


Intellectual Property (LAWW3030) (M33139)

[View Online](#)

Bently, Lionel, and Brad Sherman. *Intellectual Property Law*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018.

———. *Intellectual Property Law*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018.

———. *Intellectual Property Law*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018.

Bently, Lionel, Brad Sherman, Dev Gangjee, and Phillip Johnson. 'Chapter 10, from: *Intellectual Property Law*'. *Intellectual Property Law*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018.

———. *Intellectual Property Law*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018.

Derclaye, Estelle. 'Assessing the Impact and Reception of the Court of Justice of the European Union Case Law on UK Copyright Law: What Does the Future Hold?' *Revue Internationale Du Droit d'auteur* 240 (2014): 5–117. <http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/3613/>.

———. 'Debunking Some of UK Copyright Law's Longstanding Myths and Misunderstandings'. *Intellectual Property Quarterly* (2013). <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I874421C0677511E28B5E802FB0D6A23E>.

———. 'Wonderful or Worrisome? The Impact of the ECJ Ruling in Infopaq on UK Copyright Law'. *European Intellectual Property Review* 32.5 (2010). <https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IB72704A030AC11DF9C83BB18AACF6BDB/View/FullText.html?transitionType=Default&contextData=%28sc.Default%29>.

Gerald Dworkin. 'Moral Rights in English Law - the Shape of Rights to Come'. *European Intellectual Property Review* (n.d.). <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB9E8F500E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>.

Griffiths, J. 'Dematerialization, Pragmatism and the European Copyright Revolution'. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies* 33.4 (2013): 767–90. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqt017>.

Griffiths, Jonathan. 'Pre-Emptying Conflict - a Re-Examination of the Public Interest Defence in UK Copyright Law'. *Legal Studies* 34.1 (2014): 76–102. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lest.12006>.

Holyoak, Jon, and Paul Torremans. *Intellectual Property Law*. London: Butterworths, 1995.

Jane C. Ginsburg. 'Moral Rights in a Common Law System'. Entertainment Law Review (n.d.).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB13AD1D1E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>.

Patrick Masiyakurima. 'The Futility of the Idea/Expression Dichotomy in UK Copyright Law'. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law (n.d.).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC163EEB08C7F11DDA308CE7DDE22A835>.

Rahmatian, Andreas. 'Originality in UK Copyright Law: The Old "Skill and Labour" Doctrine Under Pressure'. IIC - International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law 44.1 (2013): 4-34. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40319-012-0003-4>.

Stina Teilmann. 'Framing the Law: The Right of Integrity in Britain'. European Intellectual Property Review (n.d.).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7FA66CD0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>.

Torremans, Paul, and Jon Holyoak. Holyoak and Torremans Intellectual Property Law. 8th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2016.

———. Holyoak and Torremans Intellectual Property Law. 8th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2016.

———. Holyoak and Torremans Intellectual Property Law. 8th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2016.

———. Holyoak and Torremans Intellectual Property Law. 8th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2016.

W.R. Cornish. 'Moral Rights under the 1988 Act'. European Intellectual Property Review 11.12 (1989).
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?access-method=toc&src=toce∓docguid=I7FB8BC51E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&crumb-action=append&context=15>.

'Acknowledging the Conflict between Copyright Law and Freedom of Expression under the Human Rights Act'. Entertainment Law Review (2003).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad629030000014da02751782ac4c3e2&docguid=I849EDB00E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I849EDB00E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=5&spos=5&epos=5&td=5&crumb-action=append&context=48&resolvein=true>.

'An Intentional View of the Copyright Work'. Modern Law Review 71.4 (2008): 535-58.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.2008.00705.x>.

Ashdown v Telegraph Group Ltd [2002] Ch 149, n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2yJnWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

Baigent v Random House Group Ltd [2006] EWHC 719 (Ch), n.d.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad629030000014d9f7c65405ffdddf4&docguid=I6D9771B0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I6D972391E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=52&crumb-action=append&context=107&resolvein=true>.

'British Film Copyright and the Incorrect Implementation of the E.C. Copyright Directives'. Entertainment Law Review (1998).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad69f8e0000014d87aca10f05dbc7f7&docguid=I81502530E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I81502530E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=107&resolvein=true>.

C-5/08 Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening [2009] ECDR 16, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2Cto5GtmWWIikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

C-5/08 Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening [2009] ECDR 16, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2Cto5GtmWWIikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

'C-393/09 Bezpečnostní Softwarová Asociace - Svaz Softwarové Ochrany v Ministerstvo Kultury [2011] ECDR 3', n.d.

<http://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?language=en&num=C-393/09>.

C-604/10 Football Dataco Ltd v Yahoo! UK Ltd [2012] 2 CMLR 24, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4edm1Gto5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/football-dataco-ltd-v-yahoo-uk-ltd-c60410>.

'Copyright and Its Categories of Original Works'. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 30.2 (2010): 229-54. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqq009>.

'Copyright in Photographs'. European Intellectual Property Review (2000).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744cc630000014da017fb0120102e0c&docguid=IB799ADD0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=IB799ADD0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=3&crumb-action=append&context=9&resolvein=true>.

'Copyright Law after Ashdown - Time to Deal Fairly with the Public'. Intellectual Property Quarterly (2002).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad69f8e0000014da025b229c86a28e4&docguid=I7FB44F80E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I7FB44F80E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=2&spos=2&epos=2&td=5&crumb-action=append&context=38&resolvein=true>.

Creation Records v News Group Newspapers [1997] EMLR 444, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXajn4edIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOd m9baa>.

