


L34544, L34561 & L34678. Public management and governance

[View Online](#)

[1]

Aberbach, J.D. and Christensen, T. 2005. Citizens and Consumers. *Public Management Review*. 7, 2 (Jun. 2005), 225–246. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14719030500091319>.

[2]

Adsera, A. 2003. Are You Being Served? Political Accountability and Quality of Government. *Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization*. 19, 2 (Oct. 2003), 445–490. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jleo/ewg017>.

[3]

Alcock, P. 2010. A strategic unity: defining the third sector in the UK. *Voluntary sector review*. 1, 1 (2010), 5–24.

[4]

Alford, J. 2002. Why Do Public-Sector Clients Coproduce?: Toward a Contingency Theory. *Administration & Society*. 34, 1 (Mar. 2002), 32–56. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399702034001004>.

[5]

Allen, Barbara Ann 2009. BRIDGING THE DIVIDE - COMMERCIAL PROCUREMENT AND SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT: ARE THERE LESSONS FOR HEALTH CARE COMMISSIONING IN ENGLAND? *Journal of Public Procurement*. 9, 1 (2009), 79–108.

[6]

Aritua, B. et al. 2011. What risks are common to or amplified in programmes: Evidence from UK public sector infrastructure schemes. *International Journal of Project Management*. 29, 3 (Apr. 2011), 303–312. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2010.04.004>.

[7]

Arnstein, S.R. 1969. A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*. 35, 4 (1969), 216–224. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01944366908977225>.

[8]

Arnstein, S.R. 1969. A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*. 35, 4 (1969), 216–224. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/01944366908977225>.

[9]

Ashworth, R. et al. 2013. Theorizing Contemporary Public Management: International and Comparative Perspectives. *British Journal of Management*. 24, (Sep. 2013), S1–S17. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12035>.

[10]

Bang, H.P. 2009. 'Yes we can': identity politics and project politics for a late-modern world. *Urban research & practice*. 2, 2 (2009), 117–137.

[11]

Bang, H.P. and Sorensen, E. 1999. The everyday maker: a new challenge to democratic governance. *Administrative Theory & Praxis*. 21, 3 (1999), 324–341.

[12]

Bartlett, W. 1991. Quasi-markets and contracts: A markets and hierarchies perspective on NHS reform. *Public money & management*. 11, 3 (1991), 53–61.

[13]

BATTEN, E. et al. 2006. EXPERTISE AND POLICY-MAKING: LEGAL PROFESSIONALS IN LOCAL GOVERNMENT. *Public Administration*. 84, 3 (Aug. 2006), 771-781.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2006.00611.x>.

[14]

Bawn, K. and Rosenbluth, F. 2006. Short versus Long Coalitions: Electoral Accountability and the Size of the Public Sector. *American Journal of Political Science*. 50, 2 (Apr. 2006), 251-265. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5907.2006.00182.x>.

[15]

Baxter, K. et al. 2008. The dynamics of commissioning across organisational and clinical boundaries. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*. 22, 2 (May 2008), 111-128. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/14777260810876295>.

[16]

Berg, A.M. 2006. Transforming public services – transforming the public servant? *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 19, 6 (Oct. 2006), 556-568. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513550610686627>.

[17]

Bevir, M. et al. 2003. Traditions of governance: interpreting the changing role of the public sector. *Public Administration*. 81, 1 (Mar. 2003), 1-17. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9299.00334>.

[18]

Bevir, M. and Rhodes, R.A.W. 2003. Searching for civil society: changing patterns of governance in Britain. *Public Administration*. 81, 1 (Mar. 2003), 41-62. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9299.00336>.

[19]

Bode, I. 2006. Co-governance within networks and the non-profit – for-profit divide. *Public*

Management Review. 8, 4 (Dec. 2006), 551–566.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14719030601022932>.

[20]

Bovaird, T. 2007. Beyond Engagement and Participation: User and Community Coproduction of Public Services. Public Administration Review. 67, 5 (Sep. 2007), 846–860.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2007.00773.x>.

