L34544, L34561 & L34678. Public management and governance



1.

Ashworth R, Ferlie E, Hammerschmid G, Moon MJ, Reay T. Theorizing Contemporary Public Management: International and Comparative Perspectives. British Journal of Management. 2013 Sep;24:S1–17.

2.

Bevir M, Rhodes RAW. Searching for civil society: changing patterns of governance in Britain. Public Administration. 2003 Mar;81(1):41–62.

З.

Bevir M, Rhodes RAW, Weller P. Traditions of governance: interpreting the changing role of the public sector. Public Administration. 2003 Mar;81(1):1–17.

4.

Brandsen T, Kim S. Contextualizing the meaning of public management reforms: a comparison of the Netherlands and South Korea. International Review of Administrative Sciences. 2010 Jun 1;76(2):367–86.

5.

Chandler J, Barry J, Clark H. Stressing Academe: The Wear and Tear of the New Public Management. Human Relations. 2002 Sep 1;55(9):1051–69.

Considine M, Lewis JM. Bureaucracy, Network, or Enterprise? Comparing Models of Governance in Australia, Britain, the Netherlands, and New Zealand. Public Administration Review. 2003 Mar;63(2):131–40.

7.

Dunleavy P. New Public Management Is Dead--Long Live Digital-Era Governance. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory. 2005 Sep 15;16(3):467–94.

8.

Ferlie E, Hartley J, Martin S. Changing Public Service Organizations: Current Perspectives and Future Prospects. British Journal of Management. 2003 Dec;14(s1):S1–14.

9.

Hajer M. Policy without polity? Policy analysis and the institutional void. Policy Sciences. 2003;36(2):175–95.

10.

HOOD C. Intellectual Obsolescence and Intellectual Makeovers: Reflections on the Tools of Government after Two Decades. Governance. 2007 Jan;20(1):127–44.

11.

HOOD C, DIXON R. A MODEL OF COST-CUTTING IN GOVERNMENT? THE GREAT MANAGEMENT REVOLUTION IN UK CENTRAL GOVERNMENT RECONSIDERED. Public Administration. 2013 Mar;91(1):114–34.

12.

Hood C. The Middle Aging of New Public Management: Into the Age of Paradox? Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory. 2004 Jul 1;14(3):267–82.

Jones BD. Bounded Rationality and Political Science: Lessons from Public Administration and Public Policy. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory. 2003 Oct 1;13(4):395–412.

14.

Kickert WJM. Beneath consensual corporatism: traditions of governance in the Netherlands. Public Administration. 2003 Mar;81(1):119-40.

15.

LE GRAND J. Knights, Knaves or Pawns? Human Behaviour and Social Policy. Journal of Social Policy. 1997 Apr;26(2):149–69.

16.

Lynn Jr. LE. The Myth of the Bureaucratic Paradigm: What Traditional Public Administration Really Stood For. Public Administration Review. 2001 Mar;61(2):144–60.

17.

Martin S. The Modernization of UK Local Government: Markets, Managers, Monitors and Mixed Fortunes. Public Management Review. 2002 Jan;4(3):291–307.

18.

Rhodes RAW. The New Governance: Governing without Government. Political Studies. 1996 Sep;44(4):652–67.

19.

Rhodes RAW. Understanding Governance: Ten Years On. Organization Studies. 2007 Aug 1;28(8):1243–64.

20.

Skelcher C. Changing images of the State: overloaded, hollowed-out, congested. Public

Policy and Administration. 2000 Jul 1;15(3):3-19.

21.

Stewart J, Walsh K. Change in the management of public services. Public administration. 1992;70(4):499–518.

22.

Zafirovski M. Administration and Society: Beyond Public Choice? Public Administration. 2001 Sep;79(3):665–88.

23.

Bryson JM. What to do when Stakeholders matter. Public Management Review. 2004 Mar;6(1):21–53.

24.

Hajer M, Versteeg W. performing governance through networks. European Political Science [Internet]. 2005 Sep;4(3):340–7. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210034

25.

