


Law of Trusts (LAWW3051) (LAWW3024) (LAWW3025)

View Online



1.

Pearce RA, Barr W. Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

2.

Pearce RA, Barr W. Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

3.

Penner JE, Lau J. The law of trusts. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

4.

Gardner S. An introduction to the law of trusts [Internet]. 3rd ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2011. Available from:
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=845987>

5.

McPhail v Doulton [1971] AC 424 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utn1aZnSils1jxAZrWAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

6.

Re Baden (No 2) [1973] 1 Ch 9 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udnZqJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha/badens-deed-trusts-no-2-in-re>

7.

Discretionary Trusts and Powers of Appointment: Progressive Assimilation [Internet]. The Canterbury law review. [Christchurch, N.Z: Faculty of Law of the University of Canterbury; 1992. Available from: <https://ir.canterbury.ac.nz/handle/10092/3253>

8.

Emery CT. The most hallowed principle: certainty of beneficiaries of trusts and powers of appointment. The law quarterly review [Internet]. London: Stevens and Sons; 1982;98. Available from:
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9e42ab19-4e99-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

9.

Parkinson P. Reconceptualising the express trust. Cambridge Law Journal [Internet]. 2002;61(3). Available from:
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/S0008197302001769>

10.

Developing the obligation characteristic of the trust. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 2001;117. Available from:
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c0970000014d7c0c50008d93177f&docguid=ICCAEDD80E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=ICCAEDD80E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=8&resolvein=true>

11.

Explaining the Trust. The law quarterly review. London: Stevens and Sons; 2015;(131).

12.

Gardner S. An introduction to the law of trusts. 3rd ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2011.

13.

Pearce RA, Barr W. Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

14.

Penner JE, Lau J. The law of trusts. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

15.

Vandervell v IRC [1967] 2 AC 291 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJn5KJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

16.

Re Vandervell (No 2) [1967] 2 AC 291 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4ytm3Cdm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/vandervell-v-commissioners-of-inland-revenue>

17.

Nelson v Greening & Sykes [2007] EWCA Civ 1358 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZeJnXeJmZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

18.

Green, B. Grey, Oughtred and Vandervell - A Contextual Reappraisal. Modern Law Review [Internet]. 1984; Available from:
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/modlr47&id=405>

19.

Hodge D. Secret Trusts: The Fraud Theory Revisited. The Conveyancer and property lawyer [Internet]. London: Sweet and Maxwell; 1980;44(1):341–350. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=55e0443c-9aa3-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

20.

Pearce RA, Barr W. Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

21.

Penner JE, Lau J. The law of trusts. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

22.

Apr. 3, 4.(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. Re Rose [1952] Ch 499 [Internet]. *499 In Re Rose. Available from: <https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e000001648408161f5dd6478e&docguid=I8F996330E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I8F993C20E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=15&spos=15&epos=15&td=18&crumb-action=append&context=24&resolvein=true>

23.

Mascall v Mascall (1985) 50 P. & C.R. 119 [Internet]. *119 Mascall v Mascall. Available from: <https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e000001648409510b5dd64796&docguid=IEF8836F0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=IEF880FE0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=14&crumb-action=append&context=36&resolvein=true>

24.

Pennington v Waine [2002] 1 WLR 2075 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qdn0itnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

25.

Crown copyright. *Curtis v Pulbrook* [2011] EWHC 167 (Ch) [Internet]. Richard Anthony Curtis, Judith Anne Ambler, Susan Edlizabeth Broker (the personal representatives of Arthur Ronald Towns, deceased) v Richard Henry Pulbrook, Anucha Pulbrook, Alice Pulbrook.

Available from:

<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad6ada700000164840a83bcfb0e859c&docguid=IB45F3170363C11E09562B961F78E26BE&hitguid=I2225BC2030BF11E080B7E3968EE9A6A3&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&p;td=3&crumb-action=append&context=50&resolvein=true>

26.

Pearce RA, Barr W. *Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations*. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

27.

Penner JE, Lau J. *The law of trusts*. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

28.

Gardner S. *An introduction to the law of trusts*. 3rd ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2011.

29.

Gravells, Nigel P. Public Purpose Trusts. *Modern Law Review* [Internet]. 1977;40. Available from:

http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/modlr40&div=40&collection=journal&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab

30.

Charities Act 2011 [Internet]. Available from:

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/25/contents/enacted>

31.

Pearce RA, Barr W. Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

32.

Penner JE, Lau J. The law of trusts. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

33.

Gardner S. An introduction to the law of trusts. 3rd ed. New York: Oxford University Press; 2011.

34.

Re Denley's Trust Deed [1969] 1 Ch 373 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2ydo2adnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

35.

Re Lipinski [1976] Ch 235 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2ujm4utmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

36.

Developing the obligation characteristic of the trust. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 2001;117. Available from:
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c0970000014d7c0c50008d93177f&docguid=ICCAEDD80E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=ICCAEDD80E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=8&resolvein=true>

37.

Reconceptualising the express trust. Cambridge Law Journal. 2002;61(3).

38.

Pearce RA, Barr W. Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

39.

Penner JE, Lau J. The law of trusts. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

40.

Feb. 12, 13, 14, 15; March 29(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. Lloyds Bank Ltd v Rosset [1991] AC 107 [Internet]. *107 Lloyds Bank Plc. Appellants v Rosset and Another Respondents. Available from:
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e000001685be02319fb1ea9e0&docguid=IE21B2C20E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=IE21B0510E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1∓td=2&crumb-action=append&context=145&resolvein=true>

41.