[21]

Bovaird, T. 2006. Developing New Forms of Partnership With the 'Market' in the Procurement of Public Services. Public Administration. 84, 1 (Mar. 2006), 81–102.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0033-3298.2006.00494.x>.

[22]

Bovaird, T. 2004. Public–Private Partnerships: from Contested Concepts to Prevalent Practice. International Review of Administrative Sciences. 70, 2 (Jun. 2004), 199–215.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852304044250>.

[23]

Boyne, G.A. 1998. Competitive Tendering In Local Government: A Review Of Theory And Evidence. Public Administration. 76, 4 (Jan. 1998), 695–712.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9299.00132>.

[24]

Bradley, S. and Taylor, J. 2010. Diversity, Choice and the Quasi-market: An Empirical Analysis of Secondary Education Policy in England*. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics. 72, 1 (Feb. 2010), 1–26. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0084.2009.00572.x>.

[25]

Bradley, S. and Taylor, J. 2002. The Effect of the Quasi-market on the Efficiency-equity Trade-off in the Secondary School Sector. Bulletin of Economic Research. 54, 3 (Jul. 2002), 295–314. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8586.00154>.

[26]

Brandsen, T. and Kim, S. 2010. Contextualizing the meaning of public management reforms: a comparison of the Netherlands and South Korea. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*. 76, 2 (Jun. 2010), 367–386.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852309365671>.

[27]

Brandsen, T. and Pestoff, V. 2006. Co-production, the third sector and the delivery of public services. *Public Management Review*. 8, 4 (Dec. 2006), 493–501.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14719030601022874>.

[28]

Bryson, J.M. 2004. What to do when Stakeholders matter. *Public Management Review*. 6, 1 (Mar. 2004), 21–53. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14719030410001675722>.

[29]

Burgess, S. 2003. The Role of Incentives in the Public Sector: Issues and Evidence. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. 19, 2 (Jun. 2003), 285–300.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/19.2.285>.

[30]

Carboni, N. 2010. Changing relationships between politicians and bureaucrats in contemporary democracies: an empirical analysis of the Italian experience. *International public management review*. 11, 1 (2010), 90–109.

[31]

Chalkley, M. 1996. Competition in NHS quasi-markets. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*. 12, 4 (Dec. 1996), 89–99. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/12.4.89>.

[32]

Chandler, J. et al. 2002. Stressing Academe: The Wear and Tear of the New Public Management. *Human Relations*. 55, 9 (Sep. 2002), 1051–1069.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726702055009019>.

[33]

Chapman, R. and Lowndes, V. 2009. Accountable, authorized or authentic? What do 'faith representatives' offer urban governance? *Public Money & Management*. 29, 6 (Nov. 2009), 371–378. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/09540960903378233>.

[34]

Christensen, M. and Skærbæk, P. 2007. Framing and overflowing of public sector accountability innovations. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. 20, 1 (Mar. 2007), 101–132. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570710731227>.

[35]

Considine, M. 2002. The End of the Line? Accountable Governance in the Age of Networks, Partnerships, and Joined-Up Services. *Governance*. 15, 1 (Jan. 2002), 21–40.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0491.00178>.

[36]

Considine, M. 2002. The End of the Line? Accountable Governance in the Age of Networks, Partnerships, and Joined-Up Services. *Governance*. 15, 1 (Jan. 2002), 21–40.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0491.00178>.

[37]

Considine, M. and Lewis, J.M. 2003. Bureaucracy, Network, or Enterprise? Comparing Models of Governance in Australia, Britain, the Netherlands, and New Zealand. *Public Administration Review*. 63, 2 (Mar. 2003), 131–140.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-6210.00274>.

[38]

Cunningham, G.M. and Harris, J.E. 2005. Toward a Theory of Performance Reporting to Achieve Public Sector Accountability: A Field Study. *Public Budgeting & Finance*.

glyph="@amp;" ascii="&"/> Finance. 25, 2 (Jun. 2005), 15-42.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0275-1100.2005.00359.x>.

