


Law of Trusts (LAWW3051) (LAWW3024) (LAWW3025)

[View Online](#)

Apr. 3, 4.(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. (n.d.). Re Rose [1952] Ch 499. In *499 In Re Rose.

<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e000001648408161f5dd6478e&docguid=I8F996330E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I8F993C20E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=15&spos=15&epos=15&td=18&crumb-action=append&context=24&resolvein=true>

Barlow Clowes International Ltd v Vaughan [1992] 4 All ER 22. (n.d.).

<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-49F0-TWP1-60B5-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>

Bishopgate Investment Management Ltd v Homan [1995] 1 All ER 347. (n.d.).

<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-4J90-TWP1-60R5-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>

Boardman v Phipps [1967] 2 AC 46. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZn0itmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Boscawen v Bajwa [1995] 4 All ER 769. (n.d.).

<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-4J80-TWP1-601G-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>

Charities Act 2011. (n.d.). <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2011/25/contents/enacted>

Craig Rotherham. (2004). The property rights of unmarried cohabitants: the case for reform. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer, 268–292.

<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IA73757D0E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Crown copyright. (n.d.). Curtis v Pulbrook [2011] EWHC 167 (Ch). In Richard Anthony

Curtis, Judith Anne Ambler, Susan Edlizabeth Broker (the personal representatives of Arthur Ronald Towns, deceased) v Richard Henry Pulbrook, Anucha Pulbrook, Alice Pulbrook.

<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad6ada700000164840a83bcfb0e859c&docguid=IB45F3170363C11E09562B961F78E26BE&hitguid=I2225BC2030BF11E080B7E3968EE9A6A3&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=3&crumb-action=append&context=50&resolvein=true>

Developing the obligation characteristic of the trust. (2001a). Law Quarterly Review, 117.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c0970000014d7c0c50008d93177f&docguid=ICCAEDD80E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=ICCAEDD80E7211>

1DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=8&resolvein=true

Developing the obligation characteristic of the trust. (2001b). Law Quarterly Review, 117. <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744c0970000014d7c0c50008d93177f&docguid=ICCAEDD80E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&hitguid=ICCAEDD80E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=8&resolvein=true>

Discretionary Trusts and Powers of Appointment: Progressive Assimilation. (1992). In The Canterbury law review (Vol. 5). Faculty of Law of the University of Canterbury. <https://ir.canterbury.ac.nz/handle/10092/3253>

Emery, C. T. (1982). The most hallowed principle: certainty of beneficiaries of trusts and powers of appointment. The Law Quarterly Review, 98. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=9e42ab19-4e99-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

Explaining the Trust. (2015). The Law Quarterly Review, 131.

Feb. 12, 13, 14, 15; March 29(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. (n.d.). Lloyds Bank Ltd v Rosset [1991] AC 107. In *107 Lloyds Bank Plc. Appellants v Rosset and Another Respondents. <https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e000001685be02319fb1ea9e0&docguid=IE21B2C20E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=IE21B0510E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=2&crumb-action=append&context=145&resolvein=true>

Feb. 20.1941 Nov. 14, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24.(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. (n.d.). Regal (Hastings) Ltd v Gulliver [1942] 1 All ER 378. In *134 Regal (Hastings) Ltd. v Gulliver and Others. <https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad62903000001685c23b2651cbe6fc9&docguid=I853552A0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I85352B90E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=17&resolvein=true>

FHR European Ventures LLP v Cedar Capital Partners LLC [2014] UKSC 45, [2014] 3 WLR 535. (n.d.). <https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXadn2mdo1KdlIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

Foskett v McKeown [1997] 3 All ER 392 (CA). (n.d.). <https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4CSP-4J80-TWP1-60JM-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>

Foskett v McKeown [2001] 1 AC 102 (HL). (n.d.). <https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3GJn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/foskett-v-mckeown>

Gardner, S. (2011a). An introduction to the law of trusts: Vol. Clarendon law series (3rd ed). Oxford University Press. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=845987>

Gardner, S. (2011b). An introduction to the law of trusts: Vol. Clarendon law series (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Gardner, S. (2011c). An introduction to the law of trusts: Vol. Clarendon law series (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Gardner, S. (2011d). An introduction to the law of trusts: Vol. Clarendon law series (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.

