


L34544, L34561 & L34678. Public management and governance

[View Online](#)

1.

Ashworth R, Ferlie E, Hammerschmid G, Moon MJ, Reay T. Theorizing Contemporary Public Management: International and Comparative Perspectives. *British Journal of Management*. 2013;24:S1-S17. doi:10.1111/1467-8551.12035

2.

Bevir M, Rhodes RAW. Searching for civil society: changing patterns of governance in Britain. *Public Administration*. 2003;81(1):41-62. doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00336

3.

Bevir M, Rhodes RAW, Weller P. Traditions of governance: interpreting the changing role of the public sector. *Public Administration*. 2003;81(1):1-17. doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00334

4.

Brandsen T, Kim S. Contextualizing the meaning of public management reforms: a comparison of the Netherlands and South Korea. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*. 2010;76(2):367-386. doi:10.1177/0020852309365671

5.

Chandler J, Barry J, Clark H. Stressing Academe: The Wear and Tear of the New Public Management. *Human Relations*. 2002;55(9):1051-1069. doi:10.1177/0018726702055009019

6.

Considine M, Lewis JM. Bureaucracy, Network, or Enterprise? Comparing Models of Governance in Australia, Britain, the Netherlands, and New Zealand. *Public Administration Review*. 2003;63(2):131-140. doi:10.1111/1540-6210.00274

7.

Dunleavy P. New Public Management Is Dead--Long Live Digital-Era Governance. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. 2005;16(3):467-494. doi:10.1093/jopart/mui057

8.

Ferlie E, Hartley J, Martin S. Changing Public Service Organizations: Current Perspectives and Future Prospects. *British Journal of Management*. 2003;14(s1):S1-S14. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8551.2003.00389.x

9.

Hajer M. Policy without polity? Policy analysis and the institutional void. *Policy Sciences*. 2003;36(2):175-195. doi:10.1023/A:1024834510939

10.

HOOD C. Intellectual Obsolescence and Intellectual Makeovers: Reflections on the Tools of Government after Two Decades. *Governance*. 2007;20(1):127-144. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0491.2007.00347.x

11.

HOOD C, DIXON R. A MODEL OF COST-CUTTING IN GOVERNMENT? THE GREAT MANAGEMENT REVOLUTION IN UK CENTRAL GOVERNMENT RECONSIDERED. *Public Administration*. 2013;91(1):114-134. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2012.02072.x

12.

Hood C. The Middle Aging of New Public Management: Into the Age of Paradox? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. 2004;14(3):267-282.

doi:10.1093/jopart/muh019

13.

Jones BD. Bounded Rationality and Political Science: Lessons from Public Administration and Public Policy. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. 2003;13(4):395-412. doi:10.1093/jpart/mug028

14.

Kickert WJM. Beneath consensual corporatism: traditions of governance in the Netherlands. *Public Administration*. 2003;81(1):119-140. doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00339

15.

LE GRAND J. Knights, Knaves or Pawns? Human Behaviour and Social Policy. *Journal of Social Policy*. 1997;26(2):149-169. doi:10.1017/S0047279497004984

16.

Lynn Jr. LE. The Myth of the Bureaucratic Paradigm: What Traditional Public Administration Really Stood For. *Public Administration Review*. 2001;61(2):144-160. doi:10.1111/0033-3352.00016

17.

Martin S. The Modernization of UK Local Government: Markets, Managers, Monitors and Mixed Fortunes. *Public Management Review*. 2002;4(3):291-307. doi:10.1080/14616670210151595

18.

Rhodes RAW. The New Governance: Governing without Government. *Political Studies*. 1996;44(4):652-667. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.1996.tb01747.x

19.

Rhodes RAW. Understanding Governance: Ten Years On. *Organization Studies*. 2007;28(8):1243-1264. doi:10.1177/0170840607076586

20.

Skelcher C. Changing images of the State: overloaded, hollowed-out, congested. *Public Policy and Administration*. 2000;15(3):3-19. doi:10.1177/095207670001500302

21.

Stewart J, Walsh K. Change in the management of public services. *Public administration*. 1992;70(4):499-518.

22.

Zafirovski M. Administration and Society: Beyond Public Choice? *Public Administration*. 2001;79(3):665-688. doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00274

23.

Bryson JM. What to do when Stakeholders matter. *Public Management Review*. 2004;6(1):21-53. doi:10.1080/14719030410001675722

24.