[39]

CUNNINGHAM, I. 2008. A RACE TO THE BOTTOM? EXPLORING VARIATIONS IN EMPLOYMENT CONDITIONS IN THE VOLUNTARY SECTOR. *Public Administration*. 86, 4 (Oct. 2008), 1033-1053. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2008.00752.x>.

[40]

Davies, J.S. 2002. The governance of urban regeneration: a critique of the 'governing without government' thesis. *Public Administration*. 80, 2 (Jan. 2002), 301-322.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9299.00305>.

[41]

Davies, J.S. 2007. The Limits of Partnership: An Exit-Action Strategy for Local Democratic Inclusion. *Political Studies*. 55, 4 (Dec. 2007), 779-800.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2007.00677.x>.

[42]

Davies, J.S. 2007. The Limits of Partnership: An Exit-Action Strategy for Local Democratic Inclusion. *Political Studies*. 55, 4 (Dec. 2007), 779-800.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.2007.00677.x>.

[43]

Davis Smith, J. 2001. The inflatable log: volunteering, the state and democracy. *Voluntary action*. 3, 3 (2001), 13-26.

[44]

Davis Smith, J. 2001. 'The Inflatable Log': volunteering, the state and democracy. (2001).

[45]

Dereli, C. 2011. Smoke and mirrors and performance management. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 24, 1 (Jan. 2011), 42–56.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513551111099217>.

[46]

Dopson, S. and Locock, L. 2002. The Commissioning Process in the NHS: The theory and application. *Public Management Review*. 4, 2 (Jan. 2002), 209–229.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14616670210130552>.

[47]

Dunleavy, P. 2005. New Public Management Is Dead--Long Live Digital-Era Governance. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. 16, 3 (Sep. 2005), 467–494.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mui057>.

[48]

Dwyer, P. 2002. Making sense of social citizenship: some user views on welfare rights and responsibilities. *Critical Social Policy*. 22, 2 (May 2002), 273–299.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/02610183020220020601>.

[49]

Farrell, C. and Morris, J. 2003. The 'Neo-Bureaucratic' State: Professionals, Managers and Professional Managers in Schools, General Practices and Social Work. *Organization*. 10, 1 (Feb. 2003), 129–156. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508403010001380>.

[50]

Ferlie, E. et al. 2003. Changing Public Service Organizations: Current Perspectives and Future Prospects. *British Journal of Management*. 14, s1 (Dec. 2003), S1–S14.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2003.00389.x>.

[51]

Fischbacher, M. and Beaumont, P.B. 2003. PFI, Public—Private Partnerships and the

Neglected Importance of Process: Stakeholders and the Employment Dimension. *Public Money and Management*. 23, 3 (Jul. 2003), 171–176.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9302.00365>.

[52]

Fitzgerald, L. and Ferlie, E. 2000. Professionals: Back to the Future? *Human Relations*. 53, 5 (May 2000), 713–739. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726700535005>.

[53]

Flinders, M. 2011. Daring to be a Daniel: The Pathology of Politicized Accountability in a Monitory Democracy. *Administration & Society*. 43, 5 (Jul. 2011), 595–619.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399711403899>.

[54]

Gibbons, Stephen 2008. CHOICE, COMPETITION, AND PUPIL ACHIEVEMENT. *Journal of the European Economic Association*. 6, 4 (2008).

[55]

Glynn, J.J. and Murphy, M.P. 1996. Public management. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 9, 5/6 (Sep. 1996), 125–137.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513559610146492>.

[56]

Gray, K.E. and Ghosh, D. 2000. An empirical analysis of the purchaser-provider relationship in the NHS internal market. *Journal of Management in Medicine*. 14, 1 (Mar. 2000), 57–68.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/02689230010340561>.

[57]

Greener, I. 2002. Understanding NHS Reform: The Policy-Transfer, Social Learning, and Path-Dependency Perspectives. *Governance*. 15, 2 (Apr. 2002), 161–183.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0491.00184>.

[58]

Hajer, M. 2003. Policy without polity? Policy analysis and the institutional void. *Policy Sciences*. 36, 2 (2003), 175–195. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024834510939>.

