


Law of Trusts (LAWW3051) (LAWW3024) (LAWW3025)

[View Online](#)

Apr. 3, 4.(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. n.d. Re Rose [1952] Ch 499. *499 In Re Rose.

<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e000001648408161f5dd6478e&docguid=I8F996330E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I8F993C20E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=15&spos=15&epos=15&td=18&crumb-action=append&context=24&resolvein=true>

Barlow Clowes International Ltd v Vaughan [1992] 4 All ER 22. n.d.

<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-49F0-TWP1-60B5-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>.

Bishopgate Investment Management Ltd v Homan [1995] 1 All ER 347. n.d.

<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-4J90-TWP1-60R5-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>.

Boardman v Phipps [1967] 2 AC 46. n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZn0itmSils1jxAZrWAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Boscawen v Bajwa [1995] 4 All ER 769. n.d.

<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-4J80-TWP1-601G-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>.

'Charities Act 2011'. n.d. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/25/contents/enacted>.

Craig Rotherham. 2004. 'The Property Rights of Unmarried Cohabitees: The Case for Reform'. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer, 268–92.

<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA73757D0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Crown copyright. n.d. Curtis v Pulbrook [2011] EWHC 167 (Ch). Richard Anthony Curtis, Judith Anne Ambler, Susan Edlizabeth Broker (the Personal Representatives of Arthur Ronald Towns, Deceased) v Richard Henry Pulbrook, Anucha Pulbrook, Alice Pulbrook.

<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad6ada700000164840a83bcfb0e859c&docguid=IB45F3170363C11E09562B961F78E26BE&hitguid=I2225BC2030BF11E080B7E3968EE9A6A3&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=3&crumb-action=append&context=50&resolvein=true>

'Developing the Obligation Characteristic of the Trust'. 2001a. Law Quarterly Review 117.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c0970000014d7c0c50008d93177f&docguid=ICCAEDD80E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=ICCAEDD80E7211>

1DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=8&resolvein=true.

'——'. 2001b. Law Quarterly Review 117.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c0970000014d7c0c50008d93177f&docguid=ICCAEDD80E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=ICCAEDD80E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=8&resolvein=true>.

'Discretionary Trusts and Powers of Appointment: Progressive Assimilation'. 1992. The Canterbury Law Review. [Christchurch, N.Z: Faculty of Law of the University of Canterbury. <https://ir.canterbury.ac.nz/handle/10092/3253>.

Emery, C.T. 1982. 'The Most Hallowed Principle: Certainty of Beneficiaries of Trusts and Powers of Appointment'. The Law Quarterly Review 98. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9e42ab19-4e99-e711-80cb-005056af4099>.

'Explaining the Trust'. 2015. The Law Quarterly Review, no. 131.

Feb. 12, 13, 14, 15; March 29(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. n.d. Lloyds Bank Ltd v Rosset [1991] AC 107. *107 Lloyds Bank Plc. Appellants v Rosset and Another Respondents.

<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e000001685be02319fb1ea9e0&docguid=IE21B2C20E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=IE21B0510E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=2&crumb-action=append&context=145&resolvein=true>.

Feb. 20.1941 Nov. 14, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24.(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. n.d. Regal (Hastings) Ltd v Gulliver [1942] 1 All ER 378. *134 Regal (Hastings) Ltd. v Gulliver and Others.

<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad62903000001685c23b2651cbe6fc9&docguid=I853552A0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I85352B90E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=17&resolvein=true>.

FHR European Ventures LLP v Cedar Capital Partners LLC [2014] UKSC 45, [2014] 3 WLR 535. n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXadn2mdo1KdlIOuDYL2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>.

Foskett v McKeown [1997] 3 All ER 392 (CA). n.d.

<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-4J80-TWP1-60JM-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>.

Foskett v McKeown [2001] 1 AC 102 (HL). n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GJn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/foskett-v-mckeown>.

Gardner, Simon. 2011a. An Introduction to the Law of Trusts. 3rd ed. Vol. Clarendon law series. New York: Oxford University Press.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=845987>.

