French Derogation from ECHR, <https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?p=&id=2389255&Site=COE&BackColorInternet=F7F8FB&BackColorIntranet=F7F8FB&BackColorLogged=F7F8FB&direct=true>.

85.

Turkish Derogation from ICCPR, <https://treaties.un.org/doc/Publication/CN/2016/CN.580.2016-Eng.pdf>.

86.

Paris Minimum Standards on Human Rights Norms in a State of Emergency. *American Journal of International Law*. 79, (1985).

87.

From Discretion to Scrutiny: Revisiting the Application of the Margin of Appreciation Doctrine in the Context of Article 15 of the European Convention on Human Rights. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 23, (2001).

88.

Derogations under Human Rights Treaties. *The British year book of international law*. 48, (1976).

89.

Shah, Sangeeta: The UK's Anti-Terror Legislation and the House of Lords: The First Skirmish. *Human Rights Law Review*. 5, (2005).

90.

Shah, Sangeeta: From Westminster to Strasbourg: *A and Others v United Kingdom*. *Human Rights Law Review*. 9, (2009).

91.

Bates, E.: A 'Public Emergency Threatening the Life of the Nation'? The United Kingdom's Derogation from the European Convention on Human Rights of 18 December 2001 and the 'A' Case. *British Yearbook of International Law*. 76, 245–336 (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/bybil/76.1.245>.

92.

Muler, Amrei: Limitations to and Derogations from Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. *Human Rights Law Review*. 9, (2009).

93.

Habeas Corpus in Emergency Situations (Arts 27(2), 25(1) and 7(6) American Convention on Human Rights), Inter-American Court of Human Rights Advisory Opinion OC-8/87.

(30)AD.

94.

International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights,
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/ProfessionalInterest/Pages/CESCR.aspx>.

95.

Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, General Comment 9,
http://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=E%2fC.12%2f1998%2f24&Lang=en.

96.

Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, General Comment No.9, 3 December 1998,
http://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=E%2fC.12%2f1998%2f24&Lang=en.

97.

Nolan, A., Porter, B., Langford, M.: The Justiciability of Social and Economic Rights: An Updated Appraisal.

98.

Mahon, C.: Progress at the Front: The Draft Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Human Rights Law Review. 8, 617–646 (2008).

99.

IDG v Spain (Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights).

100.

Alston, Philip, Quinn, G.: Nature and Scope of States Parties' Obligations under the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, *The Human Rights Quarterly*. 9, (1987).

101.

Moeckli, D., Shah, S., Sivakumaran, S., Harris, D.J.: *International human rights law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

102.

Alston, P., Goodman, R., Steiner, H.J.: *International human rights: the successor to International human rights in context: laws, politics and morals: text and materials*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

103.

de Albuquerque, C., Langford, M.: The Origins of the Optional Protocol. In: Langford, M. (ed.) *The Optional Protocol to the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: A Commentary* - Pretoria University Law Press (PULP). pp. 17-37.

104.

Law Journal Library Nordic Journal of Human Rights - HeinOnline.org,
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Index?index=journals%2Fnorjhr&collection=journals>.

105.

Rehman, J.: *International human rights law*. Longman, Harlow (2010).

106.

Langford, M.: *Social rights jurisprudence: emerging trends in international and comparative law*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2008).

107.

Pieterse, M.: Coming to Terms with Judicial Enforcement of Socio-Economic Rights. South African Journal on Human Rights. 20, 383–417 (2004).

108.

Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, General Comment 4 (1991), http://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=INT%2fCESCR%2fGEC%2f4759&Lang=en.

109.

Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, General Comment No 7 (1997), http://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=INT%2fCESCR%2fGEC%2f6430&Lang=en.

110.

Special Rapporteur on the right to adequate housing as a component of the right to an adequate standard of living, UN Doc. A/67/286 (10 August 2012), <http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Issues/Housing/A-67-286.pdf>.

111.

Grenfell Tower is a terrible betrayal of human rights | Leilani Farha | Housing Network | The Guardian, <https://www.theguardian.com/housing-network/2017/jun/21/grenfell-tower-terrible-betrayal--human-rights-uk-government>.

112.

Hohmann, J.: Chapter 10, Principles, Politics and Practice: The Role of UN Special Rapporteurs on the Right to Adequate Housing in the Development of the Right to Housing in International Law. In: The United Nations special procedures system. pp. 271–296. Brill Nijhoff, Leiden (2017).

113.