Hajer M, Versteeg W. performing governance through networks. *European Political Science*. 2005;4(3):340-347. doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210034

25.

Hartley J, Sørensen E, Torfing J. Collaborative Innovation: A Viable Alternative to Market Competition and Organizational Entrepreneurship. *Public Administration Review*. 2013;73(6):821-830. doi:10.1111/puar.12136

26.

Klijn E hans. designing and managing networks: possibilities and limitations for network

management. *European Political Science*. 2005;4(3):328-339.
doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210035

27.

KLIJN EH, SKELCHER C. DEMOCRACY AND GOVERNANCE NETWORKS: COMPATIBLE OR NOT? *Public Administration*. 2007;85(3):587-608. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2007.00662.x

28.

Papadopoulos Y. taking stock of multi-level governance networks. *European Political Science*. 2005;4(3):316-327. doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210032

29.

Skelcher C, Mathur N, Smith M. The Public Governance of Collaborative Spaces: Discourse, Design and Democracy. *Public Administration*. 2005;83(3):573-596.
doi:10.1111/j.0033-3298.2005.00463.x

30.

Smith M, Beazley M. Progressive Regimes, Partnerships and the Involvement of Local Communities: A Framework for Evaluation. *Public Administration*. 2000;78(4):855-878.
doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00234

31.

Sørensen E. the democratic problems and potentials of network governance. *European Political Science*. 2005;4(3):348-357. doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210033

32.

Stoker G. Public Value Management: A New Narrative for Networked Governance? *The American Review of Public Administration*. 2006;36(1):41-57.
doi:10.1177/0275074005282583

33.

STOKER G. WAS LOCAL GOVERNANCE SUCH A GOOD IDEA? A GLOBAL COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE. *Public Administration*. 2011;89(1):15-31.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2011.01900.x

34.

Torring J. symposium: governance networks. *European Political Science*. 2005;4(3):301-304. doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210030

35.

Torring J. governance network theory: towards a second generation. *European Political Science*. 2005;4(3):305-315. doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210031

36.

WEST K, DAVIS P. WHAT IS THE PUBLIC VALUE OF GOVERNMENT ACTION? TOWARDS A (NEW) PRAGMATIC APPROACH TO VALUES QUESTIONS IN PUBLIC ENDEAVOURS. *Public Administration*. 2011;89(2):226-241. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2010.01847.x

37.

Skelcher C. Changing images of the State: overloaded, hollowed-out, congested. *Public Policy and Administration*. 2000;15(3):3-19. doi:10.1177/095207670001500302

38.

Adsera A. Are You Being Served? Political Accountability and Quality of Government. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*. 2003;19(2):445-490.
doi:10.1093/jleo/ewg017

39.

Bawn K, Rosenbluth F. Short versus Long Coalitions: Electoral Accountability and the Size of the Public Sector. *American Journal of Political Science*. 2006;50(2):251-265.
doi:10.1111/j.1540-5907.2006.00182.x

40.

Chapman R, Lowndes V. Accountable, authorized or authentic? What do 'faith representatives' offer urban governance? *Public Money & Management*. 2009;29(6):371-378. doi:10.1080/09540960903378233

41.

Christensen M, Skærbæk P. Framing and overflowing of public sector accountability innovations. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 2007;20(1):101-132. doi:10.1108/09513570710731227

42.

Considine M. The End of the Line? Accountable Governance in the Age of Networks, Partnerships, and Joined-Up Services. *Governance*. 2002;15(1):21-40. doi:10.1111/1468-0491.00178

43.

Cunningham GM, Harris JE. Toward a Theory of Performance Reporting to Achieve Public Sector Accountability: A Field Study. *Public Budgeting & Finance*. 2005;25(2):15-42. doi:10.1111/j.0275-1100.2005.00359.x

44.

Dereli C. Smoke and mirrors and performance management. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 2011;24(1):42-56. doi:10.1108/09513551111099217

45.

Flinders M. Daring to be a Daniel: The Pathology of Politicized Accountability in a Monitory Democracy. *Administration & Society*. 2011;43(5):595-619. doi:10.1177/0095399711403899

46.

Glynn JJ, Murphy MP. Public management. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 1996;9(5/6):125-137. doi:10.1108/09513559610146492

47.

Heinrich CJ. Outcomes-Based Performance Management in the Public Sector: Implications for Government Accountability and Effectiveness. *Public Administration Review*. 2002;62(6):712-725. doi:10.1111/1540-6210.00253

48.

