

M34118: Advanced Copyright and Design Law

[View Online](#)

1.

Christie, A.: Blackstone's statutes on intellectual property, 16th ed. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2023).

2.

Bently, L., Sherman, B.: Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2018).

3.

Cornish, W.R., Llewelyn, D., Aplin, T.F.: Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trademarks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

4.

Davis, J.: Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

5.

Waelde, C., Laurie, G., Brown, A., Kheria, S., Cornwell, J.: Contemporary intellectual property: law and policy. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

6.

Lewinski, S. von: International copyright law and policy. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

7.

Aplin, T.F., Davis, J.: Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2017).

8.

Cornish, W.R.: Cases and materials on intellectual property. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2006).

9.

European Union Law, <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/>.

10.

United Kingdom Chancery Div. and CA decisions,
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/hm-courts-and-tribunals-service>.

11.

Cases in all United Kingdom Courts, but only from 1996,
<http://www.bailii.org/databases.html#ew>.

12.

Courts of the European Union cases, <http://curia.europa.eu/>.

13.

Garnett, K.M., Davies, G., Harbottle, G., Copinger, W.A., Skone James, E.P.: Copinger and Skone James on copyright. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2017).

14.

Dworkin, G., Taylor, R.D.: Blackstone's guide to the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act

1988: the law of copyright and related rights. Blackstone, London (1989).

15.

Laddie, H.I.L., Prescott, P., Vitoria, M.: The modern law of copyright and designs. LexisNexis, London (2018).

16.

The Berne Convention 1886., http://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/text.jsp?file_id=283698.

17.

The Universal Copyright Convention.,
http://portal.unesco.org/en/ev.php-URL_ID=15381&URL_DO=DO_TOPIC&URL_SECTION=201.html.

18.

The WIPO Copyright Treaty 1996., http://www.wipo.int/treaties/en/text.jsp?file_id=295166.

19.

The TRIPs Agreement, https://www.wto.org/english/docs_e/legal_e/31bis_trips_01_e.htm.

20.

The Beijing Treaty on Audiovisual Performances 2012,
http://www.wipo.int/edocs/mdocs/copyright/en/avp_dc/avp_dc_20.pdf.

21.

Marrakesh Treaty to Facilitate Access to Published Works For Persons Who Are Blind, Visually Impaired, or otherwise Print Disabled 2013,
http://www.wipo.int/meetings/en/doc_details.jsp?doc_id=245323.

22.

Ricketson, S., Ginsburg, J.C.: International copyright and neighbouring rights: the Berne Convention and beyond. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2022).

23.

Council Directive 91/250/EEC on the legal protection of computer programs [1991] OJ L 122/42, codified by Directive 2009/24/EC,
<http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32009L0024>.

24.

Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988,
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1988/48/contents>.

25.

*Computer Associates v Altai, 982 F. 2d 693 (2nd Cir. 1992).

26.

*Case C 406/10, SAS Institute v World Programming available on curia.europa.eu (2012).

27.

Torremans, P., Holyoak, J.: Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

28.

Derclaye, E.: Software copyright protection: can Europe learn from American case law? Part 1. European Intellectual Property Review. 7-16 (2000).

29.

Derclaye, E.: Software copyright protection: can Europe learn from American case law? Part 2. European Intellectual Property Review. 56–68 (2000).

30.

Weston, S.: Software interfaces - stuck in the middle: the relationship between the law and software interfaces in regulating and encouraging interoperability. IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. 427–450 (2012).

31.

Torremans, P., Holyoak, J.: Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

32.

Derclaye, E.: The database directive. In: EU copyright law : a commentary. pp. 298–354. Edward Elgar Publishing (2014).

33.

Derclaye, E.: The legal protection of databases: a comparative analysis. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham (2008).

34.

Derclaye, E.: Football Dataco: skill and labour is dead! | Kluwer Copyright Blog, <http://kluwercopyrightblog.com/2012/03/01/football-dataco-skill-and-labour-is-dead/>.

