


L34544, L34561 & L34678. Public management and governance

[View Online](#)

1.

Ashworth, R., Ferlie, E., Hammerschmid, G., Moon, M. J. & Reay, T. Theorizing Contemporary Public Management: International and Comparative Perspectives. *British Journal of Management* **24**, S1–S17 (2013).

2.

Bevir, M. & Rhodes, R. A. W. Searching for civil society: changing patterns of governance in Britain. *Public Administration* **81**, 41–62 (2003).

3.

Bevir, M., Rhodes, R. A. W. & Weller, P. Traditions of governance: interpreting the changing role of the public sector. *Public Administration* **81**, 1–17 (2003).

4.

Brandsen, T. & Kim, S. Contextualizing the meaning of public management reforms: a comparison of the Netherlands and South Korea. *International Review of Administrative Sciences* **76**, 367–386 (2010).

5.

Chandler, J., Barry, J. & Clark, H. Stressing Academe: The Wear and Tear of the New Public Management. *Human Relations* **55**, 1051–1069 (2002).

6.

Considine, M. & Lewis, J. M. Bureaucracy, Network, or Enterprise? Comparing Models of Governance in Australia, Britain, the Netherlands, and New Zealand. *Public Administration Review* **63**, 131–140 (2003).

7.

Dunleavy, P. New Public Management Is Dead--Long Live Digital-Era Governance. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* **16**, 467–494 (2005).

8.

Ferlie, E., Hartley, J. & Martin, S. Changing Public Service Organizations: Current Perspectives and Future Prospects. *British Journal of Management* **14**, S1–S14 (2003).

9.

Hajer, M. Policy without polity? Policy analysis and the institutional void. *Policy Sciences* **36**, 175–195 (2003).

10.

HOOD, C. Intellectual Obsolescence and Intellectual Makeovers: Reflections on the Tools of Government after Two Decades. *Governance* **20**, 127–144 (2007).

11.

HOOD, C. & DIXON, R. A MODEL OF COST-CUTTING IN GOVERNMENT? THE GREAT MANAGEMENT REVOLUTION IN UK CENTRAL GOVERNMENT RECONSIDERED. *Public Administration* **91**, 114–134 (2013).

12.

Hood, C. The Middle Aging of New Public Management: Into the Age of Paradox? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* **14**, 267–282 (2004).

13.

Jones, B. D. Bounded Rationality and Political Science: Lessons from Public Administration and Public Policy. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* **13**, 395–412 (2003).

14.

Kickert, W. J. M. Beneath consensual corporatism: traditions of governance in the Netherlands. *Public Administration* **81**, 119–140 (2003).

15.

LE GRAND, J. Knights, Knaves or Pawns? Human Behaviour and Social Policy. *Journal of Social Policy* **26**, 149–169 (1997).

16.

Lynn Jr., L. E. The Myth of the Bureaucratic Paradigm: What Traditional Public Administration Really Stood For. *Public Administration Review* **61**, 144–160 (2001).

17.

Martin, S. The Modernization of UK Local Government: Markets, Managers, Monitors and Mixed Fortunes. *Public Management Review* **4**, 291–307 (2002).

18.

Rhodes, R. A. W. The New Governance: Governing without Government. *Political Studies* **44**, 652–667 (1996).

19.

Rhodes, R. A. W. Understanding Governance: Ten Years On. *Organization Studies* **28**, 1243–1264 (2007).

20.

Skelcher, C. Changing images of the State: overloaded, hollowed-out, congested. *Public*

Policy and Administration **15**, 3–19 (2000).

21.

Stewart, J. & Walsh, K. Change in the management of public services. *Public administration* **70**, 499–518 (1992).

22.

Zafirovski, M. Administration and Society: Beyond Public Choice? *Public Administration* **79**, 665–688 (2001).

23.

Bryson, J. M. What to do when Stakeholders matter. *Public Management Review* **6**, 21–53 (2004).

24.

Hajer, M. & Versteeg, W. performing governance through networks. *European Political Science* **4**, 340–347 (2005).

25.

Hartley, J., Sørensen, E. & Torfing, J. Collaborative Innovation: A Viable Alternative to Market Competition and Organizational Entrepreneurship. *Public Administration Review* **73**, 821–830 (2013).

26.

Klijn, E. designing and managing networks: possibilities and limitations for network management. *European Political Science* **4**, 328–339 (2005).

27.