[59]

Hajer, M. and Versteeg, W. 2005. performing governance through networks. *European Political Science*. 4, 3 (Sep. 2005), 340–347.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210034>.

[60]

Ham, C. 2008. World class commissioning: a health policy chimera? *Journal of health services policy research*. 13, 2 (2008), 116–121.

[61]

Harris, B. 2010. Voluntary action and the state in historical perspective. *Voluntary sector review*. 1, 1 (2010), 25–40.

[62]

Harrow, J. and Jung, T. 2011. Philanthropy is Dead; Long Live Philanthropy? *Public Management Review*. 13, 8 (Nov. 2011), 1047–1056.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2011.619062>.

[63]

Hartley, J. et al. 2013. Collaborative Innovation: A Viable Alternative to Market Competition and Organizational Entrepreneurship. *Public Administration Review*. 73, 6 (Nov. 2013), 821–830. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12136>.

[64]

Hastak, Manoj 2001. The Role of Consumer Surveys in Public Policy Decision Making. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*. 20, 2 (2001).

[65]

Heinrich, C.J. 2002. Outcomes-Based Performance Management in the Public Sector: Implications for Government Accountability and Effectiveness. *Public Administration Review*. 62, 6 (Nov. 2002), 712–725. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-6210.00253>.

[66]

HOOD, C. 2007. Intellectual Obsolescence and Intellectual Makeovers: Reflections on the Tools of Government after Two Decades. *Governance*. 20, 1 (Jan. 2007), 127–144. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0491.2007.00347.x>.

[67]

Hood, C. 2004. The Middle Aging of New Public Management: Into the Age of Paradox? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. 14, 3 (Jul. 2004), 267–282. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muh019>.

[68]

HOOD, C. and DIXON, R. 2013. A MODEL OF COST-CUTTING IN GOVERNMENT? THE GREAT MANAGEMENT REVOLUTION IN UK CENTRAL GOVERNMENT RECONSIDERED. *Public Administration*. 91, 1 (Mar. 2013), 114–134. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2012.02072.x>.

[69]

Horner, L. et al. 2006. Deliberative democracy and the role of public managers.

[70]

HOWLETT, M. and WELLSTEAD, A.M. 2011. Policy Analysts in the Bureaucracy Revisited: The Nature of Professional Policy Work in Contemporary Government. *Politics & Policy*. 39, 4 (Aug. 2011), 613–633. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-1346.2011.00306.x>.

[71]

Hoxley, M. 2001. Purchasing UK public sector property and construction professional services: competition v quality. *European Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management*. 7,

2 (Jun. 2001), 133–139. DOI:[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-7012\(00\)00026-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-7012(00)00026-5).

[72]

Johnson, C. and Osborne, S.P. 2003. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. *Public Money and Management*. 23, 3 (Jul. 2003), 147–154. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9302.00362>.

[73]

Johnson, C. and Osborne, S.P. 2003. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. *Public Money and Management*. 23, 3 (Jul. 2003), 147–154. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9302.00362>.

[74]

Johnson, C. and Osborne, S.P. 2003. Local Strategic Partnerships, Neighbourhood Renewal, and the Limits to Co-governance. *Public Money and Management*. 23, 3 (Jul. 2003), 147–154. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9302.00362>.

[75]

Jones, B.D. 2003. Bounded Rationality and Political Science: Lessons from Public Administration and Public Policy. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*. 13, 4 (Oct. 2003), 395–412. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jpart/mug028>.

[76]

Kearney, Richard C. 1988. Professionalism and Bureaucratic Responsiveness: Conflict or Compatibility? *Public Administration Review*. 48, 1 (1988).

[77]

KELLY, J. 2007. REFORMING PUBLIC SERVICES IN THE UK: BRINGING IN THE THIRD SECTOR. *Public Administration*. 85, 4 (Dec. 2007), 1003–1022. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2007.00680.x>.

