


L34544, L34561 & L34678. Public management and governance

[View Online](#)

1

Ashworth R, Ferlie E, Hammerschmid G, et al. Theorizing Contemporary Public Management: International and Comparative Perspectives. *British Journal of Management* 2013;**24**:S1–17. doi:10.1111/1467-8551.12035

2

Bevir M, Rhodes RAW. Searching for civil society: changing patterns of governance in Britain. *Public Administration* 2003;**81**:41–62. doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00336

3

Bevir M, Rhodes RAW, Weller P. Traditions of governance: interpreting the changing role of the public sector. *Public Administration* 2003;**81**:1–17. doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00334

4

Brandsen T, Kim S. Contextualizing the meaning of public management reforms: a comparison of the Netherlands and South Korea. *International Review of Administrative Sciences* 2010;**76**:367–86. doi:10.1177/0020852309365671

5

Chandler J, Barry J, Clark H. Stressing Academe: The Wear and Tear of the New Public Management. *Human Relations* 2002;**55**:1051–69. doi:10.1177/0018726702055009019

6

Considine M, Lewis JM. Bureaucracy, Network, or Enterprise? Comparing Models of Governance in Australia, Britain, the Netherlands, and New Zealand. *Public Administration Review* 2003;**63**:131–40. doi:10.1111/1540-6210.00274

7

Dunleavy P. New Public Management Is Dead--Long Live Digital-Era Governance. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* 2005;**16**:467–94. doi:10.1093/jopart/mui057

8

Ferlie E, Hartley J, Martin S. Changing Public Service Organizations: Current Perspectives and Future Prospects. *British Journal of Management* 2003;**14**:S1–14. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8551.2003.00389.x

9

Hajer M. Policy without polity? Policy analysis and the institutional void. *Policy Sciences* 2003;**36**:175–95. doi:10.1023/A:1024834510939

10

HOOD C. Intellectual Obsolescence and Intellectual Makeovers: Reflections on the Tools of Government after Two Decades. *Governance* 2007;**20**:127–44. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0491.2007.00347.x

11

HOOD C, DIXON R. A MODEL OF COST-CUTTING IN GOVERNMENT? THE GREAT MANAGEMENT REVOLUTION IN UK CENTRAL GOVERNMENT RECONSIDERED. *Public Administration* 2013;**91**:114–34. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2012.02072.x

12

Hood C. The Middle Aging of New Public Management: Into the Age of Paradox? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* 2004;**14**:267–82. doi:10.1093/jopart/muh019

13

Jones BD. Bounded Rationality and Political Science: Lessons from Public Administration and Public Policy. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* 2003;**13**:395–412. doi:10.1093/jpart/mug028

14

Kickert WJM. Beneath consensual corporatism: traditions of governance in the Netherlands. *Public Administration* 2003;**81**:119–40. doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00339

15

LE GRAND J. Knights, Knaves or Pawns? Human Behaviour and Social Policy. *Journal of Social Policy* 1997;**26**:149–69. doi:10.1017/S0047279497004984

16

Lynn Jr. LE. The Myth of the Bureaucratic Paradigm: What Traditional Public Administration Really Stood For. *Public Administration Review* 2001;**61**:144–60. doi:10.1111/0033-3352.00016

17

Martin S. The Modernization of UK Local Government: Markets, Managers, Monitors and Mixed Fortunes. *Public Management Review* 2002;**4**:291–307. doi:10.1080/14616670210151595

18

Rhodes RAW. The New Governance: Governing without Government. *Political Studies* 1996;**44**:652–67. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.1996.tb01747.x

19

Rhodes RAW. Understanding Governance: Ten Years On. *Organization Studies* 2007;**28**:1243–64. doi:10.1177/0170840607076586

20

Skelcher C. Changing images of the State: overloaded, hollowed-out, congested. *Public Policy and Administration* 2000;**15**:3–19. doi:10.1177/095207670001500302

21

Stewart J, Walsh K. Change in the management of public services. *Public administration* 1992;**70**:499–518.

