

M34118: Advanced Copyright and Design Law

[View Online](#)

[1]

Akester, P. 2008. Chapter 3 Peer to Peer, from: A Practical Guide to Digital Copyright Law. Sweet and Maxwell. 39–77.

[2]

Aplin, T.F. 2005. Copyright law in the digital society: the challenges of multimedia. Hart.

[3]

Aplin, T.F. and Davis, J. 2017. Intellectual property law: text, cases, and materials. Oxford University Press.

[4]

Benjamin, J.M. 1995. Unregistered design right (Case comment on *Amoena v Trulife* [1995] EIPR D-346). *European Intellectual Property Review*. 17, 12 (1995).

[5]

Bently, L. 2012. The return of industrial copyright? *European Intellectual Property Review*. (2012).

[6]

Bently, L. and Sherman, B. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[7]

Cases in all United Kingdom Courts, but only from 1996:
<http://www.bailii.org/databases.html#ew>.

[8]

Christie, A. 2023. Blackstone's statutes on intellectual property, 16th ed. Oxford University Press.

[9]

Christie, A. 1989. The UK design copyright exemption. European Intellectual Property Review. (1989).

[10]

Cornish, W.R. 2006. Cases and materials on intellectual property. Sweet & Maxwell.

[11]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trademarks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell.

[12]

Cornish, W.R. et al. 2013. Intellectual property: patents, copyright, trademarks and allied rights. Sweet & Maxwell.

[13]

Cornwell, J. 2013. Dyson and Samsung compared: functionality and aesthetics in the design infringement analysis. European intellectual property review. (2013).

[14]

Courts of the European Union cases: <http://curia.europa.eu/>.

[15]

Davis, J. 2012. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[16]

Derclaye, E. 2013. A Decade of Registered and Unregistered Design Rights Decisions in the UK: What Conclusions Can We Draw for the Future of Both Types of Rights? *IP Theory*. 3, 2 (2013), 144–157.

[17]

Derclaye, E. 2009. Are Fashion Designers Better Protected in Continental Europe than in the United Kingdom? A Comparative Analysis of the Recent Case Law in France, Italy and the United Kingdom. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 13, 3 (Dec. 2009), 315–365. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2009.00389.x>.

[18]

Derclaye, E. 2009. Are Fashion Designers Better Protected in Continental Europe than in the United Kingdom? A Comparative Analysis of the Recent Case Law in France, Italy and the United Kingdom. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. 13, 3 (Dec. 2009), 315–365. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1796.2009.00389.x>.

[19]

Derclaye, E. 2008. *Flashing Badge v Groves*: A step forward in the clarification of the copyright/design interface. *European Intellectual Property Review*. (2008), 251–254.

[20]

Derclaye, E. 2000. Software copyright protection: can Europe learn from American case law? Part 1. *European Intellectual Property Review*. (2000), 7–16.

[21]

Derclaye, E. 2000. Software copyright protection: can Europe learn from American case law? Part 2. *European Intellectual Property Review*. (2000), 56–68.

[22]

Derclaye, E. 2014. The database directive. *EU copyright law : a commentary*. Edward Elgar Publishing. 298–354.

[23]

Derclaye, E. 2008. The legal protection of databases: a comparative analysis. Edward Elgar.

[24]

Dixon, A. 2009. Liability of Users and Third Parties for Copyright Infringements on the Internet: Overview of International Developments. Peer-to-peer file sharing and secondary liability in copyright law. Edward Elgar.

[25]

Dworkin, G. and Taylor, R.D. 1989. *Blackstone's guide to the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988: the law of copyright and related rights*. Blackstone.

[26]

European Union Law: <http://eur-lex.europa.eu/>.

[27]

Football Dataco: skill and labour is dead! | Kluwer Copyright Blog: 2012.
<http://kluwercopyrightblog.com/2012/03/01/football-dataco-skill-and-labour-is-dead/>.

[28]

Garnett, K.M. et al. 2017. *Copinger and Skone James on copyright*. Sweet & Maxwell.