[78]

Kickert, W.J.M. 2003. Beneath consensual corporatism: traditions of governance in the Netherlands. *Public Administration*. 81, 1 (Mar. 2003), 119–140.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9299.00339>.

[79]

Kirkpatrick, I. et al. 2009. Medicine and management in a comparative perspective: the case of Denmark and England. *Sociology of Health & Illness*. 31, 5 (Jul. 2009), 642–658.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9566.2009.01157.x>.

[80]

Klijn, E. 2005. designing and managing networks: possibilities and limitations for network management. *European Political Science*. 4, 3 (Sep. 2005), 328–339.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210035>.

[81]

KLIJN, E.-H. and SKELCHER, C. 2007. DEMOCRACY AND GOVERNANCE NETWORKS: COMPATIBLE OR NOT? *Public Administration*. 85, 3 (Sep. 2007), 587–608.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2007.00662.x>.

[82]

KNAPP, M. et al. 2001. Commissioning for Quality: Ten Years of Social Care Markets in England. *Journal of Social Policy*. 30, 02 (Apr. 2001).
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047279401006225>.

[83]

LE GRAND, J. 1997. Knights, Knaves or Pawns? Human Behaviour and Social Policy. *Journal of Social Policy*. 26, 2 (Apr. 1997), 149–169.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047279497004984>.

[84]

Lewis, J. 2005. New Labour's Approach to the Voluntary Sector: Independence and the

Meaning of Partnership. *Social Policy and Society*. 4, 2 (Apr. 2005), 121–131.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1017/S147474640400226X>.

[85]

LOWNDES, V. et al. 2006. LOCAL POLITICAL PARTICIPATION: THE IMPACT OF RULES-IN-USE. *Public Administration*. 84, 3 (Aug. 2006), 539–561.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2006.00601.x>.

[86]

Lowndes, V. and Sullivan, H. 2004. Like a Horse and Carriage or a Fish on a Bicycle: How Well do Local Partnerships and Public Participation go Together? *Local Government Studies*. 30, 1 (Mar. 2004), 51–73. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/0300393042000230920>.

[87]

Lunt, Neil Economic discourse and the market: The case of community care. *Public Administration*. 74, 3.

[88]

Lynn Jr., L.E. 2001. The Myth of the Bureaucratic Paradigm: What Traditional Public Administration Really Stood For. *Public Administration Review*. 61, 2 (Mar. 2001), 144–160.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/0033-3352.00016>.

[89]

Martin, S. 2002. The Modernization of UK Local Government: Markets, Managers, Monitors and Mixed Fortunes. *Public Management Review*. 4, 3 (Jan. 2002), 291–307.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14616670210151595>.

[90]

Matosevic, T. et al. 2008. Motivation and Commissioning: Perceived and Expressed Motivations of Care Home Providers. *Social Policy & Administration*. 42, 3 (Jun. 2008), 228–247. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9515.2007.00594.x>.

[91]

Mitlin, D. 2008. With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. *Environment and Urbanization*. 20, 2 (Oct. 2008), 339–360. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247808096117>.

[92]

Mitlin, D. 2008. With and beyond the state -- co-production as a route to political influence, power and transformation for grassroots organizations. *Environment and Urbanization*. 20, 2 (Oct. 2008), 339–360. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0956247808096117>.

[93]

Monfardini, P. 2010. Accountability in the new public sector: a comparative case study. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 23, 7 (Oct. 2010), 632–646. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513551011078897>.

[94]

Mulgan, R. 2000. 'Accountability': An Ever-Expanding Concept? *Public Administration*. 78, 3 (Jan. 2000), 555–573. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9299.00218>.

[95]

Murray, J.G. 2009. Towards a common understanding of the differences between purchasing, procurement and commissioning in the UK public sector. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*. 15, 3 (Sep. 2009), 198–202. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2009.03.003>.

[96]

Nancarrow, C. et al. 2003. Polls apart! Political, research and ethical lessons from UK pressure groups' use of opinion polls. *International Journal of Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Marketing*. 8, 2 (May 2003), 181–193. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1002/nvsm.211>.