Special Rapporteur on the right to adequate housing as a component of the right to an adequate standard of living, UN Doc. A/HRC/34/51 (18 January 2017),

http://ap.ohchr.org/documents/dpage_e.aspx?si=A/HRC/34/51.

114.

Hohmann, J.: The right to housing: law, concepts, possibilities. Hart, Oxford (2013).

115.

OHCHR | Annual Reports - Adequate housing,
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/Issues/Housing/Pages/AnnualReports.aspx>.

116.

Nolan, A.: 'Aggravated Violations', Roma Housing Rights and Forced Expulsions in Italy: Recent Developments under the European Social Charter Collective Complaints System. Human Rights Law Review. 11, 343–361 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngr013>.

117.

Government of the Republic of South Africa and Others v Grootboom and Others (CCT11/00) [2000] ZACC 19; 2001 (1) SA 46; 2000 (11) BCLR 1169 (4 October 2000).

118.

United Nations. In: International human rights law. pp. 375–387. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

119.

Human Rights Committee: General Comment 24,
http://tbinternet.ohchr.org/_layouts/treatybodyexternal/Download.aspx?symbolno=CCPR%2fC%2f21%2fRev.1%2fAdd.6.

120.

Harris, D.J.: Extract from Chapter 10, Section 3. The making of treaties. In: Cases and materials on international law. pp. 667–669. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2015).

121.

Alston, P., Goodman, R., Steiner, H.J.: Report of the USA to the Human Rights Committee and Concluding Observations of the Committee,
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=a8279300-ed1d-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>,
(2013).

122.

General Assembly Resolution 68/268.

123.

Human Rights Treaty Bodies, <http://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/Pages/TreatyBodies.aspx>.

124.

Alston, P.: Chapter 9. Treaty Bodies: The ICCPR Human Rights Committee. In: International human rights: the successor to International human rights in context : laws, politics and morals : text and materials. pp. 768–787. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

125.

Final Report on enhancing the long-term effectiveness of the United Nations human rights treaty system, E/CN.4/1997/74,
<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/Pages/DocumentsSystem.aspx>, (27)AD.

126.

Rodley, Nigel: United Nations Human Rights Treaty Bodies and Special Procedures of the Commission on Human Rights - Complementarity or Competition. Human Rights Quarterly. 25, (2003).

127.

O'Flaherty, Michael: The Concluding Observations of United Nations Human Rights Treaty

Bodies. Human Rights Law Review. 6, (2006).

128.

Rodley, N.: The Role and Impact of Treaty Bodies. In: The Oxford handbook of international human rights law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

129.

Trechsel, Stefan: A World Court for Human Rights. Northwestern University Journal of International Human Rights. 1, (2003).

130.

The Historical Origins of the Concept of "General Comments" in Human Rights Law. In: The international legal system in quest of equity and universality =: L'ordre juridique international, un système en quête d'équité et universalité : liber amicorum Georges Abi-Saab. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, The Hague (2001).

131.

Concept Paper on the High Commissioner's Proposal for a Unified Standing Treaty Body, HRI/MC/2006/2, <http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/icm-mc/docs/HRI.MC.2006.2.pdf>, (22)AD.

132.

O'Flaherty, Michael: Reform of UN Human Rights Treaty Monitoring Bodies: A Critique of the Concept Paper on the High Commissioner's Proposal for a Unified Standing Treaty Body. Human Rights Law Review. 7, (2007).

133.

Johnstone, Rachael Lorna: Cynical Savings or Reasonable Reform - Reflections on a Single Unified UN Human Rights Treaty Body. Human Rights Law Review. 7, (2007).

134.

Nowak, Manfred: The Need for a World Court of Human Rights. Human Rights Law Review. 7, (2007).

135.

Alston, P., Crawford, J.: The future of UN human rights treaty monitoring. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000).

136.

Bayefsky, A.F.: The UN human rights treaty system in the 21st century. Kluwer Law International, The Hague (2000).

137.

O'Flaherty, M.: Human rights and the UN: practice before the treaty bodies. M. Nijhoff Publishers, The Hague (2002).

138.

Keller, H., Ulfstein, G., Grover, L.: UN human rights treaty bodies: law and legitimacy. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

139.

Conte, Alex: Defining Civil and Political Rights. Ashgate Publishing Group (2009).

140.

Phuong, Catherine: The Relationship between the European Court of Human Rights and the Human Rights Committee: Has the Same Matter Already Been Examined. Human Rights Law Review. 7, (2007).

141.

Joseph, S., Castan, M.: The International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights: cases, materials, and commentary. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

142.