[29]

Geerts, P. 2014. The informed user in design law: what should he compare and how should he make the comparison? *European Intellectual Property Review*. 36, 3 (2014).

[30]

Harris, M. 2002. Unregistered design right: nature of reproduction required for infringement - comparison with copyright - hedgehogs and foxes (Case comment on *L Woolley Jewellers Ltd v A&A Jewellery Ltd* [2003] FSR 15). *European Intellectual Property Review*. 24, 12 (2002).

[31]

Laddie, H.I.L. et al. 2018. *The modern law of copyright and designs*. LexisNexis.

[32]

Lewinski, S. von 2008. *International copyright law and policy*. Oxford University Press.

[33]

Massa, C. and Strowel, A. 2003. Community design: Cinderella revamped. *European Intellectual Property Review*. (2003), 68–78.

[34]

Musker, D. 2003. Hidden meaning? UK perspectives on invisible in use designs. *European Intellectual Property Review*. (2003), 450–455.

[35]

Ricketson, S. and Ginsburg, J.C. 2022. *International copyright and neighbouring rights: the Berne Convention and beyond*. Oxford University Press.

[36]

Saez, V. 2002. The unregistered Community design. *European Intellectual Property Review*

. (2002), 585–590.

[37]

Schlotelburg, M. 2003. The Community design: first experience with registrations. *European Intellectual Property Review*. (2003), 383–387.

[38]

Torremans, P. and Holyoak, J. 2016. *Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law*. Oxford University Press.

[39]

Torremans, P. and Holyoak, J. 2016. *Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law*. Oxford University Press.

[40]

Torremans, P. and Holyoak, J. 2016. *Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law*. Oxford University Press.

[41]

Torremans, P. and Holyoak, J. 2016. *Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law*. Oxford University Press.

[42]

Torremans, P. and Holyoak, J. 2016. *Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law*. Oxford University Press.

[43]

Torremans, P. and Holyoak, J. 2016. *Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law*. Oxford University Press.

[44]

United Kingdom Chancery Div. and CA decisions:
<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/hm-courts-and-tribunals-service>.

[45]

Waelde, C. et al. 2016. Contemporary intellectual property: law and policy. Oxford University Press.

[46]

Weston, S. 2012. Software interfaces - stuck in the middle: the relationship between the law and software interfaces in regulating and encouraging interoperability. IIC International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. (2012), 427–450.

[47]

Wilkinson, D. 2007. Case closed: functional designs protected by design right. European Intellectual Property Review. (2007), 118–122.

[48]

WIPO - World Intellectual Property Organization: <http://www.wipo.int/portal/en/>.

[49]

[2007] FSR 9.

[50]

[2011] UKSC 39.

[51]

[2012] EWCA Civ 1339 (CA).

[52]

[2012] FSR 4.

[53]

[2014] EWCA Civ 181.

[54]

A & M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc., 239 F.3d 1004, 1013 n. 2 (9th Cir.2001).

[55]

A. Fulton Co Ltd v Grant Barnett & Co Ltd [2001] RPC 16 (Ch D).

[56]

Baby Dan AS v Brevi SRL [1999] FSR 377.

[57]

Bailey (t/a Elite Angling products) v Haynes (t/a RAGS) [2007] FSR 10.

[58]

BBC Worldwide Ltd v Pall Screen Painting Ltd and Others [1998] FSR 665.

[59]

*BMW v. Round and Metal Ltd and P. Gross [2012] EWHC 2099 (Pat).

[60]

Case C 345/13, Karen Millen Fashions v Dunnes stores [2014] ECR I-000.

[61]

*Case C 406/10, SAS Institute v World Programming available on curia.europa.eu (2012).

[62]

Celaya Emparanza y Galdos Internacional (CEGASA) v Proyectos Integrales de Balizamiento (PROIN), Case C-488/10 (2012).

[63]

*C&H Engineering v Klucznik & Sons [1992] FSR 421.