Hartley J, Sørensen E, Torfing J. Collaborative Innovation: A Viable Alternative to Market Competition and Organizational Entrepreneurship. Public Administration Review. 2013 Nov;73(6):821–30.

26.

Klijn E hans. designing and managing networks: possibilities and limitations for network management. European Political Science. 2005 Sep;4(3):328–39.

27.

KLIJN EH, SKELCHER C. DEMOCRACY AND GOVERNANCE NETWORKS: COMPATIBLE OR

NOT? Public Administration. 2007 Sep;85(3):587-608.

28.

Papadopoulos Y. taking stock of multi-level governance networks. European Political Science [Internet]. 2005 Sep;4(3):316–27. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210032

29.

Skelcher C, Mathur N, Smith M. The Public Governance of Collaborative Spaces: Discourse, Design and Democracy. Public Administration. 2005 Aug;83(3):573–96.

30.

Smith M, Beazley M. Progressive Regimes, Partnerships and the Involvement of Local Communities: A Framework for Evaluation. Public Administration. 2000 Jan;78(4):855–78.

31.

Sørensen E. the democratic problems and potentials of network governance. European Political Science [Internet]. 2005 Sep;4(3):348–57. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210033

32.

Stoker G. Public Value Management: A New Narrative for Networked Governance? The American Review of Public Administration. 2006 Mar 1;36(1):41–57.

33.

STOKER G. WAS LOCAL GOVERNANCE SUCH A GOOD IDEA? A GLOBAL COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE. Public Administration. 2011 Mar;89(1):15–31.

Torfing J. symposium: governance networks. European Political Science [Internet]. 2005 Sep;4(3):301–4. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210030

35.

Torfing J. governance network theory: towards a second generation. European Political Science [Internet]. 2005 Sep;4(3):305–15. Available from: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210031

36.

WEST K, DAVIS P. WHAT IS THE PUBLIC VALUE OF GOVERNMENT ACTION? TOWARDS A (NEW) PRAGMATIC APPROACH TO VALUES QUESTIONS IN PUBLIC ENDEAVOURS. Public Administration. 2011 Jun;89(2):226–41.

37.

Skelcher C. Changing images of the State: overloaded, hollowed-out, congested. Public Policy and Administration. 2000 Jul 1;15(3):3–19.

38.

Adsera A. Are You Being Served? Political Accountability and Quality of Government. Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization. 2003 Oct 1;19(2):445–90.

39.

Bawn K, Rosenbluth F. Short versus Long Coalitions: Electoral Accountability and the Size of the Public Sector. American Journal of Political Science. 2006 Apr;50(2):251–65.

40.

Chapman R, Lowndes V. Accountable, authorized or authentic? What do 'faith representatives' offer urban governance? Public Money & Management. 2009 Nov;29(6):371–8.

Christensen M, Skærbæk P. Framing and overflowing of public sector accountability innovations. Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal. 2007 Mar 6;20(1):101–32.

42.

Considine M. The End of the Line? Accountable Governance in the Age of Networks, Partnerships, and Joined-Up Services. Governance. 2002 Jan;15(1):21–40.

43.

Cunningham GM, Harris JE. Toward a Theory of Performance Reporting to Achieve Public Sector Accountability: A Field Study. Public Budgeting <html_ent glyph="@amp;" ascii="&"/> Finance. 2005 Jun;25(2):15-42.

44.

Dereli C. Smoke and mirrors and performance management. International Journal of Public Sector Management. 2011 Jan 25;24(1):42–56.

45.

Flinders M. Daring to be a Daniel: The Pathology of Politicized Accountability in a Monitory Democracy. Administration & Society. 2011 Jul 1;43(5):595–619.

46.

Glynn JJ, Murphy MP. Public management. International Journal of Public Sector Management. 1996 Sep;9(5/6):125–37.

47.

Heinrich CJ. Outcomes-Based Performance Management in the Public Sector: Implications for Government Accountability and Effectiveness. Public Administration Review. 2002 Nov;62(6):712–25.