United Nations. In: International human rights law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

143.

Alston, P.: Chapter 8, The United Nations Human Rights System. B The UN Human Rights Council. In: International human rights: the successor to International human rights in context : laws, politics and morals : text and materials. pp. 694–737. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

144.

UK's Universal Periodic Review – Annex Document, September 2012, A/HRC.21/9 Add.1, http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/HRBodies/HRCouncil/RegularSession/Session21/A.HRC.21.9.Add.1_en.pdf.

145.

Alston, P.: The United Nations and human rights: a critical appraisal. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1992).

146.

Gaer, F.D., Broecker, C.L.: The United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights: Conscience for the World. BRILL, Boston (2013).

147.

Piccone, T.: Catalysts for Change: How the U. N. 's Independent Experts Promote Human Rights. Brookings Institution Press, Blue Ridge Summit (2012).

148.

Nolan, A., Freedman, R., Murphy, T.: The United Nations special procedures system. Brill Nijhoff, Leiden (2017).

149.

Freedman, R.: The United Nations Human Rights Council: a critique and early assessment. Routledge, Abingdon (2013).

150.

Alfredsson, G.: International human rights monitoring mechanisms: essays in honour of Jakob Th. Möller. Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, The Hague (2001).

151.

Alston, Philip: Neither Fish nor Fowl: The Quest to Define the Role of the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights. European Journal of International Law. 8, (1997).

152.

Lauren, Paul Gordon: To Preserve and Build on Its Achievements and to Redress Its Shortcomings: The Journey from the Commission on Human Rights to the Human Rights Council. Human Rights Quarterly. 29, (2007).

153.

The United Nations Human Rights Council: Origins, Antecedents, and Prospects. In: New institutions for human rights protection. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

154.

Developments at the Human Rights Council in 2007: A Reflection of Its Ambivalence. Human Rights Law Review. 8, (2008).

155.

Alston, Philip: Promoting the Accountability of Members of the New UN Human Rights Council. Journal of Transnational Law and Policy. 15, (2005).

156.

Abebe, Allehone Mulugeta: Of Shaming and Bargaining: African States and the Universal Periodic Review of the United Nations Human Rights Council. *Human Rights Law Review*. 9, (2009).

157.

Sweeney, Gareth: An NGO Assessment of the New Mechanisms of the UN Human Rights Council. *Human Rights Law Review*. 9, (2009).

158.

Scannella, Patrizia: United Nations Human Rights Council: A Promise to be Fulfilled. *Human Rights Law Review*. 7, (2007).

159.

Alston, Philip: Reconceiving the UN Human Rights Regime: Challenges Confronting the New UN Human Rights Council. *Melbourne Journal of International Law*. 7, (2006).

160.

Reforming the UN Human Rights Protection Procedures: A Legal Perspective on the Establishment of the Universal Periodic Review Mechanism. In: *New institutions for human rights protection*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

161.

United Nations Charter-Based Protection of Human Rights. In: *United Nations charter-based protection of human rights*. Åbo Akademi University Institute for Human Rights, Turku/Åbo (2009).

162.

Gutter, Jeroen: Special Procedures and the Human Rights Council: Achievements and Challenges Ahead. *Human Rights Law Review*. 7, (2007).

163.

Boyle, K.: The United Nations Human Rights Council, Its Special Procedures, and its Relationship with the Treaty Bodies: Complementarity or Competition? In: New institutions for human rights protection. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

164.

Flynn, E.J.: The Security Council's Counter-Terrorism Committee and Human Rights. Human Rights Law Review. 7, (2007).

165.

Ramirez Reyes, S.: CANTÚ RIVERA, Humberto (ed.), The Special Procedures of the Human Rights Council. CANTÚ RIVERA, Humberto (ed.), The Special Procedures of the Human Rights Council. 17, 839–843 (2017).

166.

Moeckli, D., Shah, S., Sivakumaran, S., Harris, D.J.: International human rights law. Presented at the (2014).

167.

Moeckli, D., Shah, S., Sivakumaran, S., Harris, D.J.: International human rights law. Presented at the (2014).

168.

Steiner, Alston and Goodman (eds), International Human Rights in Context. Law, Politics and Morals. Presented at the .

169.

Shelton, D., Wright-Carozza, P.: Regional protection of human rights. Oxford University Press, New York (2013).

170.

Helper, Laurence R.: Forum Shopping for Human Rights. *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*. 148, (1999).

171.

Gearty, C.A., Douzinas, C.: *The Cambridge companion to human rights law*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).