22

Zafirovski M. Administration and Society: Beyond Public Choice? *Public Administration* 2001;**79**:665–88. doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00274

23

Bryson JM. What to do when Stakeholders matter. *Public Management Review* 2004;**6**:21–53. doi:10.1080/14719030410001675722

24

Hajer M, Versteeg W. performing governance through networks. *European Political Science* 2005;**4**:340–7. doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210034

25

Hartley J, Sørensen E, Torfing J. Collaborative Innovation: A Viable Alternative to Market Competition and Organizational Entrepreneurship. *Public Administration Review* 2013;**73**:821–30. doi:10.1111/puar.12136

26

Klijn E. designing and managing networks: possibilities and limitations for network management. *European Political Science* 2005;**4**:328–39. doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210035

27

KLIJN E-H, SKELCHER C. DEMOCRACY AND GOVERNANCE NETWORKS: COMPATIBLE OR NOT? Public Administration 2007;**85**:587–608. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2007.00662.x

28

Papadopoulos Y. taking stock of multi-level governance networks. European Political Science 2005;**4**:316–27. doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210032

29

Skelcher C, Mathur N, Smith M. The Public Governance of Collaborative Spaces: Discourse, Design and Democracy. Public Administration 2005;**83**:573–96. doi:10.1111/j.0033-3298.2005.00463.x

30

Smith M, Beazley M. Progressive Regimes, Partnerships and the Involvement of Local Communities: A Framework for Evaluation. Public Administration 2000;**78**:855–78. doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00234

31

Sørensen E. the democratic problems and potentials of network governance. European Political Science 2005;**4**:348–57. doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210033

32

Stoker G. Public Value Management: A New Narrative for Networked Governance? The American Review of Public Administration 2006;**36**:41–57. doi:10.1177/0275074005282583

33

STOKER G. WAS LOCAL GOVERNANCE SUCH A GOOD IDEA? A GLOBAL COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE. Public Administration 2011;**89**:15–31.

doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2011.01900.x

34

Torring J. symposium: governance networks. *European Political Science* 2005;**4**:301–4. doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210030

35

Torring J. governance network theory: towards a second generation. *European Political Science* 2005;**4**:305–15. doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210031

36

WEST K, DAVIS P. WHAT IS THE PUBLIC VALUE OF GOVERNMENT ACTION? TOWARDS A (NEW) PRAGMATIC APPROACH TO VALUES QUESTIONS IN PUBLIC ENDEAVOURS. *Public Administration* 2011;**89**:226–41. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2010.01847.x

37

Skelcher C. Changing images of the State: overloaded, hollowed-out, congested. *Public Policy and Administration* 2000;**15**:3–19. doi:10.1177/095207670001500302

38

Adsera A. Are You Being Served? Political Accountability and Quality of Government. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization* 2003;**19**:445–90. doi:10.1093/jleo/ewg017

39

Bawn K, Rosenbluth F. Short versus Long Coalitions: Electoral Accountability and the Size of the Public Sector. *American Journal of Political Science* 2006;**50**:251–65. doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2006.00182.x

40

Chapman R, Lowndes V. Accountable, authorized or authentic? What do 'faith representatives' offer urban governance? *Public Money & Management* 2009;**29**:371–8.

doi:10.1080/09540960903378233

41

Christensen M, Skærbæk P. Framing and overflowing of public sector accountability innovations. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal* 2007;**20**:101–32. doi:10.1108/09513570710731227

42

Considine M. The End of the Line? Accountable Governance in the Age of Networks, Partnerships, and Joined-Up Services. *Governance* 2002;**15**:21–40. doi:10.1111/1468-0491.00178

43

Cunningham GM, Harris JE. Toward a Theory of Performance Reporting to Achieve Public Sector Accountability: A Field Study. *Public Budgeting & Finance* 2005;**25**:15–42. doi:10.1111/j.0275-1100.2005.00359.x

44

Dereli C. Smoke and mirrors and performance management. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* 2011;**24**:42–56. doi:10.1108/09513551111099217

45

Flinders M. Daring to be a Daniel: The Pathology of Politicized Accountability in a Monitory Democracy. *Administration & Society* 2011;**43**:595–619. doi:10.1177/0095399711403899

46

Glynn JJ, Murphy MP. Public management. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* 1996;**9**:125–37. doi:10.1108/09513559610146492

47

Heinrich CJ. Outcomes-Based Performance Management in the Public Sector: Implications for Government Accountability and Effectiveness. *Public Administration Review* 2002;**62**:712–25. doi:10.1111/1540-6210.00253