35.

WIPO - World Intellectual Property Organization, <http://www.wipo.int/portal/en/>.

36.

Torremans, P., Holyoak, J.: Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

37.

Cornish, W.R., Llewelyn, D., Aplin, T.F.: Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trademarks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell, London (2013).

38.

Aplin, T.F.: Copyright law in the digital society: the challenges of multimedia. Hart, Oxford (2005).

39.

US copyright act, <http://www.copyright.gov/title17/>.

40.

Sony Corp. of America v. Universal City Studios, Inc., 464 U.S. 417, 435, 104 S.Ct. 774, 78 L.Ed.2d 574 (1984)).

41.

A & M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc., 239 F.3d 1004, 1013 n. 2 (9th Cir.2001).

42.

*Productores de Musica de Espana (Promusicae) v Telefonica de Espana SAU (C-275/06) [2008] All E.R. (EC) 809 (ECJ).

43.

Akester, P.: Chapter 3 Peer to Peer, from: A Practical Guide to Digital Copyright Law. Presented at the (2008).

44.

Dixon, A.: Liability of Users and Third Parties for Copyright Infringements on the Internet:

Overview of International Developments. In: Peer-to-peer file sharing and secondary liability in copyright law. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham (2009).

45.

*Metix v Maughan [1997] FSR 718.

46.

*Creation Records Ltd and Others v News Group Newspapers Ltd [1997] EMLR 444.

47.

*Lucasfilm v Ainsworth [2008] EWHC 1878 (Ch).

48.

[2011] UKSC 39.

49.

*George Hensher Ltd v Restawile Upholstery (Lancs) Ltd [1975] RPC 31 (HL).

50.

*Merlet v Mothercare [1986] RPC 115 (CA).

51.

Shelley v Rex Features [1994] EMLR 134.

52.

*Vermaat v Boncrest [2001] FSR 43.

53.

Guild v Eskandar [2001] FSR 38 (ChD).

54.

*Lucasfilm v Ainsworth [2008] EWHC 1878 (Ch).

55.

Mackie Designs Inc v Behringer Specialised Studio Equipment (UK) Ltd & Others [1999] RPC 717.

56.

BBC Worldwide Ltd v Pall Screen Painting Ltd and Others [1998] FSR 665.

57.

*Lambretta Clothing Co v Teddy Smith [2004] EWCA Civ 886 (CA).

58.

*Flashing Badge Co Ltd v Groves [2007] EWHC 1372 (Ch); [2007] F.S.R. 36, Ch D.

59.

Torremans, P., Holyoak, J.: Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

60.

Derclaye, E.: Flashing Badge v Groves: A step forward in the clarification of the copyright/design interface. European Intellectual Property Review. 251–254 (2008).

61.

Christie, A.: The UK design copyright exemption. European Intellectual Property Review. (1989).

62.

Bently, L.: The return of industrial copyright? European Intellectual Property Review. (2012).

63.

Torremans, P., Holyoak, J.: Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

64.

Saez, V.: The unregistered Community design. European Intellectual Property Review. 585–590 (2002).

65.

Musker, D.: Hidden meaning? UK perspectives on invisible in use designs. European Intellectual Property Review. 450–455 (2003).

66.

Cornwell, J.: Dyson and Samsung compared: functionality and aesthetics in the design infringement analysis. European intellectual property review. (2013).

67.

Geerts, P.: The informed user in design law: what should he compare and how should he make the comparison? European Intellectual Property Review. 36, (2014).

68.

*Procter & Gamble Company v Reckitt Benckiser (UK) Ltd [2007] EWCA Civ 936 (CA).

69.

Bailey (t/a Elite Angling products) v Haynes (t/a RAGS) [2007] FSR 10.

70.

Green Lane Products v. PMS International [2008] EWCA Civ 358 (CA).

71.