Monfardini P. Accountability in the new public sector: a comparative case study. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 2010;23(7):632-646. doi:10.1108/09513551011078897

49.

Mulgan R. 'Accountability': An Ever-Expanding Concept? *Public Administration*. 2000;78(3):555-573. doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00218

50.

Papenfuss U, Schaefer C. Improving public accountability by aligning reporting to organizational changes in public service provision - an empirical Internet study of all Austrian, German and Swiss towns and states from an agency-theory perspective. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*. 2010;76(3):555-576. doi:10.1177/0020852310372451

51.

Ryan C, Walsh P. Collaboration of public sector agencies: reporting and accountability challenges. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 2004;17(7):621-631. doi:10.1108/09513550410562284

52.

Shaoul J. 'Sharing' political authority with finance capital: The case of Britain's Public Private Partnerships. *Policy and Society*. 2011;30(3):209-220. doi:10.1016/j.polsoc.2011.07.005

53.

Tetlock PE. Vying for Rhetorical High Ground in Accountability Debates: It Is Easy to Look Down on Those Who Look Soft on. *Administration & Society*. 2011;43(6):693-703. doi:10.1177/0095399711426848

54.

Willems T, Van Dooren W. Lost in diffusion? How collaborative arrangements lead to an accountability paradox. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*. 2011;77(3):505-530. doi:10.1177/0020852311408648

55.

Sørensen E. the democratic problems and potentials of network governance. *European Political Science*. 2005;4(3):348-357. doi:10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210033

56.

Berg AM. Transforming public services – transforming the public servant? *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 2006;19(6):556-568. doi:10.1108/09513550610686627

57.

BATTEN E, CORREIA L, HEDGES H, et al. EXPERTISE AND POLICY-MAKING: LEGAL PROFESSIONALS IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT. *Public Administration*. 2006;84(3):771-781. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2006.00611.x

58.

Burgess S. The Role of Incentives in the Public Sector: Issues and Evidence. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. 2003;19(2):285-300. doi:10.1093/oxrep/19.2.285

59.

Carboni N. Changing relationships between politicians and bureaucrats in contemporary

democracies: an empirical analysis of the Italian experience. *International public management review*. 2010;11(1):90-109.
<http://journals.sfu.ca/ipmr/index.php/ipmr/article/view/77/77>

60.

Farrell C, Morris J. The 'Neo-Bureaucratic' State: Professionals, Managers and Professional Managers in Schools, General Practices and Social Work. *Organization*. 2003;10(1):129-156. doi:10.1177/1350508403010001380

61.

Fitzgerald L, Ferlie E. Professionals: Back to the Future? *Human Relations*. 2000;53(5):713-739. doi:10.1177/0018726700535005

62.

Greener I. Understanding NHS Reform: The Policy-Transfer, Social Learning, and Path-Dependency Perspectives. *Governance*. 2002;15(2):161-183.
doi:10.1111/1468-0491.00184

63.

Horner L, Lekhi R, Blaug R. Deliberative democracy and the role of public managers. Published online 2006.
http://www.theworkfoundation.com/DownloadPublication/Report/107_107_Deliberative%20democracy%20and%20the%20role%20of%20public%20managers.pdf

64.

HOWLETT M, WELLSTEAD AM. Policy Analysts in the Bureaucracy Revisited: The Nature of Professional Policy Work in Contemporary Government. *Politics & Policy*. 2011;39(4):613-633. doi:10.1111/j.1747-1346.2011.00306.x

65.

Kearney, Richard C. Professionalism and Bureaucratic Responsiveness: Conflict or Compatibility? *Public Administration Review*. 1988;48(1).
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=4599374&site=ehost-li>

ve

66.

Kirkpatrick I, Jespersen PK, Dent M, Neogy I. Medicine and management in a comparative perspective: the case of Denmark and England. *Sociology of Health & Illness*. 2009;31(5):642-658. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9566.2009.01157.x

67.

Parsons W. Modernising Policy-making for the Twenty First Century: The Professional Model. *Public Policy and Administration*. 2001;16(3):93-110. doi:10.1177/095207670101600307

68.

Pedersen D, Hartley J. The changing context of public leadership and management. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 2008;21(4):327-339. doi:10.1108/09513550810880214

69.

Probst HB, Hussain ZB, Andersen O. Cancer patient pathways in Denmark as a joint effort between bureau... - PubMed - NCBI. Published online 2011. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22136810>

70.