———. 2011b. *An Introduction to the Law of Trusts*. 3rd ed. Vol. Clarendon law series. New York: Oxford University Press.

———. 2011c. *An Introduction to the Law of Trusts*. 3rd ed. Vol. Clarendon law series. New York: Oxford University Press.

———. 2011d. *An Introduction to the Law of Trusts*. 3rd ed. Vol. Clarendon law series. New York: Oxford University Press.

Gravells, Nigel P. 1977. 'Public Purpose Trusts'. *Modern Law Review* 40.
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/modlr40&div=40&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab.

Green, B. 1984. 'Grey, Oughtred and Vandervell - A Contextual Reappraisal'. *Modern Law Review*.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/modlr47&id=405>.

Hayton, David. 1995. 'Chapter 1, Equity's Identification Rules'. In *Laundering and Tracing*, 1–21. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=730137f3-f691-e611-80c7-005056af4099>.

Hodge, David. 1980. 'Secret Trusts: The Fraud Theory Revisited'. *The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer* 44 (1): 341–50.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=55e0443c-9aa3-e711-80cb-005056af4099>.

John Mee. 2012. 'Jones v Kernott: Inferring and Imputing in Essex'. *Conveyancer and Property Lawyer*, 167–80.
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I16D6DF4187B011E1BF2ED535FDE7B006/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Jones v Kernott [2011] UKSC 53. n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2GdnZuto0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

March 21, 22, 23; June 14(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. n.d. *Bank of Credit and Commerce International V Akindele* [2001] Ch 437. *437 *Bank of Credit and Commerce International (Overseas) Ltd and Another v Akindele*.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad6ada7000001685c2b9675e60a8ad6&docguid=I6EBA6DE0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I6EBA46D0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=7&spos=7&epos=7∓td=8&crumb-action=append&context=51&resolvein=true>.

Marr (Appellant) v Collie (Respondent) (Bahamas). n.d.
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXeJmZGdo2qdlIOuDYL2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa/marr-appellant-v-collie-respondent-bahamas>.

Martin Dixon. 2012. 'Editor's Notebook: The Still Not Ended, Never-Ending Story'. *Conveyancer and Property Lawyer*, 83–86.
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I16D57FB087B011E1BF2ED535FDE7B006/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Martin George*. 2017. 'Presuming Too Little about Resulting and Constructive Trusts? *Marr v Collie* [2017] UKPC 17'. *The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer*, 303–12.
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IC23552707E7411E7AA22E07DFD66C964/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Mascall v Mascall (1985) 50 P. & C.R. 119. n.d. *119 *Mascall v Mascall*.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e000001648409510b5dd64796&docguid=IEF8836F0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=IEF880FE0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=14&crumb-action=append&context=36&resolvein=true>.

McPhail v Doulton [1971] AC 424. n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utn1aZnSils1jxAZrwAjrXAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Murad v Al-Saraj [2005] EWCA Civ 959. n.d. *Murad v Al-Saraj*.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad6ada7000001685c255a59b922c4e7&docguid=I096CC900E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I096CA1F0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=2&spos=2&epos=2&td=3&crumb-action=append&context=25&resolvein=true>.

Nelson v Greening & Sykes [2007] EWCA Civ 1358. n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZeJnXeJmZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

Nicholls, Lord. 1998. 'Chapter 15, Knowing Receipt: The Need for a New Landmark, from: *Restitution: Past, Present and Future: Essays in Honour of Gareth Jones*'. In *Restitution: Past, Present and Future: Essays in Honour of Gareth Jones*, 231–46. Oxford: Hart.
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1772785>.

Parkinson, Patrick. 2002. 'Reconceptualising the Express Trust'. *Cambridge Law Journal* 61 (3).
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/S0008197302001769>.