48

Monfardini P. Accountability in the new public sector: a comparative case study. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* 2010;**23**:632–46. doi:10.1108/09513551011078897

49

Mulgan R. 'Accountability': An Ever-Expanding Concept? *Public Administration* 2000;**78**:555–73. doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00218

50

Papenfuss U, Schaefer C. Improving public accountability by aligning reporting to organizational changes in public service provision - an empirical Internet study of all Austrian, German and Swiss towns and states from an agency-theory perspective. *International Review of Administrative Sciences* 2010;**76**:555–76. doi:10.1177/0020852310372451

51

Ryan C, Walsh P. Collaboration of public sector agencies: reporting and accountability challenges. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* 2004;**17**:621–31. doi:10.1108/09513550410562284

52

Shaoul J. 'Sharing' political authority with finance capital: The case of Britain's Public Private Partnerships. *Policy and Society* 2011;**30**:209–20. doi:10.1016/j.polsoc.2011.07.005

53

Tetlock PE. Vying for Rhetorical High Ground in Accountability Debates: It Is Easy to Look Down on Those Who Look Soft on. *Administration & Society* 2011;**43**:693–703.

doi:10.1177/0095399711426848

54

Willems T, Van Dooren W. Lost in diffusion? How collaborative arrangements lead to an accountability paradox. *International Review of Administrative Sciences* 2011;**77**:505–30. doi:10.1177/0020852311408648

55

Sørensen E. the democratic problems and potentials of network governance. *European Political Science* 2005;**4**:348–57. doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210033

56

Berg AM. Transforming public services – transforming the public servant? *International Journal of Public Sector Management* 2006;**19**:556–68. doi:10.1108/09513550610686627

57

BATTEN E, CORREIA L, HEDGES H, et al. EXPERTISE AND POLICY-MAKING: LEGAL PROFESSIONALS IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT. *Public Administration* 2006;**84**:771–81. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2006.00611.x

58

Burgess S. The Role of Incentives in the Public Sector: Issues and Evidence. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 2003;**19**:285–300. doi:10.1093/oxrep/19.2.285

59

Carboni N. Changing relationships between politicians and bureaucrats in contemporary democracies: an empirical analysis of the Italian experience. *International public management review* 2010;**11**:90–109. <http://journals.sfu.ca/ipmr/index.php/ipmr/article/view/77/77>

60

Farrell C, Morris J. The 'Neo-Bureaucratic' State: Professionals, Managers and Professional Managers in Schools, General Practices and Social Work. *Organization* 2003;**10**:129-56. doi:10.1177/1350508403010001380

61

Fitzgerald L, Ferlie E. Professionals: Back to the Future? *Human Relations* 2000;**53**:713-39. doi:10.1177/0018726700535005

62

Greener I. Understanding NHS Reform: The Policy-Transfer, Social Learning, and Path-Dependency Perspectives. *Governance* 2002;**15**:161-83. doi:10.1111/1468-0491.00184

63

Horner L, Lekhi R, Blaug R. Deliberative democracy and the role of public managers. 2006. http://www.theworkfoundation.com/DownloadPublication/Report/107_107_Deliberative%20democracy%20and%20the%20role%20of%20public%20managers.pdf

64

HOWLETT M, WELLSTEAD AM. Policy Analysts in the Bureaucracy Revisited: The Nature of Professional Policy Work in Contemporary Government. *Politics & Policy* 2011;**39**:613-33. doi:10.1111/j.1747-1346.2011.00306.x

65

Kearney, Richard C. Professionalism and Bureaucratic Responsiveness: Conflict or Compatibility? *Public Administration Review* 1988;**48**. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=4599374&site=ehost-live>

66

Kirkpatrick I, Jespersen PK, Dent M, et al. Medicine and management in a comparative

perspective: the case of Denmark and England. *Sociology of Health & Illness* 2009;**31**:642–58. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9566.2009.01157.x

67

Parsons W. Modernising Policy-making for the Twenty First Century: The Professional Model. *Public Policy and Administration* 2001;**16**:93–110. doi:10.1177/095207670101600307

68

Pedersen D, Hartley J. The changing context of public leadership and management. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* 2008;**21**:327–39. doi:10.1108/09513550810880214

69

Probst HB, Hussain ZB, Andersen O. Cancer patient pathways in Denmark as a joint effort between bureau... - PubMed - NCBI. 2011.<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22136810>