KLIJN, E.-H. & SKELCHER, C. DEMOCRACY AND GOVERNANCE NETWORKS: COMPATIBLE OR NOT? *Public Administration* **85**, 587–608 (2007).

28.

Papadopoulos, Y. taking stock of multi-level governance networks. *European Political Science* **4**, 316–327 (2005).

29.

Skelcher, C., Mathur, N. & Smith, M. The Public Governance of Collaborative Spaces: Discourse, Design and Democracy. *Public Administration* **83**, 573–596 (2005).

30.

Smith, M. & Beazley, M. Progressive Regimes, Partnerships and the Involvement of Local Communities: A Framework for Evaluation. *Public Administration* **78**, 855–878 (2000).

31.

Sørensen, E. the democratic problems and potentials of network governance. *European Political Science* **4**, 348–357 (2005).

32.

Stoker, G. Public Value Management: A New Narrative for Networked Governance? *The American Review of Public Administration* **36**, 41–57 (2006).

33.

STOKER, G. WAS LOCAL GOVERNANCE SUCH A GOOD IDEA? A GLOBAL COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE. *Public Administration* **89**, 15–31 (2011).

34.

Torring, J. symposium: governance networks. *European Political Science* **4**, 301–304 (2005).

35.

Torring, J. governance network theory: towards a second generation. *European Political Science* **4**, 305–315 (2005).

36.

WEST, K. & DAVIS, P. WHAT IS THE PUBLIC VALUE OF GOVERNMENT ACTION? TOWARDS A (NEW) PRAGMATIC APPROACH TO VALUES QUESTIONS IN PUBLIC ENDEAVOURS. *Public Administration* **89**, 226–241 (2011).

37.

Skelcher, C. Changing images of the State: overloaded, hollowed-out, congested. *Public Policy and Administration* **15**, 3–19 (2000).

38.

Adsera, A. Are You Being Served? Political Accountability and Quality of Government. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* **19**, 445–490 (2003).

39.

Bawn, K. & Rosenbluth, F. Short versus Long Coalitions: Electoral Accountability and the Size of the Public Sector. *American Journal of Political Science* **50**, 251–265 (2006).

40.

Chapman, R. & Lowndes, V. Accountable, authorized or authentic? What do 'faith representatives' offer urban governance? *Public Money & Management* **29**, 371–378 (2009).

41.

Christensen, M. & Skærbæk, P. Framing and overflowing of public sector accountability innovations. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal* **20**, 101–132 (2007).

42.

Considine, M. The End of the Line? Accountable Governance in the Age of Networks, Partnerships, and Joined-Up Services. *Governance* **15**, 21–40 (2002).

43.

Cunningham, G. M. & Harris, J. E. Toward a Theory of Performance Reporting to Achieve Public Sector Accountability: A Field Study. *Public Budgeting & Finance* **25**, 15–42 (2005).

44.

Dereli, C. Smoke and mirrors and performance management. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* **24**, 42–56 (2011).

45.

Flinders, M. Daring to be a Daniel: The Pathology of Politicized Accountability in a Monitory Democracy. *Administration & Society* **43**, 595–619 (2011).

46.

Glynn, J. J. & Murphy, M. P. Public management. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* **9**, 125–137 (1996).

47.

Heinrich, C. J. Outcomes-Based Performance Management in the Public Sector: Implications for Government Accountability and Effectiveness. *Public Administration Review* **62**, 712–725 (2002).

48.

Monfardini, P. Accountability in the new public sector: a comparative case study. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* **23**, 632–646 (2010).

49.

Mulgan, R. 'Accountability': An Ever-Expanding Concept? *Public Administration* **78**, 555–573 (2000).

50.

Papenfuss, U. & Schaefer, C. Improving public accountability by aligning reporting to organizational changes in public service provision - an empirical Internet study of all Austrian, German and Swiss towns and states from an agency-theory perspective. *International Review of Administrative Sciences* **76**, 555–576 (2010).

51.

Ryan, C. & Walsh, P. Collaboration of public sector agencies: reporting and accountability challenges. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* **17**, 621–631 (2004).

52.

Shaoul, J. 'Sharing' political authority with finance capital: The case of Britain's Public Private Partnerships. *Policy and Society* **30**, 209–220 (2011).

53.

Tetlock, P. E. Vying for Rhetorical High Ground in Accountability Debates: It Is Easy to Look Down on Those Who Look Soft on. *Administration & Society* **43**, 693–703 (2011).