Sehested K. HOW NEW PUBLIC MANAGEMENT REFORMS CHALLENGE THE ROLES OF PROFESSIONALS. *International Journal of Public Administration*. 2002;25(12):1513-1537. doi:10.1081/PAD-120014259

71.

Taylor I, Kelly J. Professionals, discretion and public sector reform in the UK: re-visiting Lipsky. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 2006;19(7):629-642. doi:10.1108/09513550610704662

72.

Tummers L. Explaining the willingness of public professionals to implement new policies: a policy alienation framework. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*. 2011;77(3):555-581. doi:10.1177/0020852311407364

73.

Considine M. The End of the Line? Accountable Governance in the Age of Networks, Partnerships, and Joined-Up Services. *Governance*. 2002;15(1):21-40. doi:10.1111/1468-0491.00178

74.

Willems T, Van Dooren W. Lost in diffusion? How collaborative arrangements lead to an accountability paradox. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*. 2011;77(3):505-530. doi:10.1177/0020852311408648

75.

Alcock P. A strategic unity: defining the third sector in the UK. *Voluntary sector review*. 2010;1(1):5-24. <https://www.proquest.com/docview/1885989521?pq-origsite=primo&accountid=8018>

76.

Bode I. Co-governance within networks and the non-profit – for-profit divide. *Public Management Review*. 2006;8(4):551-566. doi:10.1080/14719030601022932

77.

CUNNINGHAM I. A RACE TO THE BOTTOM? EXPLORING VARIATIONS IN EMPLOYMENT CONDITIONS IN THE VOLUNTARY SECTOR. *Public Administration*. 2008;86(4):1033-1053. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2008.00752.x

78.

Davis Smith J. The inflatable log: volunteering, the state and democracy. *Voluntary action*.

2001;3(3):13-26.

79.

Harris B. Voluntary action and the state in historical perspective. Voluntary sector review. 2010;1(1):25-40.
<https://search.proquest.com/docview/1885989660/shibboleth?accountid=8018>

80.

Harrow J, Jung T. Philanthropy is Dead; Long Live Philanthropy? Public Management Review . 2011;13(8):1047-1056. doi:10.1080/14719037.2011.619062

81.

KELLY J. REFORMING PUBLIC SERVICES IN THE UK: BRINGING IN THE THIRD SECTOR. Public Administration. 2007;85(4):1003-1022. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2007.00680.x

82.

Lewis J. New Labour's Approach to the Voluntary Sector: Independence and the Meaning of Partnership. Social Policy and Society. 2005;4(2):121-131.
doi:10.1017/S147474640400226X

83.

LOWNDES V, PRATCHETT L, STOKER G. LOCAL POLITICAL PARTICIPATION: THE IMPACT OF RULES-IN-USE. Public Administration. 2006;84(3):539-561.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2006.00601.x

84.

Lowndes V, Sullivan H. Like a Horse and Carriage or a Fish on a Bicycle: How Well do Local Partnerships and Public Participation go Together? Local Government Studies. 2004;30(1):51-73. doi:10.1080/0300393042000230920

85.

Srinivas N. Against NGOs?: A Critical Perspective on Nongovernmental Action. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*. 2009;38(4):614-626. doi:10.1177/0899764009334308

86.

Taylor M. Co-option or Empowerment?: The Changing Relationship between the State and the Voluntary and Community Sectors. *Local Governance*. 2002;28(1):1-11.

87.

Tiwana M, Belay N. Civil society: the clampdown is real. Published online 2010.
[http://www.civicus.org/view/media/CIVICUS_%20paper_%20global_%20trends_%20Civil%20Society_%20Space_%202009-201012\[1\].pdf](http://www.civicus.org/view/media/CIVICUS_%20paper_%20global_%20trends_%20Civil%20Society_%20Space_%202009-201012[1].pdf)

88.

Aberbach JD, Christensen T. Citizens and Consumers. *Public Management Review*. 2005;7(2):225-246. doi:10.1080/14719030500091319

89.

Arnstein SR. A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*. 1969;35(4):216-224. doi:10.1080/01944366908977225

90.

Bang HP. 'Yes we can': identity politics and project politics for a late-modern world. *Urban research & practice*. 2009;2(2):117-137.
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/17535060902979022>

91.