Monfardini P. Accountability in the new public sector: a comparative case study. International Journal of Public Sector Management. 2010 Oct 5;23(7):632–46.

49.

Mulgan R. 'Accountability': An Ever-Expanding Concept? Public Administration. 2000 Jan;78(3):555–73.

50.

Papenfuss U, Schaefer C. Improving public accountability by aligning reporting to organizational changes in public service provision - an empirical Internet study of all Austrian, German and Swiss towns and states from an agency-theory perspective. International Review of Administrative Sciences. 2010 Sep 1;76(3):555–76.

51.

Ryan C, Walsh P. Collaboration of public sector agencies: reporting and accountability challenges. International Journal of Public Sector Management. 2004 Dec;17(7):621–31.

52.

Shaoul J. 'Sharing' political authority with finance capital: The case of Britain's Public Private Partnerships. Policy and Society. 2011 Sep;30(3):209–20.

53.

Tetlock PE. Vying for Rhetorical High Ground in Accountability Debates: It Is Easy to Look Down on Those Who Look Soft on. Administration & Society. 2011 Sep 1;43(6):693–703.

54.

Willems T, Van Dooren W. Lost in diffusion? How collaborative arrangements lead to an accountability paradox. International Review of Administrative Sciences. 2011 Sep 1;77(3):505–30.

Sørensen E. the democratic problems and potentials of network governance. European Political Science. 2005 Sep;4(3):348–57.

56.

Berg AM. Transforming public services – transforming the public servant? International Journal of Public Sector Management. 2006 Oct;19(6):556–68.

57.

BATTEN E, CORREIA L, HEDGES H, KAVANAGH L, PAGE EC, PAUL G, et al. EXPERTISE AND POLICY-MAKING: LEGAL PROFESSIONALS IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT. Public Administration. 2006 Aug 15;84(3):771–81.

58.

Burgess S. The Role of Incentives in the Public Sector: Issues and Evidence. Oxford Review of Economic Policy. 2003 Jun 1;19(2):285–300.

59.

Carboni N. Changing relationships between politicians and bureaucrats in contemporary democracies: an empirical analysis of the Italian experience. International public management review [Internet]. 2010;11(1):90–109. Available from: http://journals.sfu.ca/ipmr/index.php/ipmr/article/view/77/77

60.

Farrell C, Morris J. The `Neo-Bureaucratic' State: Professionals, Managers and Professional Managers in Schools, General Practices and Social Work. Organization. 2003 Feb 1;10(1):129–56.

61.

Fitzgerald L, Ferlie E. Professionals: Back to the Future? Human Relations. 2000 May

1;53(5):713-39.

62.

Greener I. Understanding NHS Reform: The Policy-Transfer, Social Learning, and Path-Dependency Perspectives. Governance. 2002 Apr;15(2):161–83.

63.

Horner L, Lekhi R, Blaug R. Deliberative democracy and the role of public managers [Internet]. 2006. Available from: http://www.theworkfoundation.com/DownloadPublication/Report/107_107_Deliberative%20 democracy%20and%20the%20role%20of%20public%20managers.pdf

64.

HOWLETT M, WELLSTEAD AM. Policy Analysts in the Bureaucracy Revisited: The Nature of Professional Policy Work in Contemporary Government. Politics & Policy. 2011 Aug;39(4):613–33.

65.

Kearney, Richard C. Professionalism and Bureaucratic Responsiveness: Conflict or Compatibility? Public Administration Review [Internet]. 1988;48(1). Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=4599374&site=ehost-li ve

66.

Kirkpatrick I, Jespersen PK, Dent M, Neogy I. Medicine and management in a comparative perspective: the case of Denmark and England. Sociology of Health & Illness. 2009 Jul;31(5):642–58.

67.

Parsons W. Modernising Policy-making for the Twenty First Century: The Professional Model. Public Policy and Administration. 2001 Jul 1;16(3):93–110.

Pedersen D, Hartley J. The changing context of public leadership and management. International Journal of Public Sector Management. 2008 May 30;21(4):327–39.

69.