Gravells, Nigel P. (1977). Public Purpose Trusts. Modern Law Review, 40.
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/modlr40&div=40&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab

Green, B. (1984). Grey, Oughtred and Vandervell - A Contextual Reappraisal. Modern Law Review.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/modlr47&id=405>

Hayton, D. (1995). Chapter 1, Equity's Identification Rules. In Laundering and tracing (pp. 1-21). Clarendon Press.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=730137f3-f691-e611-80c7-005056af4099>

Hodge, D. (1980). Secret Trusts: The Fraud Theory Revisited. The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer, 44(1), 341-350.
<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=55e0443c-9aa3-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

John Mee. (2012). Jones v Kernott: inferring and imputing in Essex. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer, 167-180.
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I16D6DF4187B011E1BF2ED535FDE7B006/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Jones v Kernott [2011] UKSC 53. (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2GdnZuto0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

March 21, 22, 23; June 14(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. (n.d.). Bank of Credit and Commerce International V Akindele [2001] Ch 437. In *437 Bank of Credit and Commerce International (Overseas) Ltd and Another v Akindele.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad6ada7000001685c2b9675e60a8ad6&docguid=I6EBA6DE0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I6EBA46D0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=7&spos=7&epos=7∓td=8&crumb-action=append&context=51&resolvein=true>

Marr (Appellant) v Collie (Respondent) (Bahamas). (n.d.).
<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXeJmZGdo2qdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa/marr-appellant-v-collie-respondent-bahamas>

Martin Dixon. (2012). Editor's notebook: the still not ended, never-ending story. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer, 83-86.
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/I16D57FB087B011E1BF2ED535FDE7B006/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Martin George*. (2017). Presuming too little about resulting and constructive trusts? *Marr v Collie* [2017] UKPC 17. *The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer*, 303–312.
<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IC23552707E7411E7AA22E07DFD66C964/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Mascall v Mascall (1985) 50 P. & C.R. 119. (n.d.). In *119 *Mascall v Mascall*.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e000001648409510b5dd64796&docguid=IEF8836F0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=IEF880FE0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=14&crumb-action=append&context=36&resolvein=true>

McPhail v Doulton [1971] AC 424. (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utn1aZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Murad v Al-Saraj [2005] EWCA Civ 959. (n.d.). In *Murad v Al-Saraj*.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad6ada7000001685c255a59b922c4e7&docguid=I096CC900E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I096CA1F0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=2&spos=2&epos=2&td=3&crumb-action=append&context=25&resolvein=true>

Nelson v Greening & Sykes [2007] EWCA Civ 1358. (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZeJnXeJmZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

Nicholls, Lord. (1998). Chapter 15, Knowing Receipt: The Need for a New Landmark, from: *Restitution : past, present and future : essays in honour of Gareth Jones*. In *Restitution: past, present and future : essays in honour of Gareth Jones* (pp. 231–246). Hart.
<http://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1772785>

Parkinson, P. (2002). Reconceptualising the express trust. *Cambridge Law Journal*, 61(3).
<https://www.cambridge.org/core/services/aop-cambridge-core/content/view/S0008197302001769>

Pearce, R. A., & Barr, W. (2018a). *Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations* (7th ed). Oxford University Press.

Pearce, R. A., & Barr, W. (2018b). *Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations* (7th ed). Oxford University Press.

Pearce, R. A., & Barr, W. (2018c). *Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations* (7th ed). Oxford University Press.

Pearce, R. A., & Barr, W. (2018d). *Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations* (7th ed). Oxford University Press.

Pearce, R. A., & Barr, W. (2018e). *Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations* (7th ed). Oxford University Press.

Pearce, R. A., & Barr, W. (2018f). *Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations* (7th ed). Oxford University Press.

Pearce, R. A., & Barr, W. (2018g). Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations (7th ed). Oxford University Press.

Pearce, R. A., & Barr, W. (2018h). Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations (7th ed). Oxford University Press.

Pearce, R. A., & Barr, W. (2018i). Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations (7th ed). Oxford University Press.