172.

Heyns, C., Killander, M.: Universality and the Growth of Regional Systems. In: *The Oxford handbook of international human rights law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

173.

Saunders, Pamela Quinn: *Integrated Enforcement of Human Rights, The*. New York University Journal of International Law and Politics. 45, (2012).

174.

Walker, S.: Chapter 16: International Human Rights Law: Towards Pluralism or Harmony? The Opportunities and Challenges of Coexistence: The View from the UN Treaty Bodies. In: *Towards convergence in international human rights law: approaches of regional and international systems*. pp. 493–515. Brill Nijhoff, Leiden (2017).

175.

Frans Viljoen and Lurette Louw: State Compliance with the Recommendations of the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, 1994-2004. *The American Journal of International Law*. 101, 1–34 (2007).

176.

Huneeus, A.V., Madsen, M.R.: Between Universalism and Regional Law and Politics: A Comparative History of the American, European and African Human Rights Systems. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. (2017). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2976318>.

177.

Viljoen, F.: The Relevance of the Inter-American Human Rights System for Africa. *African Journal of International and Comparative Law*. (1999).

178.

Neuman, G.L.: Import, Export, and Regional Consent in the Inter-American Court of Human Rights. *European Journal of International Law*. 19, 101–123 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chn002>.

179.

Farer, T.J.: The Rise of the Inter-American Human Rights Regime: No Longer a Unicorn, Not Yet an Ox. *Human Rights Quarterly*. 19, 510–546 (1997).
<https://doi.org/10.1353/hrq.1997.0025>.

180.

Grossman, C.: The Inter-American System of Human Rights: Challenges for the Future. *Indiana Law Journal*. (2008).

181.

Shah, S.: Detention and Trial. In: *International human rights law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

182.

Human Rights Committee, General Comment 35.

183.

Human Rights Committee, General Comment 32.

184.

UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention Opinion No 54/2015. (22)AD.

185.

Rodley, N.S., Pollard, M., Oxford University Press: The treatment of prisoners under international law. Oxford University Press, New York (2015).

186.

Udombana, N. J.: The African Commission on Human and People's Rights and the Development of Fair Trial Norms in Africa. African Human Rights Law Journal. (2006).

187.

Inter-American Commission, Report on the Use of Pretrial Detention in the Americas, <http://www.oas.org/en/iachr/pdl/reports/pdfs/Report-PD-2013-en.pdf>.

188.

UN Working Group on Arbitrary Detention, Deliberation No 9 concerning the definition and scope of arbitrary detention in customary international law (2013), <https://documents-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/G12/189/35/PDF/G1218935.pdf?OpenElement>.

189.

Weissbrodt, D.S., Mitchell, B.: The United Nations Working Group on Arbitrary Detention: Procedures and Summary of Jurisprudence. Human Rights Quarterly. 38, 655–705 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1353/hrq.2016.0047>.

190.

Weissbrodt, D.S., Hallendorff, M.: Travaux Préparatoires of the Fair Trial Provisions -- Articles 8 to 11 -- of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Human Rights Quarterly. 21, 1061–1096 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1353/hrq.1999.0055>.

191.

Droege, C.: The Interplay between International Humanitarian Law and International Human Rights Law in Situations of Armed Conflict. *Israel Law Review*. (2007).

192.

Serdar Mohammed v. MoD.

193.

HASSAN v. THE UNITED KINGDOM.

194.

Milanovic, Marko: Norm Conflicts, International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights Law.

195.

Milanovic, Marko: The Lost Origins of Lex Specialis: Rethinking the Relationship between Human Rights and International Humanitarian Law.

196.

Milanovic, Marko: Extraterritorial Derogations from Human Rights Treaties in Armed Conflict.

197.

Krieger, H.: A Conflict of Norms: The Relationship Between Humanitarian Law and Human Rights Law in the ICRC Customary Law Study. *Journal of Conflict and Security Law*. 11, 265–291 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcsl/krl011>.

198.

Provost, R., ebrary, Inc: International human rights and humanitarian law. Cambridge

University Press, Cambridge, UK (2002).

199.

Abresch, W.: A Human Rights Law of Internal Armed Conflict: The European Court of Human Rights in Chechnya. *European Journal of International Law*. 16, 741–767 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chi139>.

200.

Doswald-Beck, L., Vité, S.: International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights Law. *International Review of the Red Cross*. 33, (1993).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020860400071539>.

201.

Ben Naftali, O., Oxford University Press: International humanitarian law and international human rights law: pas de deux. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

202.