Probst HB, Hussain ZB, Andersen O. Cancer patient pathways in Denmark as a joint effort between bureau... - PubMed - NCBI [Internet]. 2011. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22136810

70.

Sehested K. HOW NEW PUBLIC MANAGEMENT REFORMS CHALLENGE THE ROLES OF PROFESSIONALS. International Journal of Public Administration. 2002 Nov;25(12):1513–37.

71.

Taylor I, Kelly J. Professionals, discretion and public sector reform in the UK: re-visiting Lipsky. International Journal of Public Sector Management. 2006 Dec;19(7):629–42.

72.

Tummers L. Explaining the willingness of public professionals to implement new policies: a policy alienation framework. International Review of Administrative Sciences. 2011 Sep 1;77(3):555–81.

73.

Considine M. The End of the Line? Accountable Governance in the Age of Networks, Partnerships, and Joined-Up Services. Governance. 2002 Jan;15(1):21–40.

74.

Willems T, Van Dooren W. Lost in diffusion? How collaborative arrangements lead to an accountability paradox. International Review of Administrative Sciences. 2011 Sep 1;77(3):505–30.

Alcock P. A strategic unity: defining the third sector in the UK. Voluntary sector review [Internet]. 2010;1(1):5–24. Available from: https://www.proquest.com/docview/1885989521?pq-origsite=primo&accountid=8018

76.

Bode I. Co-governance within networks and the non-profit – for-profit divide. Public Management Review. 2006 Dec;8(4):551–66.

77.

CUNNINGHAM I. A RACE TO THE BOTTOM? EXPLORING VARIATIONS IN EMPLOYMENT CONDITIONS IN THE VOLUNTARY SECTOR. Public Administration. 2008 Oct 24;86(4):1033–53.

78.

Davis Smith J. The inflatable log: volunteering, the state and democracy. Voluntary action. 2001;3(3):13–26.

79.

Harris B. Voluntary action and the state in historical perspective. Voluntary sector review [Internet]. 2010;1(1):25–40. Available from: https://search.proquest.com/docview/1885989660/shibboleth?accountid=8018

80.

Harrow J, Jung T. Philanthropy is Dead; Long Live Philanthropy? Public Management Review. 2011 Nov;13(8):1047–56.

81.

KELLY J. REFORMING PUBLIC SERVICES IN THE UK: BRINGING IN THE THIRD SECTOR. Public

Administration. 2007 Dec;85(4):1003-22.

82.

Lewis J. New Labour's Approach to the Voluntary Sector: Independence and the Meaning of Partnership. Social Policy and Society. 2005 Apr;4(2):121–31.

83.

LOWNDES V, PRATCHETT L, STOKER G. LOCAL POLITICAL PARTICIPATION: THE IMPACT OF RULES-IN-USE. Public Administration. 2006 Aug 15;84(3):539–61.

84.

Lowndes V, Sullivan H. Like a Horse and Carriage or a Fish on a Bicycle: How Well do Local Partnerships and Public Participation go Together? Local Government Studies. 2004 Mar;30(1):51–73.

85.

Srinivas N. Against NGOs?: A Critical Perspective on Nongovernmental Action. Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly. 2009 Aug 1;38(4):614–26.

86.

Taylor M. Co-option or Empowerment?: The Changing Relationship between the State and the Voluntary and Community Sectors. Local Governance. 2002;28(1):1–11.

87.

Tiwana M, Belay N. Civil society: the clampdown is real [Internet]. 2010. Available from: http://www.civicus.org/view/media/CIVICUS_%20paper_%20global_%20trends_%20Civil%2 0Society_%20Space_%202009-201012[1].pdf

88.

Aberbach JD, Christensen T. Citizens and Consumers. Public Management Review. 2005

Jun;7(2):225-46.

89.

Arnstein SR. A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. Journal of the American Institute of Planners. 1969;35(4):216–24.

90.

Bang HP. 'Yes we can': identity politics and project politics for a late-modern world. Urban research & practice [Internet]. 2009;2(2):117–37. Available from: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/17535060902979022

91.