[97]

Papadopoulos, Y. 2005. taking stock of multi-level governance networks. *European Political*

Science. 4, 3 (Sep. 2005), 316–327. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210032>.

[98]

Papenfuss, U. and Schaefer, C. 2010. Improving public accountability by aligning reporting to organizational changes in public service provision - an empirical Internet study of all Austrian, German and Swiss towns and states from an agency-theory perspective. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*. 76, 3 (Sep. 2010), 555–576. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852310372451>.

[99]

Parsons, W. 2001. Modernising Policy-making for the Twenty First Century: The Professional Model. *Public Policy and Administration*. 16, 3 (Jul. 2001), 93–110. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/095207670101600307>.

[100]

Pedersen, D. and Hartley, J. 2008. The changing context of public leadership and management. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 21, 4 (May 2008), 327–339. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513550810880214>.

[101]

Pestoff, V. 2006. Citizens and co-production of welfare services. *Public Management Review*. 8, 4 (Dec. 2006), 503–519. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14719030601022882>.

[102]

Petsoulas, C. et al. 2011. The use of standard contracts in the English National Health Service: A case study analysis. *Social Science & Medicine*. 73, 2 (Jul. 2011), 185–192. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.05.021>.

[103]

Prabhakar, R. 2004. Commercialisation or Citizenship? *Politics*. 24, 3 (Sep. 2004), 215–220. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9256.2004.00222.x>.

[104]

Prentice, S. 2006. Childcare, co-production and the third sector in Canada. *Public Management Review*. 8, 4 (Dec. 2006), 521-536.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14719030601022890>.

[105]

Probst, H.B. et al. 2011. Cancer patient pathways in Denmark as a joint effort between bureau... - PubMed - NCBI.

[106]

Rhodes, R.A.W. 1996. The New Governance: Governing without Government. *Political Studies*. 44, 4 (Sep. 1996), 652-667.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9248.1996.tb01747.x>.

[107]

Rhodes, R.A.W. 2007. Understanding Governance: Ten Years On. *Organization Studies*. 28, 8 (Aug. 2007), 1243-1264. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840607076586>.

[108]

Roberts, N. 2004. Public Deliberation in an Age of Direct Citizen Participation. *The American Review of Public Administration*. 34, 4 (Dec. 2004), 315-353.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074004269288>.

[109]

Ryan, C. and Walsh, P. 2004. Collaboration of public sector agencies: reporting and accountability challenges. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 17, 7 (Dec. 2004), 621-631. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513550410562284>.

[110]

Sehested, K. 2002. HOW NEW PUBLIC MANAGEMENT REFORMS CHALLENGE THE ROLES OF PROFESSIONALS. *International Journal of Public Administration*. 25, 12 (Nov. 2002), 1513-1537. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1081/PAD-120014259>.

[111]

Shaoul, J. 2011. 'Sharing' political authority with finance capital: The case of Britain's Public Private Partnerships. *Policy and Society*. 30, 3 (Sep. 2011), 209–220.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polsoc.2011.07.005>.

[112]

Skelcher, C. 2000. Changing images of the State: overloaded, hollowed-out, congested. *Public Policy and Administration*. 15, 3 (Jul. 2000), 3–19.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/095207670001500302>.

[113]

Skelcher, C. 2000. Changing images of the State: overloaded, hollowed-out, congested. *Public Policy and Administration*. 15, 3 (Jul. 2000), 3–19.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/095207670001500302>.

[114]

Skelcher, C. et al. 2005. The Public Governance of Collaborative Spaces: Discourse, Design and Democracy. *Public Administration*. 83, 3 (Aug. 2005), 573–596.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0033-3298.2005.00463.x>.

[115]

Smith, M. and Beazley, M. 2000. Progressive Regimes, Partnerships and the Involvement of Local Communities: A Framework for Evaluation. *Public Administration*. 78, 4 (Jan. 2000), 855–878. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9299.00234>.

[116]

Sørensen, E. 2005. the democratic problems and potentials of network governance. *European Political Science*. 4, 3 (Sep. 2005), 348–357.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210033>.