54.

Willems, T. & Van Dooren, W. Lost in diffusion? How collaborative arrangements lead to an accountability paradox. *International Review of Administrative Sciences* **77**, 505–530 (2011).

55.

Sørensen, E. the democratic problems and potentials of network governance. *European Political Science* **4**, 348–357 (2005).

56.

Berg, A. M. Transforming public services – transforming the public servant? *International Journal of Public Sector Management* **19**, 556–568 (2006).

57.

BATTEN, E. et al. EXPERTISE AND POLICY-MAKING: LEGAL PROFESSIONALS IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT. *Public Administration* **84**, 771–781 (2006).

58.

Burgess, S. The Role of Incentives in the Public Sector: Issues and Evidence. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* **19**, 285–300 (2003).

59.

Carboni, N. Changing relationships between politicians and bureaucrats in contemporary democracies: an empirical analysis of the Italian experience. *International public management review* **11**, 90–109 (2010).

60.

Farrell, C. & Morris, J. The `Neo-Bureaucratic' State: Professionals, Managers and Professional Managers in Schools, General Practices and Social Work. *Organization* **10**, 129–156 (2003).

61.

Fitzgerald, L. & Ferlie, E. Professionals: Back to the Future? *Human Relations* **53**, 713–739 (2000).

62.

Greener, I. Understanding NHS Reform: The Policy-Transfer, Social Learning, and Path-Dependency Perspectives. *Governance* **15**, 161–183 (2002).

63.

Horner, L., Lekhi, R. & Blaug, R. Deliberative democracy and the role of public managers. (2006).

64.

HOWLETT, M. & WELLSTEAD, A. M. Policy Analysts in the Bureaucracy Revisited: The Nature of Professional Policy Work in Contemporary Government. *Politics & Policy* **39**, 613–633 (2011).

65.

Kearney, Richard C. Professionalism and Bureaucratic Responsiveness: Conflict or Compatibility? *Public Administration Review* **48**, (1988).

66.

Kirkpatrick, I., Jespersen, P. K., Dent, M. & Neogy, I. Medicine and management in a comparative perspective: the case of Denmark and England. *Sociology of Health & Illness* **31**, 642–658 (2009).

67.

Parsons, W. Modernising Policy-making for the Twenty First Century: The Professional Model. *Public Policy and Administration* **16**, 93–110 (2001).

68.

Pedersen, D. & Hartley, J. The changing context of public leadership and management. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* **21**, 327–339 (2008).

69.

Probst, H. B., Hussain, Z. B. & Andersen, O. Cancer patient pathways in Denmark as a joint effort between bureau... - PubMed - NCBI. (2011).

70.

Sehested, K. HOW NEW PUBLIC MANAGEMENT REFORMS CHALLENGE THE ROLES OF PROFESSIONALS. *International Journal of Public Administration* **25**, 1513–1537 (2002).

71.

Taylor, I. & Kelly, J. Professionals, discretion and public sector reform in the UK: re-visiting Lipsky. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* **19**, 629–642 (2006).

72.

Tummers, L. Explaining the willingness of public professionals to implement new policies: a policy alienation framework. *International Review of Administrative Sciences* **77**, 555–581 (2011).

73.

Considine, M. The End of the Line? Accountable Governance in the Age of Networks, Partnerships, and Joined-Up Services. *Governance* **15**, 21–40 (2002).

74.

Willems, T. & Van Dooren, W. Lost in diffusion? How collaborative arrangements lead to an accountability paradox. *International Review of Administrative Sciences* **77**, 505–530 (2011).

75.

Alcock, P. A strategic unity: defining the third sector in the UK. *Voluntary sector review* **1**, 5–24 (2010).

76.

Bode, I. Co-governance within networks and the non-profit – for-profit divide. *Public Management Review* **8**, 551–566 (2006).

77.

CUNNINGHAM, I. A RACE TO THE BOTTOM? EXPLORING VARIATIONS IN EMPLOYMENT CONDITIONS IN THE VOLUNTARY SECTOR. *Public Administration* **86**, 1033–1053 (2008).

78.

Davis Smith, J. The inflatable log: volunteering, the state and democracy. *Voluntary action* **3**, 13–26 (2001).

79.

Harris, B. Voluntary action and the state in historical perspective. *Voluntary sector review* **1**, 25–40 (2010).

80.

Harrow, J. & Jung, T. Philanthropy is Dead; Long Live Philanthropy? *Public Management Review* **13**, 1047–1056 (2011).

