

Intellectual Property (LAWW3030) (M33139)

[View Online](#)

1

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, et al. Intellectual property law. 5th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2018.

2

Torremans P, Holyoak J. Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. 8th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

3

Green v Broadcasting Corporation of New Zealand [1989] 2 All ER 1056.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCNZyJn3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

4

Creation Records v News Group Newspapers [1997] EMLR 444.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXajN4edlIOuDYL2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

5

Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No2) [2000] FSR 363.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCdXyZm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

6

Lucasfilm Ltd & Ors v Ainsworth & Anor [2011] UKSC 39 (27 July 2011).
[https://www.bailii.org/cgi-bin/format.cgi?doc=/uk/cases/UKSC/2011/39.html&query=\(.2011.\)+AND+\(UKSC\)+AND+\(39\)](https://www.bailii.org/cgi-bin/format.cgi?doc=/uk/cases/UKSC/2011/39.html&query=(.2011.)+AND+(UKSC)+AND+(39))

7

C-393/09 Bezpečnostní softwarová asociace - Svaz softwarové ochrany v Ministerstvo kultury [2011] ECDR 3. <http://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?language=en&num=C-393/09>

8

Copyright and Its Categories of Original Works. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 2010;**30**:229-54. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqq009

9

Bently L, Sherman B. Intellectual property law. 5th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2018.

10

Torremans P, Holyoak J. Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. 8th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

11

Designers Guild Ltd v Russell Williams (Textiles) Ltd [2000] 1 WLR 2416.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=ia744cc630000014d9f733755dc297a23&docguid=I98667580E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I98664E70E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=196&crumb-action=append&context=80&resolvein=true>

12

Sawkins v Hyperion Records Ltd [2005] EWCA Civ 565 [2005] 3 All ER 636.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZKZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/sawkins-v-hyperion-records-ltd>

13

C-5/08 Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening [2009] ECDR 16.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2Cto5GtmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

14

C-604/10 Football Dataco Ltd v Yahoo! UK Ltd [2012] 2 CMLR 24.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4edm1Gto5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/football-dataco-ltd-v-yahoo-uk-ltd-c60410>

15

The idea/expression dichotomy and the games that people play. European Intellectual Property Review Published Online First:
1995.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c0970000014d9f774bbcd6f5f645&docguid=IB8E874F0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=IB8E874F0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=89&resolvein=true>

16

Patrick Masiyakurima. The futility of the idea/expression dichotomy in UK copyright law. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IC163EEB08C7F11DDA308CE7DDE22A835>

17

Derclaye E. Wonderful or worrisome? The impact of the ECJ ruling in Infopaq on UK copyright law. European Intellectual Property Review 2010;**32**
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IB72704A030AC11DF9C83BB18AACF6BDB/View/FullText.html?transitionType=Default&contextData=%28sc.Default%29>

18

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2GJmYiZnSils1jxAZrWAjrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

19

Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udo0ytoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

20

Copyright in photographs. European Intellectual Property Review Published Online First: 2000. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744cc630000014da017fb0120102e0c&docguid=IB799ADD0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=IB799ADD0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=3&crumb-action=append&context=9&resolvein=true>

21

Photographing paintings in the public domain: a response to Garnett. European Intellectual Property Review Published Online First:

2001. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&src=ri&docguid=IB7886FC0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=IB7886FC0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&srguid=i0ad629030000014d9f591f4f101e575a&spos=1&epos=1&td=3&refer=%2Fmaf%2Fwluk%2Fapp%2Fdocument%3Fdocguid%3DICFAADD40E71211DA915EF37CAC72F838%26td%3D3%26spos%3D1%26suppsrguid%3Di0ad629030000014d9f591f4f101e575a%26refer%3D%252Fmaf%252Fwluk%252Fapp%252Fdocument%253Ftd%253D3%2526spos%253D1%2526rank%253D1%2526epos%253D1%2526hitguid%253DIB7886FC0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028%2526docguid%253DIB7886FC0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028%2526resolvein%253Dtrue%2526srguid%253Di0ad629030000014d9f591f4f101e575a%2526crumb-action%253Dappend%2526context%253D5%26epos%3D1%26src%3Dri%26hitguid%3DIB7886FC0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028%26crumb-action%3Dappend%26context%3D16&crumb-action=append&context=32>

22

The compatibility of the skill and labour originality standard with the Berne Convention and the TRIPs Agreement. European Intellectual Property Review Published Online First:

2004. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c09a0000014d9f6fb5dac7d18af6&docguid=IB744D711E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=IB744D711E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=57&resolvein=true>

23

An Intentional View of the Copyright Work. Modern Law Review 2008; **71**:535–58.

doi:10.1111/j.1468-2230.2008.00705.x

24

Rahmatian A. Originality in UK Copyright Law: The Old "Skill and Labour" Doctrine Under Pressure. IIC - International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law 2013;**44**:4–34. doi:10.1007/s40319-012-0003-4

25

Derclaye E. Assessing the impact and reception of the Court of Justice of the European Union case law on UK copyright law: what does the future hold? Revue Internationale du Droit d'auteur 2014;**240**:5–117.<http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/3613/>

26

Bently L, Sherman B. Intellectual property law. 5th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2018.