Boyle, K., Shah, S.: chapter 11, Thought, Expression, Association and Assembly. In: *International human rights law*. pp. 217–237. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

203.

Robert Faurisson v. France, Communication No. 550/1993 , U.N. Doc. CCPR/C/58/D/550/1993(1996).

204.

Human Rights Committee General Comment 34.

205.

Schauer, F.: The Exceptional First Amendment. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.668543>.

206.

Alston, P., Goodman, R., Steiner, H.J.: International human rights: the successor to International human rights in context: laws, politics and morals: text and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2013).

207.

Barendt, E.M.: Freedom of speech. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2005).

208.

The Inter-American Court of Human Rights and the European Court of Human Rights: a dialogue on freedom of expression standards. European Human Rights Law Review. (2009).

209.

Hare, I., Weinstein, J., Oxford University Press: Extreme speech and democracy. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

210.

Keller, H., Sigron, M.: State Security v Freedom of Expression: Legitimate Fight against Terrorism or Suppression of Political Opposition? Human Rights Law Review. 10, 151–168 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngp041>.

211.

Keun-Tae Kim (represented by Mr.Yong Whan Cho, Duksu Law Offices, in Seoul) v. Republic of Korea, Communication No 574/1994 CCPR/C/64/D/574/1994(4 January 1999).

212.

ANIMAL DEFENDERS INTERNATIONAL v. THE UNITED KINGDOM.

213.

Moeckli, D., Shah, S., Sivakumaran, S., Harris, D.J.: International human rights law. Presented at the (2014).

214.

SCHALK AND KOPF v. AUSTRIA.

215.

Obergefell v Hodges.

216.

Human Rights Committee General Comment 18.

217.

Arieh Hollis Waldman (Initially represented by Mr. Raj Anand from Scott & Aylen, a law firm in Toronto, Ontario) v. Canada, Communication No. 694/1996, U.N. Doc. CCPR/C/67/D/694/1996 (5 November 1999).

218.

Jacobs v Belgium.

219.

ABDULAZIZ, CABALES AND BALKANDALI v. THE UNITED KINGDOM.

220.

THLIMMENOS v. GREECE.

221.

TIMISHEV v. RUSSIA.

222.

D.H. AND OTHERS v. THE CZECH REPUBLIC.

223.

SEJDIĆ AND FINCI v. BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA.

224.

MATHIEU-MOHIN AND CLERFAYT v. BELGIUM.

225.

GLOR v. SWITZERLAND.

226.

S.L. v. AUSTRIA.

227.

EJIL: Talk! – Living Instruments, Judicial Impotence, and the Trajectories of Gay Rights in Europe and in the United States,
<https://www.ejiltalk.org/living-instruments-judicial-impotence-and-the-trajectories-of-gay-rights-in-europe-and-in-the-united-states/>.

228.

The Concepts of Equality and Non-Discrimination in Europe: A Practical Approach,
<http://ec.europa.eu/social/BlobServlet?docId=4553>.

229.

Young v Australia.

230.

Moeckli, D., Shah, S., Sivakumaran, S., Harris, D.J.: International human rights law. Presented at the (2014).

231.

Freeman, M.A., Chinkin, C.M., Rudolf, B., MyiLibrary: The UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women: a commentary. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

232.

CEDAW General Recommendation 25.

233.

Charlesworth, H.: Not Waving but Drowning: Gender Mainstreaming and Human Rights in the United Nations. Harvard Human Rights Journal. (2005).

234.

Johnstone, R.: Feminist Influences on the United Nations Human Rights Treaty Bodies. Human Rights Quarterly. (2006).

235.

Nussbaum, M.C.: Women's Progress and Women's Human Rights. Human Rights Quarterly. 38, 589–622 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1353/hrq.2016.0043>.

236.

Charlesworth, H.; Chinkin, C.; Wright, S.: Feminist Approaches to International Law. American Journal of International Law. (1991).

237.

Byrnes, A.; Bath, E.: Violence against Women, the Obligation of Due Diligence, and the Optional Protocol to the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women - Recent Developments. Human Rights Law Review. (2008).

238.

Brems, E.: Enemies or Allies - Feminism and Cultural Relativism as Dissident Voices in Human Rights Discourse. Human Rights Quarterly. (1997).

239.

Bond, J. E.: International Intersectionality: A Theoretical and Pragmatic Exploration of Women's International Human Rights Violations. Emory Law Journal. (2003).

240.