81.

KELLY, J. REFORMING PUBLIC SERVICES IN THE UK: BRINGING IN THE THIRD SECTOR. *Public Administration* **85**, 1003–1022 (2007).

82.

Lewis, J. New Labour's Approach to the Voluntary Sector: Independence and the Meaning of Partnership. *Social Policy and Society* **4**, 121–131 (2005).

83.

LOWNDES, V., PRATCHETT, L. & STOKER, G. LOCAL POLITICAL PARTICIPATION: THE IMPACT OF RULES-IN-USE. *Public Administration* **84**, 539–561 (2006).

84.

Lowndes, V. & Sullivan, H. Like a Horse and Carriage or a Fish on a Bicycle: How Well do

Local Partnerships and Public Participation go Together? *Local Government Studies* **30**, 51–73 (2004).

85.

Srinivas, N. Against NGOs?: A Critical Perspective on Nongovernmental Action. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly* **38**, 614–626 (2009).

86.

Taylor, M. Co-option or Empowerment?: The Changing Relationship between the State and the Voluntary and Community Sectors. *Local Governance* **28**, 1–11 (2002).

87.

Tiwana, M. & Belay, N. Civil society: the clampdown is real. (2010).

88.

Aberbach, J. D. & Christensen, T. Citizens and Consumers. *Public Management Review* **7**, 225–246 (2005).

89.

Arnstein, S. R. A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners* **35**, 216–224 (1969).

90.

Bang, H. P. 'Yes we can': identity politics and project politics for a late-modern world. *Urban research & practice* **2**, 117–137 (2009).

91.

Bang, H. P. & Sorensen, E. The everyday maker: a new challenge to democratic governance. *Administrative Theory & Praxis* **21**, 324–341 (1999).

92.

Davies, J. S. The governance of urban regeneration: a critique of the 'governing without government' thesis. *Public Administration* **80**, 301–322 (2002).

93.

Davies, J. S. The Limits of Partnership: An Exit-Action Strategy for Local Democratic Inclusion. *Political Studies* **55**, 779–800 (2007).

94.

Dwyer, P. Making sense of social citizenship: some user views on welfare rights and responsibilities. *Critical Social Policy* **22**, 273–299 (2002).

95.

Hastak, Manoj. The Role of Consumer Surveys in Public Policy Decision Making. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing* **20**, (2001).

96.

Johnson, C. & Osborne, S. P. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. *Public Money and Management* **23**, 147–154 (2003).

97.

Nancarrow, C., Evans, M. & Pallister, J. Polls apart! Political, research and ethical lessons from UK pressure groups' use of opinion polls. *International Journal of Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Marketing* **8**, 181–193 (2003).

98.

Prabhakar, R. Commercialisation or Citizenship? *Politics* **24**, 215–220 (2004).

99.

Stolle, D. & Hooghe, M. Consumers as political participants? Shifts in political action repertoires in Western societies. in *Politics, products, and markets: exploring political consumerism past and present* (Transaction Publishers, 2003).

100.

Roberts, N. Public Deliberation in an Age of Direct Citizen Participation. *The American Review of Public Administration* **34**, 315–353 (2004).

101.

Davis Smith, J. 'The Inflatable Log': volunteering, the state and democracy. (2001).

102.

Alford, J. Why Do Public-Sector Clients Coproduce?: Toward a Contingency Theory. *Administration & Society* **34**, 32–56 (2002).

103.

Arnstein, S. R. A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners* **35**, 216–224 (1969).

104.

Bovaird, T. Beyond Engagement and Participation: User and Community Coproduction of Public Services. *Public Administration Review* **67**, 846–860 (2007).

105.

Brandsen, T. & Pestoff, V. Co-production, the third sector and the delivery of public services. *Public Management Review* **8**, 493–501 (2006).

106.

Davies, J. S. The Limits of Partnership: An Exit-Action Strategy for Local Democratic Inclusion. *Political Studies* **55**, 779–800 (2007).

107.

Johnson, C. & Osborne, S. P. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. *Public Money and Management* **23**, 147–154 (2003).

108.

Mitlin, D. With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. *Environment and Urbanization* **20**, 339–360 (2008).

109.

Pestoff, V. Citizens and co-production of welfare services. *Public Management Review* **8**, 503–519 (2006).

110.

Prentice, S. Childcare, co-production and the third sector in Canada. *Public Management Review* **8**, 521–536 (2006).