Stack v Dowden [2007] UKHL 17, [2007] 2 AC 432 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2mZn0itnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha/stack-v-dowden>

42.

Jones v Kernott [2011] UKSC 53 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2GdnZuto0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

43.

Martin Dixon. Editor's notebook: the still not ended, never-ending story. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2012;83-86. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I16D57FB087B011E1BF2ED535FDE7B006/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

44.

John Mee. Jones v Kernott: inferring and imputing in Essex. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2012;167–180. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I16D6DF4187B011E1BF2ED535FDE7B006/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

45.

Marr (Appellant) v Collie (Respondent) (Bahamas) [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXeJmZGdo2qdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa/marr-appellant-v-collie-respondent-bahamas>

46.

SIMON GARDNER*. Rethinking family property. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 1993;263–300. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICD0DED70E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

47.

Craig Rotherham. The property rights of unmarried cohabitees: the case for reform. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2004;268–292. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA73757D0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

48.

Roche J. RETURNING TO CLARITY AND PRINCIPLE: THE PRIVY COUNCIL ON STACK v DOWDEN. The Cambridge Law Journal. 2017;76(3):493–496.

49.

Martin George*. Presuming too little about resulting and constructive trusts? Marr v Collie [2017] UKPC 17. The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2017;303–312. Available from:
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IC23552707E7411E7AA22E07DFD66C964/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

50.

Sharing Homes | Law Commission [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/sharing-homes/#sharing-homes>

51.

Pearce RA, Barr W. Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

52.

Penner JE, Lau J. The law of trusts. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

53.

Pearce RA, Barr W. Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

54.

Penner JE, Lau J. The law of trusts. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

55.

Target Holdings v Redferns [1996] AC 421 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qJn0GdnZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/target-holdings-ltd-v-redferns-hl>

56.

Pearce RA, Barr W. Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

57.

Penner JE, Lau J. The law of trusts. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

58.

Feb. 20.1941 Nov. 14, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24.(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. Regal (Hastings) Ltd v Gulliver [1942] 1 All ER 378 [Internet]. *134 Regal (Hastings) Ltd. v Gulliver and Others. Available from:
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad62903000001685c23b2651cbe6fc9&docguid=I853552A0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I85352B90E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1∓td=1&crumb-action=append&context=17&resolvein=true>

59.

Boardman v Phipps [1967] 2 AC 46 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZn0itmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

60.

FHR European Ventures LLP v Cedar Capital Partners LLC [2014] UKSC 45, [2014] 3 WLR 535 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXadn2mdo1KdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

61.

Murad v Al-Saraj [2005] EWCA Civ 959 [Internet]. Murad v Al-Saraj. Available from:
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad6ada7000001685c255a59b922c4e7&docguid=I096CC900E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I096CA1F0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=2&spos=2&epos=2∓td=3&crumb-action=append&context=25&resolvein=true>

62.

Pearce RA, Barr W. Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

63.

Penner JE, Lau J. The law of trusts. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

64.

Boscawen v Bajwa [1995] 4 All ER 769 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-4J80-TWP1-601G-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>

65.

Bishopgate Investment Management Ltd v Homan [1995] 1 All ER 347 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-4J90-TWP1-60R5-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>

66.

Foskett v McKeown [1997] 3 All ER 392 (CA) [Internet]. Available from:
<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-4J80-TWP1-60JM-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>

67.

Foskett v McKeown [2001] 1 AC 102 (HL) [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GJn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/foskett-v-mckeown>

68.

Barlow Clowes International Ltd v Vaughan [1992] 4 All ER 22 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-49F0-TWP1-60B5-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>

69.

The Federal Republic of Brazil and another (Respondents) v Durant International Corporation and another (Appellants) (Jersey) [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXajN1GJnZadIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa/the-federal-republic-of-brazil-and-another-respondents-v-durant>

70.

Rotherham C. Chapter 6, Tracing and Justice in Bankruptcy. Restitution and insolvency [Internet]. London: Mansfield Press; 2000. p. 113–133. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=4e6a61b4-ec1d-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

71.

Smith LD. Tracing into the Payment of a Debt. The Cambridge Law Journal. 1995 Jul;54(02).

72.

Hayton D. Chapter 1, Equity's Identification Rules. Laundering and tracing [Internet]. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1995. p. 1–21. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=730137f3-f691-e611-80c7-005056af4099>

73.

Pearce RA, Barr W. Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2018.

74.

Penner JE, Lau J. The law of trusts. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2019.

75.

Royal Brunei Airlines v Tan [1995] 2 AC 378 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXitn1qdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

76.

March 21, 22, 23; June 14(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. Bank of Credit and Commerce International V Akindele [2001] Ch 437 [Internet]. *437 Bank of Credit and Commerce International (Overseas) Ltd and Another v Akindele.

Available from:

<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad6ada7000001685c2b9675e60a8ad6&docguid=I6EBA6DE0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I6EBA46D0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=7&spos=7&epos=7∓td=8&crumb-action=append&context=51&resolvein=true>

77.

SIMON GARDNER.*. Knowing assistance and knowing receipt: taking stock. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 1996;56–94. Available from:

<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICCE41E51E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

78.

Thomas SB. 'Goodbye' Knowing Receipt. 'Hello' Unconscientious Receipt. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies. 2001 Jun 1;21(2):239–265.

79.

Nicholls, Lord. Chapter 15, Knowing Receipt: The Need for a New Landmark, from: Restitution : past, present and future : essays in honour of Gareth Jones. Restitution: past, present and future : essays in honour of Gareth Jones [Internet]. Oxford: Hart; 1998. p. 231–246. Available from:

<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1772785>