Bang HP, Sorensen E. The everyday maker: a new challenge to democratic governance. Administrative Theory & Praxis [Internet]. 1999;21(3):324–41. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10841806.1999.11643381

92.

Davies JS. The governance of urban regeneration: a critique of the 'governing without government' thesis. Public Administration. 2002 Jan;80(2):301–22.

93.

Davies JS. The Limits of Partnership: An Exit-Action Strategy for Local Democratic Inclusion. Political Studies. 2007 Dec;55(4):779–800.

94.

Dwyer P. Making sense of social citizenship: some user views on welfare rights and responsibilities. Critical Social Policy. 2002 May 1;22(2):273–99.

95.

Hastak, Manoj. The Role of Consumer Surveys in Public Policy Decision Making. Journal of

Public Policy & Marketing [Internet]. 2001;20(2). Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=5578310&site=ehost-li ve

96.

Johnson C, Osborne SP. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. Public Money and Management. 2003 Jul;23(3):147–54.

97.

Nancarrow C, Evans M, Pallister J. Polls apart! Political, research and ethical lessons from UK pressure groups' use of opinion polls. International Journal of Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Marketing. 2003 May;8(2):181–93.

98.

Prabhakar R. Commercialisation or Citizenship? Politics. 2004 Sep;24(3):215–20.

99.

Stolle D, Hooghe M. Consumers as political participants? Shifts in political action repetoires in Western societies. In: Politics, products, and markets: exploring political consumerism past and present. New Brunswick, N.J.: Transaction Publishers; 2003.

100.

Roberts N. Public Deliberation in an Age of Direct Citizen Participation. The American Review of Public Administration. 2004 Dec 1;34(4):315–53.

101.

Davis Smith J. 'The Inflatable Log': volunteering, the state and democracy. 2001;

102.

Alford J. Why Do Public-Sector Clients Coproduce?: Toward a Contingency Theory.

Administration & Society. 2002 Mar 1;34(1):32–56.

103.

Arnstein SR. A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. Journal of the American Institute of Planners. 1969;35(4):216–24.

104.

Bovaird T. Beyond Engagement and Participation: User and Community Coproduction of Public Services. Public Administration Review. 2007 Sep;67(5):846–60.

105.

Brandsen T, Pestoff V. Co-production, the third sector and the delivery of public services. Public Management Review. 2006 Dec;8(4):493–501.

106.

Davies JS. The Limits of Partnership: An Exit-Action Strategy for Local Democratic Inclusion. Political Studies. 2007 Dec;55(4):779–800.

107.

Johnson C, Osborne SP. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. Public Money and Management. 2003 Jul;23(3):147–54.

108.

Mitlin D. With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. Environment and Urbanization. 2008 Oct 1;20(2):339–60.

109.

Pestoff V. Citizens and co-production of welfare services. Public Management Review. 2006 Dec;8(4):503–19.

Prentice S. Childcare, co-production and the third sector in Canada. Public Management Review. 2006 Dec;8(4):521–36.

111.

Johnson C, Osborne SP. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. Public Money and Management. 2003 Jul;23(3):147–54.

112.

Mitlin D. With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. Environment and Urbanization. 2008 Oct 1;20(2):339–60.

113.

Allen, Barbara Ann. BRIDGING THE DIVIDE - COMMERCIAL PROCUREMENT AND SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT: ARE THERE LESSONS FOR HEALTH CARE COMMISSIONING IN ENGLAND? Journal of Public Procurement [Internet]. 2009;9(1):79–108. Available from: http://search.proquest.com/docview/223182037/7627EE4859384E9CPQ/6?accountid=8018

114.

Aritua B, Smith NJ, Bower D. What risks are common to or amplified in programmes: Evidence from UK public sector infrastructure schemes. International Journal of Project Management. 2011 Apr;29(3):303–12.

115.

Bartlett W. Quasi-markets and contracts: A markets and hierarchies perspective on NHS reform. Public money & management. 1991;11(3):53–61.