[64]

Clinisupplies v K. Park et al [2012] EWHC 3453.

[65]

*Computer Associates v Altai, 982 F. 2d 693 (2nd Cir. 1992).

[66]

Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988.

[67]

Council Directive 91/250/EEC on the legal protection of computer programs [1991] OJ L 122/42, codified by Directive 2009/24/EC.

[68]

*Creation Records Ltd and Others v News Group Newspapers Ltd [1997] EMLR 444.

[69]

Dyson Ltd v Qualtex (UK) Ltd [2006] RPC 31.

[70]

*Dyson v Vax [2010] FSR 39.

[71]

Electronic Techniques (Anglia) Ltd v Critchley Components Ltd [1997] FSR 401.

[72]

*Farmers Build Ltd v Carrier Bulk Materials [1999] RPC 461 (CA).

[73]

*Flashing Badge Co Ltd v Groves [2007] EWHC 1372 (Ch); [2007] F.S.R. 36, Ch D.

[74]

*George Hensher Ltd v Restawile Upholstery (Lancs) Ltd [1975] RPC 31 (HL).

[75]

Gimex International v The Chill Bag Company et al. [2012] EWPCC 31.

[76]

Green Lane Products v. PMS International [2008] EWCA Civ 358 (CA).

[77]

Guild v Eskandar [2001] FSR 38 (ChD).

[78]

Guild v Eskandar Ltd [2001] FSR 38 (ChD).

[79]

Guild v Eskandar Ltd [2003] FSR 3 (CA).

[80]

Jo-Y-Jo Ltd v Matalan Retail Ltd [2000] ECDR 178.

[81]

*L Woolley Jewellers Ltd v A&A Jewellery Ltd [2003] FSR 15 (CA).

[82]

*Lambretta Clothing Co v Teddy Smith [2004] EWCA Civ 886 (CA).

[83]

*Lambretta Clothing Co v Teddy Smith [2004] EWCA Civ 886 (CA).

[84]

Landor & Hawa International Ltd v Azure Designs Ltd [2006] FSR 22.

[85]

*Lucasfilm v Ainsworth [2008] EWHC 1878 (Ch).

[86]

*Lucasfilm v Ainsworth [2008] EWHC 1878 (Ch).

[87]

Mackie Designs Inc v Behringer Specialised Studio Equipment (UK) Ltd & Others [1999] RPC 717.

[88]

Magmatic v PMS International [2013] EWHC 1925.

[89]

*Mark Wilkinson v Woodcraft Designs [1998] FSR 63.

[90]

Marrakesh Treaty to Facilitate Access to Published Works For Persons Who Are Blind, Visually Impaired, or otherwise Print Disabled 2013.

[91]

*Merlet v Mothercare [1986] RPC 115 (CA).

[92]

*Metix v Maughan [1997] FSR 718.

[93]

Ocular Sciences v AVCL [1997] RPC 289.

[94]

Parker v Tidball [1997] FSR 680.

[95]

*Procter & Gamble Company v Reckitt Benckiser (UK) Ltd [2007] EWCA Civ 936 (CA).

[96]

*Productores de Musica de Espana (Promusicae) v Telefonica de Espana SAU (C-275/06) [2008] All E.R. (EC) 809 (ECJ).

[97]

*Samsung Electronics v Apple [2012] EWHC 1882 (Pat).

[98]

Sealed Air v Sharp Interpack et al [2013] EWPCC 23.

[99]

Shelley v Rex Features [1994] EMLR 134.

[100]

Sony Corp. of America v. Universal City Studios, Inc., 464 U.S. 417, 435, 104 S.Ct. 774, 78 L.Ed.2d 574 (1984)).

[101]

The Beijing Treaty on Audiovisual Performances 2012.

[102]

The Berne Convention 1886.

[103]

The TRIPs Agreement.

[104]

The Universal Copyright Convention.

[105]

The WIPO Copyright Treaty 1996.

[106]

US copyright act.

[107]

*Vermaat v Boncrest [2001] FSR 43.