Pearce, R. A., & Barr, W. (2018j). Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations (7th ed). Oxford University Press.

Pearce, R. A., & Barr, W. (2018k). Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations (7th ed). Oxford University Press.

Pearce, R. A., & Barr, W. (2018l). Pearce & Stevens' trusts and equitable obligations (7th ed). Oxford University Press.

Penner, J. E., & Lau, J. (2019a). The law of trusts (Eleventh edition). Oxford University Press.

Penner, J. E., & Lau, J. (2019b). The law of trusts (Eleventh edition). Oxford University Press.

Penner, J. E., & Lau, J. (2019c). The law of trusts (Eleventh edition). Oxford University Press.

Penner, J. E., & Lau, J. (2019d). The law of trusts (Eleventh edition). Oxford University Press.

Penner, J. E., & Lau, J. (2019e). The law of trusts (Eleventh edition). Oxford University Press.

Penner, J. E., & Lau, J. (2019f). The law of trusts (Eleventh edition). Oxford University Press.

Penner, J. E., & Lau, J. (2019g). The law of trusts (Eleventh edition). Oxford University Press.

Penner, J. E., & Lau, J. (2019h). The law of trusts (Eleventh edition). Oxford University Press.

Penner, J. E., & Lau, J. (2019i). The law of trusts (Eleventh edition). Oxford University Press.

Penner, J. E., & Lau, J. (2019j). The law of trusts (Eleventh edition). Oxford University Press.

Penner, J. E., & Lau, J. (2019k). The law of trusts (Eleventh edition). Oxford University Press.

Pennington v Waine [2002] 1 WLR 2075. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qdn0itnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Re Baden (No 2) [1973] 1 Ch 9. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udnZqJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha/badens-deed-trusts-no-2-in-re>

Re Denley's Trust Deed [1969] 1 Ch 373. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2ydo2adnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Re Lipinski [1976] Ch 235. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2ujm4utmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Re Vandervell (No 2) [1967] 2 AC 291. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4ytm3Cdm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBj rMi6atF/vandervell-v-commissioners-of-inland-revenue>

Reconceptualising the express trust. (2002). Cambridge Law Journal, 61(3).

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197302001769>

Roche, J. (2017). RETURNING TO CLARITY AND PRINCIPLE: THE PRIVY COUNCIL ON STACK v DOWDEN. The Cambridge Law Journal, 76(3), 493–496.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197317000769>

Rotherham, C. (2000). Chapter 6, Tracing and Justice in Bankruptcy. In Restitution and insolvency (pp. 113–133). Mansfield Press.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=4e6a61b4-ec1d-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

Royal Brunei Airlines v Tan [1995] 2 AC 378. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXitn1qdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOd m9baa>

Sharing Homes | Law Commission. (n.d.).

<https://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/sharing-homes/#sharing-homes>

SIMON GARDNER*. (1993). Rethinking family property. Law Quarterly Review, 263–300.

<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICD0DED70E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

SIMON GARDNER*. (1996). Knowing assistance and knowing receipt: taking stock. Law Quarterly Review, 56–94.

<https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/ICCE41E51E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028/View/FullText.html?skipAnonymous=true>

Smith, L. D. (1995). Tracing into the Payment of a Debt. The Cambridge Law Journal, 54 (02). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197300083677>

Stack v Dowden [2007] UKHL 17, [2007] 2 AC 432. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2mZn0itnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha/stack-v-dowden>

Target Holdings v Redferns [1996] AC 421. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5qJn0GdnZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/target-holdings-ltd-v-redferns-hl>

The Federal Republic of Brazil and another (Respondents) v Durant International Corporation and another (Appellants) (Jersey). (n.d.).

<http://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXajN1GJnZadIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULeZlOdm9baa/the-federal-republic-of-brazil-and-another-respondents-v-durant>

Thomas, S. B. (2001). 'Goodbye' Knowing Receipt. 'Hello' Unconscientious Receipt. Oxford Journal of Legal Studies, 21(2), 239–265. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/21.2.239>

Vandervell v IRC [1967] 2 AC 291. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJn5KJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>