70

Sehested K. HOW NEW PUBLIC MANAGEMENT REFORMS CHALLENGE THE ROLES OF PROFESSIONALS. *International Journal of Public Administration* 2002;**25**:1513–37. doi:10.1081/PAD-120014259

71

Taylor I, Kelly J. Professionals, discretion and public sector reform in the UK: re-visiting Lipsky. *International Journal of Public Sector Management* 2006;**19**:629–42. doi:10.1108/09513550610704662

72

Tummers L. Explaining the willingness of public professionals to implement new policies: a policy alienation framework. *International Review of Administrative Sciences* 2011;**77**:555–81. doi:10.1177/0020852311407364

73

Considine M. The End of the Line? Accountable Governance in the Age of Networks, Partnerships, and Joined-Up Services. *Governance* 2002;**15**:21–40.
doi:10.1111/1468-0491.00178

74

Willems T, Van Dooren W. Lost in diffusion? How collaborative arrangements lead to an accountability paradox. *International Review of Administrative Sciences* 2011;**77**:505–30.
doi:10.1177/0020852311408648

75

Alcock P. A strategic unity: defining the third sector in the UK. *Voluntary sector review* 2010;**1**:5–24.
<https://www.proquest.com/docview/1885989521?pq-origsite=primo&accountid=8018>

76

Bode I. Co-governance within networks and the non-profit – for-profit divide. *Public Management Review* 2006;**8**:551–66. doi:10.1080/14719030601022932

77

CUNNINGHAM I. A RACE TO THE BOTTOM? EXPLORING VARIATIONS IN EMPLOYMENT CONDITIONS IN THE VOLUNTARY SECTOR. *Public Administration* 2008;**86**:1033–53.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2008.00752.x

78

Davis Smith J. The inflatable log: volunteering, the state and democracy. *Voluntary action* 2001;**3**:13–26.

79

Harris B. Voluntary action and the state in historical perspective. Voluntary sector review 2010;**1**:25–40. <https://search.proquest.com/docview/1885989660/shibboleth?accountid=8018>

80

Harrow J, Jung T. Philanthropy is Dead; Long Live Philanthropy? Public Management Review 2011;**13**:1047–56. doi:10.1080/14719037.2011.619062

81

KELLY J. REFORMING PUBLIC SERVICES IN THE UK: BRINGING IN THE THIRD SECTOR. Public Administration 2007;**85**:1003–22. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2007.00680.x

82

Lewis J. New Labour's Approach to the Voluntary Sector: Independence and the Meaning of Partnership. Social Policy and Society 2005;**4**:121–31. doi:10.1017/S147474640400226X

83

LOWNDES V, PRATCHETT L, STOKER G. LOCAL POLITICAL PARTICIPATION: THE IMPACT OF RULES-IN-USE. Public Administration 2006;**84**:539–61. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2006.00601.x

84

Lowndes V, Sullivan H. Like a Horse and Carriage or a Fish on a Bicycle: How Well do Local Partnerships and Public Participation go Together? Local Government Studies 2004;**30**:51–73. doi:10.1080/0300393042000230920

85

Srinivas N. Against NGOs?: A Critical Perspective on Nongovernmental Action. Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly 2009;**38**:614–26. doi:10.1177/0899764009334308

86

Taylor M. Co-option or Empowerment?: The Changing Relationship between the State and the Voluntary and Community Sectors. *Local Governance* 2002;**28**:1-11.

87

Tiwana M, Belay N. Civil society: the clampdown is real. 2010.[http://www.civicus.org/view/media/CIVICUS_%20paper_%20global_%20trends_%20Civil%20Society_%20Space_%202009-201012\[1\].pdf](http://www.civicus.org/view/media/CIVICUS_%20paper_%20global_%20trends_%20Civil%20Society_%20Space_%202009-201012[1].pdf)

88

Aberbach JD, Christensen T. Citizens and Consumers. *Public Management Review* 2005;**7**:225-46. doi:10.1080/14719030500091319

89

Arnstein SR. A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners* 1969;**35**:216-24. doi:10.1080/01944366908977225

90

Bang HP. 'Yes we can': identity politics and project politics for a late-modern world. *Urban research & practice* 2009;**2**:117-37.<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/17535060902979022>