Bang HP, Sorensen E. The everyday maker: a new challenge to democratic governance. *Administrative Theory & Praxis*. 1999;21(3):324-341.
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10841806.1999.11643381>

92.

Davies JS. The governance of urban regeneration: a critique of the 'governing without government' thesis. *Public Administration*. 2002;80(2):301-322.
doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00305

93.

Davies JS. The Limits of Partnership: An Exit-Action Strategy for Local Democratic Inclusion. *Political Studies*. 2007;55(4):779-800. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2007.00677.x

94.

Dwyer P. Making sense of social citizenship: some user views on welfare rights and responsibilities. *Critical Social Policy*. 2002;22(2):273-299.
doi:10.1177/02610183020220020601

95.

Hastak, Manoj. The Role of Consumer Surveys in Public Policy Decision Making. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*. 2001;20(2).
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=5578310&site=ehost-live>

96.

Johnson C, Osborne SP. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. *Public Money and Management*. 2003;23(3):147-154.
doi:10.1111/1467-9302.00362

97.

Nancarrow C, Evans M, Pallister J. Polls apart! Political, research and ethical lessons from UK pressure groups' use of opinion polls. *International Journal of Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Marketing*. 2003;8(2):181-193. doi:10.1002/nvsm.211

98.

Prabhakar R. Commercialisation or Citizenship? *Politics*. 2004;24(3):215-220.
doi:10.1111/j.1467-9256.2004.00222.x

99.

Stolle D, Hooghe M. Consumers as political participants? Shifts in political action repertoires in Western societies. In: *Politics, Products, and Markets: Exploring Political Consumerism Past and Present*. Transaction Publishers; 2003.

100.

Roberts N. Public Deliberation in an Age of Direct Citizen Participation. *The American Review of Public Administration*. 2004;34(4):315-353. doi:10.1177/0275074004269288

101.

Davis Smith J. 'The Inflatable Log': volunteering, the state and democracy. Published online 2001.

102.

Alford J. Why Do Public-Sector Clients Coproduce?: Toward a Contingency Theory. *Administration & Society*. 2002;34(1):32-56. doi:10.1177/0095399702034001004

103.

Arnstein SR. A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*. 1969;35(4):216-224. doi:10.1080/01944366908977225

104.

Bovaird T. Beyond Engagement and Participation: User and Community Coproduction of Public Services. *Public Administration Review*. 2007;67(5):846-860. doi:10.1111/j.1540-6210.2007.00773.x

105.

Brandsen T, Pestoff V. Co-production, the third sector and the delivery of public services. *Public Management Review*. 2006;8(4):493-501. doi:10.1080/14719030601022874

106.

Davies JS. The Limits of Partnership: An Exit-Action Strategy for Local Democratic Inclusion. *Political Studies*. 2007;55(4):779-800. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9248.2007.00677.x

107.

Johnson C, Osborne SP. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. *Public Money and Management*. 2003;23(3):147-154. doi:10.1111/1467-9302.00362

108.

Mitlin D. With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. *Environment and Urbanization*. 2008;20(2):339-360. doi:10.1177/0956247808096117

109.

Pestoff V. Citizens and co-production of welfare services. *Public Management Review*. 2006;8(4):503-519. doi:10.1080/14719030601022882

110.

Prentice S. Childcare, co-production and the third sector in Canada. *Public Management Review*. 2006;8(4):521-536. doi:10.1080/14719030601022890

111.

Johnson C, Osborne SP. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. *Public Money and Management*. 2003;23(3):147-154. doi:10.1111/1467-9302.00362

112.

Mitlin D. With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. *Environment and Urbanization*. 2008;20(2):339-360. doi:10.1177/0956247808096117

113.

Allen, Barbara Ann. BRIDGING THE DIVIDE - COMMERCIAL PROCUREMENT AND SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT: ARE THERE LESSONS FOR HEALTH CARE COMMISSIONING IN ENGLAND? *Journal of Public Procurement*. 2009;9(1):79-108.
<http://search.proquest.com/docview/223182037/7627EE4859384E9CPQ/6?accountid=8018>

114.

Aritua B, Smith NJ, Bower D. What risks are common to or amplified in programmes: Evidence from UK public sector infrastructure schemes. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2011;29(3):303-312. doi:10.1016/j.ijproman.2010.04.004

115.

Bartlett W. Quasi-markets and contracts: A markets and hierarchies perspective on NHS reform. *Public money & management*. 1991;11(3):53-61.

116.