Designers Guild Ltd v Russell Williams (Textiles) Ltd [2000] 1 WLR 2416, n.d.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=ia744cc630000014d9f733755dc297a23&docguid=I98667580E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I98664E70E4>

2711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=196&crumb-action=append&context=80&resolvein=true.

Designers Guild Ltd v Russell Williams (Textiles) Ltd [2000] 1 WLR 2416, n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4mdn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

Francis Day & Hunter v Bron [1963] Ch 587, n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udo4iJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Green v Broadcasting Corporation of New Zealand [1989] 2 All ER 1056, n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCNZyJn3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

Hubbard v Vosper [1972] 2 QB 84, n.d.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad6ada60000015f24a6c3d5f879d8da&docguid=IC4449F60E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=IC4447850E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=29&resolvein=true>.

Hyde Park Residence Ltd v Yelland [2001] Ch 143, n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3qZn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

'Joy: A Reply'. Intellectual Property Quarterly (2001).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c0970000014d87a6fd800a3bb51f&docguid=I7FA50D40E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I7FA50D40E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=51&crumb-action=append&context=79&resolvein=true>.

'"Joy" for the Claimant: Can a Film Also Be Protected as a Dramatic Work?' Intellectual Property Quarterly (2000).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c09a0000014d87a4ec45c7cfa7a&docguid=I7FA49810E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I7FA49810E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=2&spos=2&epos=2&td=34&crumb-action=append&context=70&resolvein=true>.

'Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273' (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udo0ytoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Lucasfilm Ltd & Ors v Ainsworth & Anor [2011] UKSC 39 (27 July 2011), n.d.
[https://www.bailii.org/cgi-bin/format.cgi?doc=/uk/cases/UKSC/2011/39.html&query=\(.2011.\)+AND+\(UKSC\)+AND+\(39\)](https://www.bailii.org/cgi-bin/format.cgi?doc=/uk/cases/UKSC/2011/39.html&query=(.2011.)+AND+(UKSC)+AND+(39)).

Morrison Leahy Music Limited v Lightbond Limited [1995] EMLR 144, n.d.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad69f8e0000015f24b844b994f7cd73&docguid=I05B5B471E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I05B5B471E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=47&resolvein=true>.

Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Marks and Spencer Plc [2003] 1 AC 551, n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3aJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Marks and Spencer Plc [2003] 1 AC 551, n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3aJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No2) [2000] FSR 363, n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCdoXyZm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No2) [2000] FSR 363, n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCdoXyZm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

Pasterfield v Denham [1999] FSR 168, n.d.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad629030000015f24c082df1e63b7f9&docguid=I1A540670E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I1A53B850E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=66&resolvein=true>.

'Photographing Paintings in the Public Domain: A Response to Garnett'. European Intellectual Property Review (2001).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&src=ri&docguid=IB7886FC0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=IB7886FC0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&srguid=i0ad629030000014d9f591f4f101e575a&spos=1&epos=1&td=3&refer=%2Fmaf%2Fwluk%2Fapp%2Fdocument%3Fdocguid%3DICFAADD40E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838%26td%3D3%26spos%3D1%26suppsrguid%3Di0ad629030000014d9f591f4f101e575a%26refer%3D%252Fmaf%252Fwluk%252Fapp%252Fdocument%253Ftd%253D3%2526spos%253D1%2526rank%253D1%2526epos%253D1%2526hitguid%253DIB7886FC0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028%2526docguid%253DIB7886FC0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028%2526resolvein%253Dtrue%2526srguid%253Di0ad629030000014d9f591f4f101e575a%2526crumb-action%253Dappend%2526context%253D5%26epos%3D1%26src%3Dri%26hitguid%3DIB7886FC0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028%26crumb-action%3Dappend%26context%3D16&crumb-action=append&context=32>.

'Preserving Judicial Freedom of Movement - Interpreting Fair Dealing in Copyright Law'. Intellectual Property Quarterly (2002).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad629030000014da02426b5f04f995a&docguid=I7FB3DA50E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I7FB3DA50E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=3&crumb-action=append&context=28&resolvein=true>.

Pro Sieben Media AG v Carlton UK Television Ltd [1999] 1 WLR 605. *605 Pro Sieben Media A.G. v Carlton U.K. Television Ltd. and Another, n.d.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad6ada60000015f24a9d86df4f20ff0&docguid=I2914BA60E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I29149350E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=2&crumb-action=append&context=35&resolvein=true>.

Sawkins v Hyperion Records Ltd [2005] EWCA Civ 565 [2005] 3 All ER 636, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZKZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/sawkins-v-hyperion-records-ltd>.

Sawkins v Hyperion Records Ltd [2005] EWCA Civ 565 [2005] 3 All ER 636, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZKZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/sawkins-v-hyperion-records-ltd>.

'Test of Infringement: What Is It Now?' Electronic resource. European Intellectual Property Review (2014).

'The Compatibility of the Skill and Labour Originality Standard with the Berne Convention and the TRIPs Agreement'. European Intellectual Property Review (2004).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c09a0000014d9f6fb5dac7d18af6&docguid=IB744D711E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=IB744D711E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=57&resolvein=true>.

'The Hedgehog and the Fox, a Substantial Part of the Law of Copyright?' European Intellectual Property Review (2001).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c0970000014d9f807c4558b0afb3&docguid=IB78F4D90E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=IB78F4D90E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=2&spos=2&epos=2&td=2&crumb-action=append&context=125&resolvein=true>.

'The Idea/Expression Dichotomy and the Games That People Play'. European Intellectual Property Review (1995).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c0970000014d9f774bbcd6f5f645&docguid=IB8E874F0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=IB8E874F0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=89&resolvein=true>.

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2GJmYiZnSils1jxAZrWAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.