91

Bang HP, Sorensen E. The everyday maker: a new challenge to democratic governance. *Administrative Theory & Praxis* 1999;**21**:324-41.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10841806.1999.11643381>

92

Davies JS. The governance of urban regeneration: a critique of the 'governing without government' thesis. *Public Administration* 2002;**80**:301-22. doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00305

93

Davies JS. The Limits of Partnership: An Exit-Action Strategy for Local Democratic Inclusion. *Political Studies* 2007;**55**:779–800. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2007.00677.x

94

Dwyer P. Making sense of social citizenship: some user views on welfare rights and responsibilities. *Critical Social Policy* 2002;**22**:273–99.
doi:10.1177/02610183020220020601

95

Hastak, Manoj. The Role of Consumer Surveys in Public Policy Decision Making. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing* 2001;**20**
.http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=5578310&site=ehost-live

96

Johnson C, Osborne SP. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. *Public Money and Management* 2003;**23**:147–54.
doi:10.1111/1467-9302.00362

97

Nancarrow C, Evans M, Pallister J. Polls apart! Political, research and ethical lessons from UK pressure groups' use of opinion polls. *International Journal of Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Marketing* 2003;**8**:181–93. doi:10.1002/nvsm.211

98

Prabhakar R. Commercialisation or Citizenship? *Politics* 2004;**24**:215–20.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9256.2004.00222.x

99

Stolle D, Hooghe M. Consumers as political participants? Shifts in political action repertoires in Western societies. In: Politics, products, and markets: exploring political consumerism past and present. New Brunswick, N.J.: : Transaction Publishers 2003.

100

Roberts N. Public Deliberation in an Age of Direct Citizen Participation. The American Review of Public Administration 2004;**34**:315–53. doi:10.1177/0275074004269288

101

Davis Smith J. 'The Inflatable Log': volunteering, the state and democracy. 2001.

102

Alford J. Why Do Public-Sector Clients Coproduce?: Toward a Contingency Theory. Administration & Society 2002;**34**:32–56. doi:10.1177/0095399702034001004

103

Arnstein SR. A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. Journal of the American Institute of Planners 1969;**35**:216–24. doi:10.1080/01944366908977225

104

Bovaird T. Beyond Engagement and Participation: User and Community Coproduction of Public Services. Public Administration Review 2007;**67**:846–60. doi:10.1111/j.1540-6210.2007.00773.x

105

Brandsen T, Pestoff V. Co-production, the third sector and the delivery of public services. Public Management Review 2006;**8**:493–501. doi:10.1080/14719030601022874

106

Davies JS. The Limits of Partnership: An Exit-Action Strategy for Local Democratic Inclusion.

Political Studies 2007;**55**:779–800. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2007.00677.x

107

Johnson C, Osborne SP. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. Public Money and Management 2003;**23**:147–54. doi:10.1111/1467-9302.00362

108

Mitlin D. With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. Environment and Urbanization 2008;**20**:339–60. doi:10.1177/0956247808096117

109

Pestoff V. Citizens and co-production of welfare services. Public Management Review 2006;**8**:503–19. doi:10.1080/14719030601022882

110

Prentice S. Childcare, co-production and the third sector in Canada. Public Management Review 2006;**8**:521–36. doi:10.1080/14719030601022890

111

Johnson C, Osborne SP. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. Public Money and Management 2003;**23**:147–54. doi:10.1111/1467-9302.00362

112

Mitlin D. With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. Environment and Urbanization 2008;**20**:339–60. doi:10.1177/0956247808096117

113

Allen, Barbara Ann. BRIDGING THE DIVIDE - COMMERCIAL PROCUREMENT AND SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT: ARE THERE LESSONS FOR HEALTH CARE COMMISSIONING IN ENGLAND? *Journal of Public Procurement* 2009;**9**:79-108.<http://search.proquest.com/docview/223182037/7627EE4859384E9CPQ/6?accountid=8018>

114

Aritua B, Smith NJ, Bower D. What risks are common to or amplified in programmes: Evidence from UK public sector infrastructure schemes. *International Journal of Project Management* 2011;**29**:303-12. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2010.04.004

115

Bartlett W. Quasi-markets and contracts: A markets and hierarchies perspective on NHS reform. *Public money & management* 1991;**11**:53-61.