Baxter K, Weiss M, Le Grand J. The dynamics of commissioning across organisational and clinical boundaries. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*. 2008;22(2):111-128. doi:10.1108/14777260810876295

117.

Bovaird T. Public-Private Partnerships: from Contested Concepts to Prevalent Practice. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*. 2004;70(2):199-215. doi:10.1177/0020852304044250

118.

Bovaird T. Developing New Forms of Partnership With the 'Market' in the Procurement of Public Services. *Public Administration*. 2006;84(1):81-102. doi:10.1111/j.0033-3298.2006.00494.x

119.

Boyne GA. Competitive Tendering In Local Government: A Review Of Theory And Evidence. *Public Administration*. 1998;76(4):695-712. doi:10.1111/1467-9299.00132

120.

Bradley S, Taylor J. The Effect of the Quasi-market on the Efficiency-equity Trade-off in the Secondary School Sector. *Bulletin of Economic Research*. 2002;54(3):295-314. doi:10.1111/1467-8586.00154

121.

Bradley S, Taylor J. Diversity, Choice and the Quasi-market: An Empirical Analysis of Secondary Education Policy in England*. *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*. 2010;72(1):1-26. doi:10.1111/j.1468-0084.2009.00572.x

122.

Chalkley M. Competition in NHS quasi-markets. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. 1996;12(4):89-99. doi:10.1093/oxrep/12.4.89

123.

Dopson S, Locock L. The Commissioning Process in the NHS: The theory and application. *Public Management Review*. 2002;4(2):209-229. doi:10.1080/14616670210130552

124.

Fischbacher M, Beaumont PB. PFI, Public—Private Partnerships and the Neglected Importance of Process: Stakeholders and the Employment Dimension. *Public Money and Management*. 2003;23(3):171-176. doi:10.1111/1467-9302.00365

125.

Gibbons, Stephen. CHOICE, COMPETITION, AND PUPIL ACHIEVEMENT. *Journal of the European Economic Association*. 2008;6(4).
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=32604699&site=ehost-live>

126.

Gray KE, Ghosh D. An empirical analysis of the purchaser-provider relationship in the NHS internal market. *Journal of Management in Medicine*. 2000;14(1):57-68.
doi:10.1108/02689230010340561

127.

Ham C. World class commissioning: a health policy chimera? *Journal of health services policy research*. 2008;13(2):116-121.
<https://www-jstor-org.nottingham.idm.oclc.org/stable/26751153?sid=primo>

128.

Hoxley M. Purchasing UK public sector property and construction professional services: competition v quality. *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management*. 2001;7(2):133-139. doi:10.1016/S0969-7012(00)00026-5

129.

KNAPP M, HARDY B, FORDER J. Commissioning for Quality: Ten Years of Social Care Markets in England. *Journal of Social Policy*. 2001;30(02).
doi:10.1017/S0047279401006225

130.

Lunt, Neil. Economic discourse and the market: The case of community care. *Public Administration*. 74(3).
<http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=buh&AN=9702216351&site=eho-st-live>

131.

Matosevic T, Knapp M, Le Grand J. Motivation and Commissioning: Perceived and Expressed Motivations of Care Home Providers. *Social Policy & Administration*. 2008;42(3):228-247. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9515.2007.00594.x

132.

Murray JG. Towards a common understanding of the differences between purchasing, procurement and commissioning in the UK public sector. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*. 2009;15(3):198-202. doi:10.1016/j.pursup.2009.03.003

133.

Petsoulas C, Allen P, Hughes D, Vincent-Jones P, Roberts J. The use of standard contracts in the English National Health Service: A case study analysis. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2011;73(2):185-192. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.05.021

134.

Wade E. Commissioning reform in the NHS: will he who pays the piper ever really call the tune? *Clinical Medicine*. 2011;11(1):35-39. doi:10.7861/clinmedicine.11-1-35

135.

Williams I, Bovaird T, Brown H, et al. Designing whole-systems commissioning: Lessons from the English experience. *Journal of care services management*. 2012;6(2):83-92. http://pure-oai.bham.ac.uk/ws/files/10153987/Designing_whole_systems_commissioning.pdf

136.

Williams I, Bovaird T, Brown H, et al. Designing whole-systems commissioning: Lessons from the English experience. *Journal of care services management*. 2012;6(2):83-92. http://pure-oai.bham.ac.uk/ws/files/10153987/Designing_whole_systems_commissioning.pdf