*Dyson v Vax [2010] FSR 39.

72.

[2012] FSR 4.

73.

*Samsung Electronics v Apple [2012] EWHC 1882 (Pat).

74.

[2012] EWCA Civ 1339 (CA).

75.

*BMW v. Round and Metal Ltd and P. Gross [2012] EWHC 2099 (Pat).

76.

Gimex International v The Chill Bag Company et al. [2012] EWPCC 31.

77.

Celaya Emparanza y Galdos Internacional (CEGASA) v Proyectos Integrales de Balizamiento (PROIN), Case C-488/10 (2012).

78.

Magmatic v PMS International [2013] EWHC 1925.

79.

[2014] EWCA Civ 181.

80.

Case C 345/13, Karen Millen Fashions v Dunnes stores [2014] ECR I-000.

81.

Massa, C., Strowel, A.: Community design: Cinderella revamped. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 68–78 (2003).

82.

Schlotelburg, M.: The Community design: first experience with registrations. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 383–387 (2003).

83.

Wilkinson, D.: Case closed: functional designs protected by design right. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 118–122 (2007).

84.

Derclaye, E.: Are Fashion Designers Better Protected in Continental Europe than in the United Kingdom? A Comparative Analysis of the Recent Case Law in France, Italy and the United Kingdom. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 13, 315–365 (2009).
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2009.00389.x>.

85.

*C&H Engineering v Klucznik & Sons [1992] FSR 421.

86.

Benjamin, J.M.: Unregistered design right (Case comment on Amoena v Trulife [1995] EIPR D-346). European Intellectual Property Review. 17, (1995).

87.

Ocular Sciences v AVCL [1997] RPC 289.

88.

Parker v Tidball [1997] FSR 680.

89.

Electronic Techniques (Anglia) Ltd v Critchley Components Ltd [1997] FSR 401.

90.

*Mark Wilkinson v Woodcraft Designs [1998] FSR 63.

91.

*Farmers Build Ltd v Carrier Bulk Materials [1999] RPC 461 (CA).

92.

Baby Dan AS v Brevi SRL [1999] FSR 377.

93.

Jo-Y-Jo Ltd v Matalan Retail Ltd [2000] ECDR 178.

94.

A. Fulton Co Ltd v Grant Barnett & Co Ltd [2001] RPC 16 (Ch D).

95.

Guild v Eskandar Ltd [2001] FSR 38 (ChD).

96.

Guild v Eskandar Ltd [2003] FSR 3 (CA).

97.

*L Woolley Jewellers Ltd v A&A Jewellery Ltd [2003] FSR 15 (CA).

98.

Harris, M.: Unregistered design right: nature of reproduction required for infringement - comparison with copyright - hedgehogs and foxes (Case comment on L Woolley Jewellers Ltd v A&A Jewellery Ltd [2003] FSR 15). European Intellectual Property Review. 24, (2002).

99.

*Lambretta Clothing Co v Teddy Smith [2004] EWCA Civ 886 (CA).

100.

Dyson Ltd v Qualtex (UK) Ltd [2006] RPC 31.

101.

Landor & Hawa International Ltd v Azure Designs Ltd [2006] FSR 22.

102.

[2007] FSR 9.

103.

Clinisupplies v K. Park et al [2012] EWHC 3453.

104.

Sealed Air v Sharp Interpack et al [2013] EWPCC 23.

105.

Torremans, P., Holyoak, J.: Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2016).

106.

Derclaye, E.: Are Fashion Designers Better Protected in Continental Europe than in the United Kingdom? A Comparative Analysis of the Recent Case Law in France, Italy and the United Kingdom. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 13, 315–365 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2009.00389.x>.

107.

Derclaye, E.: A Decade of Registered and Unregistered Design Rights Decisions in the UK: What Conclusions Can We Draw for the Future of Both Types of Rights? *IP Theory*. 3, 144–157 (2013).