116

Baxter K, Weiss M, Le Grand J. The dynamics of commissioning across organisational and clinical boundaries. *Journal of Health Organization and Management* 2008;**22**:111-28. doi:10.1108/14777260810876295

117

Bovaird T. Public-Private Partnerships: from Contested Concepts to Prevalent Practice. *International Review of Administrative Sciences* 2004;**70**:199-215. doi:10.1177/0020852304044250

118

Bovaird T. Developing New Forms of Partnership With the 'Market' in the Procurement of Public Services. *Public Administration* 2006;**84**:81-102. doi:10.1111/j.0033-3298.2006.00494.x

119

Boyne GA. Competitive Tendering In Local Government: A Review Of Theory And Evidence. *Public Administration* 1998;**76**:695-712. doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00132

120

Bradley S, Taylor J. The Effect of the Quasi-market on the Efficiency-equity Trade-off in the Secondary School Sector. *Bulletin of Economic Research* 2002;**54**:295–314. doi:10.1111/1467-8586.00154

121

Bradley S, Taylor J. Diversity, Choice and the Quasi-market: An Empirical Analysis of Secondary Education Policy in England*. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics* 2010;**72**:1–26. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0084.2009.00572.x

122

Chalkley M. Competition in NHS quasi-markets. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 1996;**12**:89–99. doi:10.1093/oxrep/12.4.89

123

Dopson S, Locock L. The Commissioning Process in the NHS: The theory and application. *Public Management Review* 2002;**4**:209–29. doi:10.1080/14616670210130552

124

Fischbacher M, Beaumont PB. PFI, Public—Private Partnerships and the Neglected Importance of Process: Stakeholders and the Employment Dimension. *Public Money and Management* 2003;**23**:171–6. doi:10.1111/1467-9302.00365

125

Gibbons, Stephen. CHOICE, COMPETITION, AND PUPIL ACHIEVEMENT. *Journal of the European Economic Association* 2008;**6**
.http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=32604699&site=ehost-live

126

Gray KE, Ghosh D. An empirical analysis of the purchaser-provider relationship in the NHS internal market. *Journal of Management in Medicine* 2000;**14**:57–68.
doi:10.1108/02689230010340561

127

Ham C. World class commissioning: a health policy chimera? *Journal of health services policy research* 2008;**13**:116–21. <https://www-jstor-org.nottingham.idm.oclc.org/stable/26751153?sid=primo>

128

Hoxley M. Purchasing UK public sector property and construction professional services: competition v quality. *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management* 2001;**7**:133–9. doi:10.1016/S0969-7012(00)00026-5

129

KNAPP M, HARDY B, FORDER J. Commissioning for Quality: Ten Years of Social Care Markets in England. *Journal of Social Policy* 2001;**30**. doi:10.1017/S0047279401006225

130

Lunt, Neil. Economic discourse and the market: The case of community care. *Public Administration*; **74**. <http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=9702216351&site=ehost-live>

131

Matosevic T, Knapp M, Le Grand J. Motivation and Commissioning: Perceived and Expressed Motivations of Care Home Providers. *Social Policy & Administration* 2008;**42**:228–47. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9515.2007.00594.x

132

Murray JG. Towards a common understanding of the differences between purchasing, procurement and commissioning in the UK public sector. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management* 2009;**15**:198–202. doi:10.1016/j.pursup.2009.03.003

133

Petsoulas C, Allen P, Hughes D, et al. The use of standard contracts in the English National Health Service: A case study analysis. *Social Science & Medicine* 2011;**73**:185–92. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.05.021

134

Wade E. Commissioning reform in the NHS: will he who pays the piper ever really call the tune? *Clinical Medicine* 2011;**11**:35–9. doi:10.7861/clinmedicine.11-1-35

135

Williams I, Bovaird T, Brown H, et al. Designing whole-systems commissioning: Lessons from the English experience. *Journal of care services management* 2012;**6**:83–92. http://pure-oai.bham.ac.uk/ws/files/10153987/Designing_whole_systems_commissioning.pdf

136

Williams I, Bovaird T, Brown H, et al. Designing whole-systems commissioning: Lessons from the English experience. *Journal of care services management* 2012;**6**:83–92. http://pure-oai.bham.ac.uk/ws/files/10153987/Designing_whole_systems_commissioning.pdf