27

Torremans P, Holyoak J. Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. 8th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

28

Francis Day & Hunter v Bron [1963] Ch 587.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udo4ijmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

29

Designers Guild Ltd v Russell Williams (Textiles) Ltd [2000] 1 WLR 2416.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4mdn0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

30

Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No2) [2000] FSR 363.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCdoXyZm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBj>

rMi6atF

31

Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Marks and Spencer plc [2003] 1 AC 551.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3aJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

32

Baigent v Random House Group Ltd [2006] EWHC 719 (Ch).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad629030000014d9f7c65405ffdddf4&docguid=I6D9771B0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I6D972391E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=52&crumb-action=append&context=107&resolvein=true>

33

C-5/08 Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening [2009] ECDR 16.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2Cto5GtmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

34

The hedgehog and the fox, a substantial part of the law of copyright? European Intellectual Property Review Published Online First:

2001.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c0970000014d9f807c4558b0afb3&docguid=IB78F4D90E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=IB78F4D90E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=2&spos=2&epos=2&td=2&crumb-action=append&context=125&resolvein=true>

35

Test of infringement: what is it now? European intellectual property review 2014.

36

'Joy' for the claimant: can a film also be protected as a dramatic work? Intellectual Property Quarterly Published Online First:

2000.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c09a0000014d87a>

4ec45c7cffa7a&docguid=I7FA49810E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I7FA49810E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=2&spos=2&epos=2&td=34&crumb-action=append&context=70&resolvein=true

37

Joy: a reply. Intellectual Property Quarterly Published Online First: 2001. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c0970000014d87a6fd800a3bb51f&docguid=I7FA50D40E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I7FA50D40E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=51&crumb-action=append&context=79&resolvein=true>

38

British film copyright and the incorrect implementation of the E.C. Copyright Directives. Entertainment Law Review Published Online First: 1998. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad69f8e0000014d87aca10f05dbc7f7&docguid=I81502530E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I81502530E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=107&resolvein=true>

39

Derclaye E. Debunking some of UK copyright law's longstanding myths and misunderstandings. Intellectual Property Quarterly Published Online First: 2013. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I874421C0677511E28B5E802FB0D6A23E>

40

Griffiths J. Dematerialization, Pragmatism and the European Copyright Revolution. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 2013;**33**:767–90. doi:10.1093/ojls/gqt017

41

Bently L, Sherman B. Intellectual property law. 5th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2018.

42

Torremans P, Holyoak J. Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. 8th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2016.

43

Hubbard v Vosper [1972] 2 QB 84.

<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad6ada60000015f24a6c3d5f879d8da&docguid=IC4449F60E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=IC4447850E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=29&resolvein=true>

44

Pro Sieben Media AG v Carlton UK Television Ltd [1999] 1 WLR 605.

<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad6ada60000015f24a9d86df4f20ff0&docguid=I2914BA60E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I29149350E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=2&crumb-action=append&context=35&resolvein=true>

45

Hyde Park Residence Ltd v Yelland [2001] Ch 143.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3qZn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

46

Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Marks and Spencer plc [2003] 1 AC 551.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3aJn4WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

47

Ashdown v Telegraph Group Ltd [2002] Ch 149.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2yJnWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

48

Preserving judicial freedom of movement - interpreting fair dealing in copyright law.

Intellectual Property Quarterly Published Online First:

2002.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad629030000014da02426b5f04f995a&docguid=I7FB3DA50E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I7FB3DA50E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1∓td=3&crumb-action=append&context=28&resolvein=true>

49

Copyright law after Ashdown - time to deal fairly with the public. Intellectual Property Quarterly Published Online First:

2002.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad69f8e0000014da025b229c86a28e4&docguid=I7FB44F80E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I7FB44F80E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=2&spos=2&epos=2&td=5&crumb-action=append&context=38&resolvein=true>

50

Acknowledging the conflict between copyright law and freedom of expression under the Human Rights Act. Entertainment Law Review Published Online First:

2003.<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad629030000014da02751782ac4c3e2&docguid=I849EDB00E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I849EDB00E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=5&spos=5&epos=5&td=5&crumb-action=append&context=48&resolvein=true>

51

Griffiths J. Pre-empting conflict - a re-examination of the public interest defence in UK copyright law. Legal Studies 2014;**34**:76–102. doi:10.1111/lest.12006

52

Bently L, Sherman B, Gangjee D, et al. Chapter 10, from: Intellectual Property Law. In: Intellectual property law. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2018.

53

Holyoak J, Torremans P. Intellectual property law. London: : Butterworths 1995.

54

Sawkins v Hyperion Records Ltd [2005] EWCA Civ 565 [2005] 3 All ER 636.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZKZm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/sawkins-v-hyperion-records-ltd>

55

Morrison Leahy Music Limited v Lightbond Limited [1995] EMLR 144.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad69f8e0000015f24b844b994f7cd73&docguid=I05B5B471E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I05B5B471E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=47&resolvein=true>

56

Pasterfield v Denham [1999] FSR 168.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad629030000015f24c082df1e63b7f9&docguid=I1A540670E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I1A53B850E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=66&resolvein=true>

57

Gerald Dworkin. Moral rights in English law - the shape of rights to come. European Intellectual Property Review
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB9E8F500E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

58

Stina Teilmann. Framing the law: the right of integrity in Britain. European Intellectual Property Review
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=I7FA66CD0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A>

59

Jane C. Ginsburg. Moral rights in a common law system. Entertainment Law Review
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/ext/app/document?crumb-action=reset&docguid=IB13AD1D1E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028>

60

W.R. Cornish. Moral rights under the 1988 Act. European Intellectual Property Review 1989;**11**

.<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?access-method=toc&src=toce&docguid=I7FB8BC51E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&crumb-action=append&p;context=15>