Schopp-Schilling, H.: Treaty Body Reform: The Case of the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women. Human Rights Law Review. (2007).

241.

Charlesworth, H.: What are Women's International Human Rights? In: Human rights of women: national and international perspectives. University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia (1994).

242.

CESCR General Comment 16.

243.

ILC Articles on State Responsibility 2001,

http://legal.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/draft_articles/9_6_2001.pdf.

244.

Joseph, S., Fletcher, A.: Scope of Application. In: International human rights law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2014).

245.

BANKOVIĆ AND OTHERS v. BELGIUM AND OTHERS.

246.

AL-SKEINI AND OTHERS v. THE UNITED KINGDOM.

247.

Coomans, F., Kamminga, M.T.: Extraterritorial application of human rights treaties. Intersentia, Antwerp (2004).

248.

Gondek, M.: The reach of human rights in a globalising world: extraterritorial application of human rights treaties. Intersentia, Antwerp (2009).

249.

Milanovic, M.: From Compromise to Principle: Clarifying the Concept of State Jurisdiction in Human Rights Treaties. Human Rights Law Review. 8, 411–448 (2008).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/hrlr/ngn021>.

250.

Milanovic, M., Oxford University Press: Extraterritorial application of human rights treaties: law, principles and policy. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2011).

251.

Milanovic, M.: Al-Skeini and Al-Jedda in Strasbourg. *European Journal of International Law*. 23, 121–139 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1093/ejil/chr102>.

252.

Thienel, T.: The ECHR in Iraq: The Judgment of the House of Lords in *R (Al-Skeini) v. Secretary of State for Defence*. *Journal of International Criminal Justice*. 6, 115–128 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jicj/mqm077>.

253.

AL-SAADON AND MUFDHI v. THE UNITED KINGDOM.

254.

Legal Consequences of the Construction of a Wall in the Occupied Palestinian Territory | International Court of Justice.

255.

Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (Democratic Republic of the Congo v. Uganda) | International Court of Justice.

256.

MEDVEDYEV AND OTHERS v. FRANCE.

257.

EJIL: Talk! – UK Supreme Court Decides *Smith (No. 2) v. The Ministry of Defence*, <https://www.ejiltalk.org/uk-supreme-court-decides-smith-no-2-v-the-ministry-of-defence/>.

258.

JALOUUD v. THE NETHERLANDS.

259.

CHIRAGOV AND OTHERS v. ARMENIA.

260.

SARGSYAN v. AZERBAIJAN.

261.

EJIL: Talk! – Extraterritorial Application Archive,
<https://www.ejiltalk.org/category/human-rights/extraterritorial-application/>.

262.

Wilde, R.: Triggering State Obligations Extraterritorially: The Spatial Test in Certain Human Rights Treaties. Israel Law Review. (2007).

263.

GA Resolution 68/167 (18 December 2013),
<https://ccdcoe.org/sites/default/files/documents/UN-131218-RightToPrivacy.pdf>.

264.

Human Rights Committee General Comment 16.

265.

ROMAN ZAKHAROV v. RUSSIA.

266.

International Principles for the Application of Human Rights to Communication Surveillance, <https://www.eff.org/files/necessaryandproportionatefinal.pdf>.

267.

OHCHR Report on the Right to Privacy in the Digital Age,
http://www.ohchr.org/en/hrbodies/hrc/regularsessions/session27/documents/a.hrc.27.37_en.pdf.

268.

Deeks, A.: An International Legal Framework for Surveillance. Virginia Journal of International Law. (2015).

269.

Margulies, P.: The NSA in Global Perspective: Surveillance, Human Rights, and International Counterterrorism. Fordham Law Review. (2014).

270.

Milanovic, M.: Human Rights Treaties and Foreign Surveillance: Privacy in the Digital Age. Harvard International Law Journal. (2015).

271.

EJIL: Talk! – ECHR Jurisdiction and Mass Surveillance: Scrutinising the UK Investigatory Power Tribunal's Recent Ruling,
<https://www.ejiltalk.org/echr-jurisdiction-and-mass-surveillance-scrutinising-the-uk-investigatory-power-tribunals-recent-ruling/>.

272.

EJIL: Talk! – First Report of the UN Special Rapporteur on the Right to Privacy to the Human Rights Council,
<https://www.ejiltalk.org/first-report-of-the-un-special-rapporteur-on-the-right-to-privacy-to-the-human-rights-council/>.

273.

Update on the "Snooper's Charter" | Just Security,
<https://www.justsecurity.org/30230/updates-snoopers-charter-second-reading/>.