

Intellectual Property (LAWW3030) (M33139)

[View Online](#)

[1]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Chapter 10, from: Intellectual Property Law. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[2]

Bently, L. et al. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[3]

Bently, L. and Sherman, B. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[4]

Bently, L. and Sherman, B. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[5]

Bently, L. and Sherman, B. 2018. Intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[6]

C-393/09 Bezpečnostní softwarová asociace - Svaz softwarové ochrany v Ministerstvo kultury [2011] ECDR 3: <http://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?language=en&num=C-393/09>.

[7]

Derclaye, E. 2014. Assessing the impact and reception of the Court of Justice of the European Union case law on UK copyright law: what does the future hold? *Revue Internationale du Droit d'auteur*. 240, (2014), 5-117.

[8]

Derclaye, E. 2013. Debunking some of UK copyright law's longstanding myths and misunderstandings. *Intellectual Property Quarterly*. (2013).

[9]

Derclaye, E. 2010. Wonderful or worrisome? The impact of the ECJ ruling in Infopaq on UK copyright law. *European Intellectual Property Review*. 32, 5 (2010).

[10]

Gerald Dworkin Moral rights in English law - the shape of rights to come. *European Intellectual Property Review*.

[11]

Griffiths, J. 2013. Dematerialization, Pragmatism and the European Copyright Revolution. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*. 33, 4 (2013), 767-790.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqt017>.

[12]

Griffiths, J. 2014. Pre-empting conflict - a re-examination of the public interest defence in UK copyright law. *Legal Studies*. 34, 1 (2014), 76-102.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/lest.12006>.

[13]

Holyoak, J. and Torremans, P. 1995. *Intellectual property law*. Butterworths.

[14]

Jane C. Ginsburg Moral rights in a common law system. Entertainment Law Review.

[15]

Patrick Masiyakurima The futility of the idea/expression dichotomy in UK copyright law. International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law.

[16]

Rahmatian, A. 2013. Originality in UK Copyright Law: The Old "Skill and Labour" Doctrine Under Pressure. IIC - International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law. 44, 1 (2013), 4–34. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40319-012-0003-4>.

[17]

Stina Teilmann Framing the law: the right of integrity in Britain. European Intellectual Property Review.

[18]

Torremans, P. and Holyoak, J. 2016. Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[19]

Torremans, P. and Holyoak, J. 2016. Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[20]

Torremans, P. and Holyoak, J. 2016. Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[21]

Torremans, P. and Holyoak, J. 2016. Holyoak and Torremans intellectual property law. Oxford University Press.

[22]

W.R. Cornish 1989. Moral rights under the 1988 Act. *European Intellectual Property Review* . 11, 12 (1989).

[23]

2003. Acknowledging the conflict between copyright law and freedom of expression under the Human Rights Act. *Entertainment Law Review*. (2003).

[24]

2008. An Intentional View of the Copyright Work. *Modern Law Review*. 71, 4 (Jul. 2008), 535–558. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.2008.00705.x>.

[25]

Ashdown v Telegraph Group Ltd [2002] Ch 149.

[26]

Baigent v Random House Group Ltd [2006] EWHC 719 (Ch).

[27]

1998. British film copyright and the incorrect implementation of the E.C. Copyright Directives. *Entertainment Law Review*. (1998).

[28]

C-5/08 Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening [2009] ECDR 16.

[29]

C-5/08 Infopaq International A/S v Danske Dagblades Forening [2009] ECDR 16.

[30]

C-604/10 Football Dataco Ltd v Yahoo! UK Ltd [2012] 2 CMLR 24.

[31]

2010. Copyright and Its Categories of Original Works. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 30, 2 (Jun. 2010), 229–254. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/gqq009>.

[32]

2000. Copyright in photographs. European Intellectual Property Review. (2000).

[33]

2002. Copyright law after Ashdown - time to deal fairly with the public. Intellectual Property Quarterly. (2002).

[34]

Creation Records v News Group Newspapers [1997] EMLR 444.

[35]

Designers Guild Ltd v Russell Williams (Textiles) Ltd [2000] 1 WLR 2416.

[36]

Designers Guild Ltd v Russell Williams (Textiles) Ltd [2000] 1 WLR 2416.

[37]

Francis Day & Hunter v Bron [1963] Ch 587.

[38]

Green v Broadcasting Corporation of New Zealand [1989] 2 All ER 1056.

[39]

Hubbard v Vosper [1972] 2 QB 84.

[40]

Hyde Park Residence Ltd v Yelland [2001] Ch 143.

[41]

2001. Joy: a reply. Intellectual Property Quarterly. (2001).

[42]

2000. 'Joy' for the claimant: can a film also be protected as a dramatic work? Intellectual Property Quarterly. (2000).

[43]

Ladbroke (Football) Ltd v William Hill (Football) Ltd [1964] 1 WLR 273.

[44]

Lucasfilm Ltd & Ors v Ainsworth & Anor [2011] UKSC 39 (27 July 2011).

[45]

Morrison Leahy Music Limited v Lightbond Limited [1995] EMLR 144.

[46]

Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Marks and Spencer plc [2003] 1 AC 551.

[47]

Newspaper Licensing Agency Ltd v Marks and Spencer plc [2003] 1 AC 551.

[48]

Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No2) [2000] FSR 363.

[49]

Norowzian v Arks Ltd (No2) [2000] FSR 363.

[50]

Pasterfield v Denham [1999] FSR 168.

[51]

2001. Photographing paintings in the public domain: a response to Garnett. European Intellectual Property Review. (2001).

[52]

2002. Preserving judicial freedom of movement - interpreting fair dealing in copyright law. Intellectual Property Quarterly. (2002).

[53]

Pro Sieben Media AG v Carlton UK Television Ltd [1999] 1 WLR 605.

[54]

Sawkins v Hyperion Records Ltd [2005] EWCA Civ 565 [2005] 3 All ER 636.

[55]

Sawkins v Hyperion Records Ltd [2005] EWCA Civ 565 [2005] 3 All ER 636.

[56]

2014. Test of infringement: what is it now? European intellectual property review. (2014).

[57]

2004. The compatibility of the skill and labour originality standard with the Berne Convention and the TRIPs Agreement. European Intellectual Property Review. (2004).

[58]

2001. The hedgehog and the fox, a substantial part of the law of copyright? European Intellectual Property Review. (2001).

[59]

1995. The idea/expression dichotomy and the games that people play. European Intellectual Property Review. (1995).

[60]

University of London Press v University Tutorial Press [1916] 2 Ch 601.