111.

Johnson, C. & Osborne, S. P. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. *Public Money and Management* **23**, 147–154 (2003).

112.

Mitlin, D. With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. *Environment and Urbanization* **20**, 339–360 (2008).

113.

Allen, Barbara Ann. BRIDGING THE DIVIDE - COMMERCIAL PROCUREMENT AND SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT: ARE THERE LESSONS FOR HEALTH CARE COMMISSIONING IN ENGLAND? *Journal of Public Procurement* **9**, 79–108 (2009).

114.

Aritua, B., Smith, N. J. & Bower, D. What risks are common to or amplified in programmes: Evidence from UK public sector infrastructure schemes. *International Journal of Project Management* **29**, 303–312 (2011).

115.

Bartlett, W. Quasi-markets and contracts: A markets and hierarchies perspective on NHS reform. *Public money & management* **11**, 53–61 (1991).

116.

Baxter, K., Weiss, M. & Le Grand, J. The dynamics of commissioning across organisational and clinical boundaries. *Journal of Health Organization and Management* **22**, 111–128 (2008).

117.

Bovaird, T. Public–Private Partnerships: from Contested Concepts to Prevalent Practice. *International Review of Administrative Sciences* **70**, 199–215 (2004).

118.

Bovaird, T. Developing New Forms of Partnership With the 'Market' in the Procurement of Public Services. *Public Administration* **84**, 81–102 (2006).

119.

Boyne, G. A. Competitive Tendering In Local Government: A Review Of Theory And Evidence. *Public Administration* **76**, 695–712 (1998).

120.

Bradley, S. & Taylor, J. The Effect of the Quasi-market on the Efficiency-equity Trade-off in the Secondary School Sector. *Bulletin of Economic Research* **54**, 295–314 (2002).

121.

Bradley, S. & Taylor, J. Diversity, Choice and the Quasi-market: An Empirical Analysis of Secondary Education Policy in England*. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* **72**, 1–26 (2010).

122.

Chalkley, M. Competition in NHS quasi-markets. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* **12**, 89–99 (1996).

123.

Dopson, S. & Locock, L. The Commissioning Process in the NHS: The theory and application. *Public Management Review* **4**, 209–229 (2002).

124.

Fischbacher, M. & Beaumont, P. B. PFI, Public—Private Partnerships and the Neglected Importance of Process: Stakeholders and the Employment Dimension. *Public Money and Management* **23**, 171–176 (2003).

125.

Gibbons, Stephen. CHOICE, COMPETITION, AND PUPIL ACHIEVEMENT. *Journal of the European Economic Association* **6**, (2008).

126.

Gray, K. E. & Ghosh, D. An empirical analysis of the purchaser-provider relationship in the NHS internal market. *Journal of Management in Medicine* **14**, 57–68 (2000).

127.

Ham, C. World class commissioning: a health policy chimera? *Journal of health services policy research* **13**, 116–121 (2008).

128.

Hoxley, M. Purchasing UK public sector property and construction professional services: competition v quality. *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management* **7**, 133–139 (2001).

129.

KNAPP, M., HARDY, B. & FORDER, J. Commissioning for Quality: Ten Years of Social Care Markets in England. *Journal of Social Policy* **30**, (2001).

130.

Lunt, Neil. Economic discourse and the market: The case of community care. *Public Administration* **74**,.

131.

Matosevic, T., Knapp, M. & Le Grand, J. Motivation and Commissioning: Perceived and Expressed Motivations of Care Home Providers. *Social Policy & Administration* **42**, 228–247 (2008).

132.

Murray, J. G. Towards a common understanding of the differences between purchasing, procurement and commissioning in the UK public sector. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management* **15**, 198–202 (2009).

133.

Petsoulas, C., Allen, P., Hughes, D., Vincent-Jones, P. & Roberts, J. The use of standard contracts in the English National Health Service: A case study analysis. *Social Science & Medicine* **73**, 185–192 (2011).

134.

Wade, E. Commissioning reform in the NHS: will he who pays the piper ever really call the tune? *Clinical Medicine* **11**, 35–39 (2011).

135.

Williams, I. et al. Designing whole-systems commissioning: Lessons from the English experience. *Journal of care services management* **6**, 83–92 (2012).

136.

Williams, I. et al. Designing whole-systems commissioning: Lessons from the English experience. *Journal of care services management* **6**, 83–92 (2012).