[117]

Sørensen, E. 2005. the democratic problems and potentials of network governance. *European Political Science*. 4, 3 (Sep. 2005), 348–357.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210033>.

[118]

Srinivas, N. 2009. Against NGOs?: A Critical Perspective on Nongovernmental Action. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*. 38, 4 (Aug. 2009), 614–626.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764009334308>.

[119]

Stewart, J. and Walsh, K. 1992. Change in the management of public services. *Public administration*. 70, 4 (1992), 499–518.

[120]

Stoker, G. 2006. Public Value Management: A New Narrative for Networked Governance? *The American Review of Public Administration*. 36, 1 (Mar. 2006), 41–57.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074005282583>.

[121]

STOKER, G. 2011. WAS LOCAL GOVERNANCE SUCH A GOOD IDEA? A GLOBAL COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE. *Public Administration*. 89, 1 (Mar. 2011), 15–31.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2011.01900.x>.

[122]

Stolle, D. and Hooghe, M. 2003. Consumers as political participants? Shifts in political action repertoires in Western societies. *Politics, products, and markets: exploring political consumerism past and present*. Transaction Publishers.

[123]

Taylor, I. and Kelly, J. 2006. Professionals, discretion and public sector reform in the UK: re-visiting Lipsky. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. 19, 7 (Dec. 2006), 629–642. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513550610704662>.

[124]

Taylor, M. 2002. Co-option or Empowerment?: The Changing Relationship between the State and the Voluntary and Community Sectors. *Local Governance*. 28, 1 (2002), 1–11.

[125]

Tetlock, P.E. 2011. Vying for Rhetorical High Ground in Accountability Debates: It Is Easy to Look Down on Those Who Look Soft on. *Administration & Society*. 43, 6 (Sep. 2011), 693–703. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399711426848>.

[126]

Tiwana, M. and Belay, N. 2010. Civil society: the clampdown is real.

[127]

Torring, J. 2005. governance network theory: towards a second generation. *European Political Science*. 4, 3 (Sep. 2005), 305–315.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210031>.

[128]

Torring, J. 2005. symposium: governance networks. *European Political Science*. 4, 3 (Sep. 2005), 301–304. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.eps.2210030>.

[129]

Tummers, L. 2011. Explaining the willingness of public professionals to implement new policies: a policy alienation framework. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*. 77, 3 (Sep. 2011), 555–581. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852311407364>.

[130]

Wade, E. 2011. Commissioning reform in the NHS: will he who pays the piper ever really call the tune? *Clinical Medicine*. 11, 1 (Feb. 2011), 35–39.

DOI:<https://doi.org/10.7861/clinmedicine.11-1-35>.

[131]

WEST, K. and DAVIS, P. 2011. WHAT IS THE PUBLIC VALUE OF GOVERNMENT ACTION? TOWARDS A (NEW) PRAGMATIC APPROACH TO VALUES QUESTIONS IN PUBLIC ENDEAVOURS. *Public Administration*. 89, 2 (Jun. 2011), 226–241. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2010.01847.x>.

[132]

Willems, T. and Van Dooren, W. 2011. Lost in diffusion? How collaborative arrangements lead to an accountability paradox. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*. 77, 3 (Sep. 2011), 505–530. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852311408648>.

[133]

Willems, T. and Van Dooren, W. 2011. Lost in diffusion? How collaborative arrangements lead to an accountability paradox. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*. 77, 3 (Sep. 2011), 505–530. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852311408648>.

[134]

Williams, I. et al. 2012. Designing whole-systems commissioning: Lessons from the English experience. *Journal of care services management*. 6, 2 (2012), 83–92.

[135]

Williams, I. et al. 2012. Designing whole-systems commissioning: Lessons from the English experience. *Journal of care services management*. 6, 2 (2012), 83–92.

[136]

Zafirovski, M. 2001. Administration and Society: Beyond Public Choice? *Public Administration*. 79, 3 (Sep. 2001), 665–688. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9299.00274>.