Baxter K, Weiss M, Le Grand J. The dynamics of commissioning across organisational and clinical boundaries. Journal of Health Organization and Management. 2008 May 23;22(2):111–28.

117.

Bovaird T. Public–Private Partnerships: from Contested Concepts to Prevalent Practice. International Review of Administrative Sciences. 2004 Jun 1;70(2):199–215.

118.

Bovaird T. Developing New Forms of Partnership With the 'Market' in the Procurement of Public Services. Public Administration. 2006 Mar;84(1):81–102.

119.

Boyne GA. Competitive Tendering In Local Government: A Review Of Theory And Evidence. Public Administration. 1998 Jan;76(4):695–712.

120.

Bradley S, Taylor J. The Effect of the Quasi-market on the Efficiency-equity Trade-off in the Secondary School Sector. Bulletin of Economic Research. 2002 Jul;54(3):295–314.

121.

Bradley S, Taylor J. Diversity, Choice and the Quasi-market: An Empirical Analysis of Secondary Education Policy in England*. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics. 2010 Feb;72(1):1–26.

122.

Chalkley M. Competition in NHS quasi-markets. Oxford Review of Economic Policy. 1996 Dec 1;12(4):89–99.

Dopson S, Locock L. The Commissioning Process in the NHS: The theory and application. Public Management Review. 2002 Jan;4(2):209–29.

124.

Fischbacher M, Beaumont PB. PFI, Public—Private Partnerships and the Neglected Importance of Process: Stakeholders and the Employment Dimension. Public Money and Management. 2003 Jul;23(3):171–6.

125.

Gibbons, Stephen. CHOICE, COMPETITION, AND PUPIL ACHIEVEMENT. Journal of the European Economic Association [Internet]. 2008;6(4). Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=32604699&site=ehost-l ive

126.

Gray KE, Ghosh D. An empirical analysis of the purchaser-provider relationship in the NHS internal market. Journal of Management in Medicine. 2000 Mar;14(1):57–68.

127.

Ham C. World class commissioning: a health policy chimera? Journal of health services policy research [Internet]. 2008;13(2):116–21. Available from: https://www-jstor-org.nottingham.idm.oclc.org/stable/26751153?sid=primo

128.

Hoxley M. Purchasing UK public sector property and construction professional services: competition v quality. European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management. 2001 Jun;7(2):133–9.

129.

KNAPP M, HARDY B, FORDER J. Commissioning for Quality: Ten Years of Social Care Markets in England. Journal of Social Policy. 2001 Apr;30(02).

Lunt, Neil. Economic discourse and the market: The case of community care. Public Administration [Internet]. 74(3). Available from: http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=9702216351&site=eho st-live

131.

Matosevic T, Knapp M, Le Grand J. Motivation and Commissioning: Perceived and Expressed Motivations of Care Home Providers. Social Policy & Administration. 2008 Jun;42(3):228–47.

132.

Murray JG. Towards a common understanding of the differences between purchasing, procurement and commissioning in the UK public sector. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management. 2009 Sep;15(3):198–202.

133.

Petsoulas C, Allen P, Hughes D, Vincent-Jones P, Roberts J. The use of standard contracts in the English National Health Service: A case study analysis. Social Science & Medicine. 2011 Jul;73(2):185–92.

134.

Wade E. Commissioning reform in the NHS: will he who pays the piper ever really call the tune? Clinical Medicine. 2011 Feb 1;11(1):35–9.

135.

Williams I, Bovaird T, Brown H, Allen K, Dickinson H, Kennedy J, et al. Designing whole-systems commissioning: Lessons from the English experience. Journal of care services management [Internet]. 2012;6(2):83–92. Available from: http://pure-oai.bham.ac.uk/ws/files/10153987/Designing_whole_systems_commissioning.pd f Williams I, Bovaird T, Brown H, Allen K, Dickinson H, Kennedy J, et al. Designing whole-systems commissioning: Lessons from the English experience. Journal of care services management [Internet]. 2012;6(2):83–92. Available from: http://pure-oai.bham.ac.uk/ws/files/10153987/Designing_whole_systems_commissioning.pd f