Pearce, Robert A., and Warren Barr. 2018a. *Pearce & Stevens' Trusts and Equitable Obligations*. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018b. *Pearce & Stevens' Trusts and Equitable Obligations*. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018c. *Pearce & Stevens' Trusts and Equitable Obligations*. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018d. *Pearce & Stevens' Trusts and Equitable Obligations*. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018e. *Pearce & Stevens' Trusts and Equitable Obligations*. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018f. *Pearce & Stevens' Trusts and Equitable Obligations*. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford

University Press.

———. 2018g. *Pearce & Stevens' Trusts and Equitable Obligations*. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018h. *Pearce & Stevens' Trusts and Equitable Obligations*. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018i. *Pearce & Stevens' Trusts and Equitable Obligations*. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018j. *Pearce & Stevens' Trusts and Equitable Obligations*. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018k. *Pearce & Stevens' Trusts and Equitable Obligations*. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2018l. *Pearce & Stevens' Trusts and Equitable Obligations*. 7th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Penner, J. E., and Jeremiah Lau. 2019a. *The Law of Trusts*. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2019b. *The Law of Trusts*. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2019c. *The Law of Trusts*. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2019d. *The Law of Trusts*. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2019e. *The Law of Trusts*. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2019f. *The Law of Trusts*. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2019g. *The Law of Trusts*. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2019h. *The Law of Trusts*. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2019i. *The Law of Trusts*. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2019j. *The Law of Trusts*. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

———. 2019k. *The Law of Trusts*. Eleventh edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Pennington v Waine [2002] 1 WLR 2075. n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qdn0itnSils1jxAZrWAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Re Baden (No 2) [1973] 1 Ch 9. n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udnZqJmSils1jxAZrWAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha/badens-deed-trusts-no-2-in-re>.

Re Denley's Trust Deed [1969] 1 Ch 373. n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2ydo2adnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Re Lipinski [1976] Ch 235. n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJm4utmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Re Vandervell (No 2) [1967] 2 AC 291. n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4ytm3Cdm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/vandervell-v-commissioners-of-inland-revenue>.

'Reconceptualising the Express Trust'. 2002. Cambridge Law Journal 61 (3).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197302001769>.

Roche, Juanita. 2017. 'RETURNING TO CLARITY AND PRINCIPLE: THE PRIVY COUNCIL ON STACK v DOWDEN'. The Cambridge Law Journal 76 (3): 493–96.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197317000769>.

Rotherham, Craig. 2000. 'Chapter 6, Tracing and Justice in Bankruptcy'. In Restitution and Insolvency, 113–33. London: Mansfield Press.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=4e6a61b4-ec1d-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>.

Royal Brunei Airlines v Tan [1995] 2 AC 378. n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXitn1qdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>.

'Sharing Homes | Law Commission'. n.d.
<https://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/sharing-homes/#sharing-homes>.

SIMON GARDNER*. 1993. 'Rethinking Family Property'. Law Quarterly Review, 263–300.
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICD0DED70E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

———. 1996. 'Knowing Assistance and Knowing Receipt: Taking Stock'. Law Quarterly Review, 56–94.
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICCE41E51E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>.

Smith, Lionel D. 1995. 'Tracing into the Payment of a Debt'. The Cambridge Law Journal 54 (02). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197300083677>.

Stack v Dowden [2007] UKHL 17, [2007] 2 AC 432. n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2mZn0itnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha/stack-v-dowden>.

Target Holdings v Redferns [1996] AC 421. n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qJn0GdnZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/target-holdings-ltd-v-redferns-hl>.

The Federal Republic of Brazil and Another (Respondents) v Durant International

Corporation and Another (Appellants) (Jersey). n.d.
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXajN1GJnZadIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULeZlOdm9baa/the-federal-republic-of-brazil-and-another-respondents-v-durant>.

Thomas, S. B. 2001. "'Goodbye' Knowing Receipt. 'Hello' Unconscientious Receipt'.
Oxford Journal of Legal Studies 21 (2): 239–65. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/21.2.239>.

Vandervell v IRC [1967] 2 AC 291. n.d.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJn5KJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.