

M32001 Land Law

[View Online](#)

-
1.

Smith RJ. Property law. Eighth edition. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education; 2014.

 2.

Gravells NP. Land law: text and materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2010.

 3.

Thompson MP. Modern land law. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

 4.

Williams & Glyn's Bank v Boland [1981] AC 487 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utm1qdnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

 5.

Midland Bank Trust v Green [1981] AC 513 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utmYudnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

 6.

Kingsnorth Trust Ltd v Tizard [1986] 1 WLR 783 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qto2qdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjlo>

W0ha

7.

Gravells NP. Land law: text and materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2010.

8.

Smith RJ. Property law. 8th ed. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education; 2014.

9.

Thompson MP. Modern land law. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

10.

Law Commission. Law Commission No 254: Land Registration for the Twenty-First Century [Internet]. 1998. Available from: <http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/land-registration-for-the-21st-century/#land-registration-for-the-twenty-first-century>

11.

Law Commission. Law Commission No 271: Land Registration for the Twenty-First Century – A Conveyancing Revolution [Internet]. 2001. Available from: <http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/land-registration-for-the-21st-century/#land-registration-for-the-twenty-first-century>

12.

Land Registration Act 2002 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2002/9/contents>

13.

Thompson v Foy [2010] 1 P & CR 16 [Internet]. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad82d080000014d86c>

bcc9b86e0e0ec&docguid=IC271DEA01D1711DFA70E8EB4A213CBD5&hitguid=I4F4EFBC0474711DEA68AD35FCEE89EC9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=101&crumb-action=append&context=34&resolvein=true

14.

Link Lending Ltd v Bustard [2010] EWCA Civ 424 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3etn1qZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

15.

Thomas v Clydesdale Bank plc [2010] EWHC 2755 (QB) [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3yZnZCZn3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/thomas-v-clydesdale-bank-plc-ta-yorkshire-bank>

16.

Chaudhary v Yavuz [2011] EWCA Civ 1314, [2013] Ch 249 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3CJn4yJn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

17.

Purchaser liability to third parties in the English land registration system: a comparative perspective. Law Quarterly Review [Internet]. 2004;120. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad82d080000014d86d7cce87df8361d&docguid=I85254910E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I85254910E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=69&resolvein=true>

18.

Defining the scope of actual occupation under the LRA 2002: some recent judicial clarification. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2011; Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad8289e0000014d86dd623f96213b9f&docguid=I03C8E160C11711E0A129DD901EF85A08&hitguid=I03C8E160C11711E0A129DD901EF85A08&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=85&resolvein=true>

19.

Gravells NP. Land law: text and materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2010.

20.

Smith RJ. Property law. 8th ed. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education; 2014.

21.

Thompson MP. Modern land law. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

22.

Burgess v Rawnsley [1975] Ch 429 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZmXqdmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

23.

The Trusts of Land and Appointment of Trustees Act 1996. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 1996; Available from:
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad82d080000014d86e1942c58e8eb99&docguid=I8156B4E0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I8156B4E0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=2&crumb-action=append&context=104&resolvein=true>

24.

Section 15 of the Trusts of Land and Appointment of Trustees Act 1996 - a change in the law? Conveyancer and Property Lawyer [Internet]. 2000; Available from:
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744d0640000014d86e4cf50e081a76e&docguid=I79298180E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I79298180E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=124&resolvein=true>

25.

Dixon M. To sell or not to sell: that is the question. The irony of the Trusts of Land and

Appointment of Trustees Act 1996. The Cambridge Law Journal //bind
 click to activate export `$('#a[rel=export]').click(function(evt){`
`evt.preventDefault(); document.forms['optionsForm'].submit();` `});`
`});`; 2011;70(3).

26.

Gravells NP. Land law: text and materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2010.

27.

Smith RJ. Property law. 8th ed. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education; 2014.

28.

Thompson MP. Modern land law. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

29.

Street v Mountford [1985] AC 809 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CJm1CJmZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

30.

AG Securities v Vaughan; Antoniades v Villiers [1990] 1 AC 417 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qdo3atnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

31.

Antoniades v Villiers [1990] 1 AC 417 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=ia744d05e0000014fd7a4bd014405a19f&docguid=I53991610E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I5398EF01E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=186&crumb-action=append&context=5&resolvein=true>

32.

Prudential Assurance Co Ltd v London Residuary Body [1992] 2 AC 386 [Internet].

Available from:

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e0000014fd620f7c5d919e8cd&docguid=I2DB0FED0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I2DB0D7C1E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=2685&crumb-action=append&context=5&resolvein=true>

33.

Bruton v London and Quadrant Housing Trust [2000] 1 AC 406 [Internet]. Available from:

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e0000014fd621e792b5ce11f6&docguid=I7CC391A0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I7CC34380E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=3617&crumb-action=append&context=10&resolvein=true>

34.

Bright, Susan. Uncertainty in Leases - Is It a Vice? Legal Studies [Internet]. 1993;13.

Available from:

http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/legstd13&div=10&collection=journal&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

35.

What is wrong with certainty in leases? The conveyancer and property lawyer. 1993;(Nov/Dec):461-5.

36.

Intention and the creation of proprietary rights: are leases different?*. Legal Studies. 1996;16(2):200-17.

37.

Bright S. Leases, exclusive possession and estates. The law quarterly review. 2000;116:7-11.

38.

Dixon, Martin. The non-proprietary lease: the rise of the feudal phoenix. The Cambridge Law Journal [Internet]. 2000;59(01):25–8. Available from: <http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=1485&fulltextType=SC&fileId=S0008197300290016>

39.

Walsh v Lonsdale (1882) 21 ChD 9 [Internet]. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e0000014fd63694b8ef34aed8&docguid=IEFE2BD90E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=IEFE29680E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=204&crumb-action=append&context=49&resolvein=true>

40.

Scala House and District Property v Forbes [1974] QB 575 [Internet]. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=ia744cc630000014fd6376fd1d681411c&docguid=I985FF060E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I985FC950E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=65&crumb-action=append&context=55&resolvein=true>

41.

Expert Clothing Service and Sales Ltd v Hillgate House Ltd [1986] Ch 340 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qto4atmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

42.

Ropemaker Properties v Noonhaven [1989] 2 EGLR 50 [Internet]. Available from: <https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4J44-1370-TX1N-H139-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>

43.

Savva v Hussein (1996) 73 P & CR 150 [Internet]. Available from: <http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e0000014fd6382e6520f3e46b&docguid=I98408180E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I98405A70E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=2985&crumb-action=append&context=61&resolvein=true>

44.

Akici v LR Butlin Ltd [2006] 1 WLR 201 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qJm5KJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

45.

Gravells NP. Land law: text and materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2010.

46.

Smith RJ. Property law. Eighth edition. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education; 2014.

47.

Thompson MP. Modern land law. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

48.

*Binions v Evans [1972] Ch. 359 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0GdmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

49.

*Ashburn Anstalt v Arnold [1988] 2 All ER 147 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qdo4iZmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

50.

Leases, Licences and Third Parties. The Modern Law Review. 1988 Mar;51(2):226-51.

51.

E.R. Ives Investment Ltd v High [1967] 2 QB 379 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZnWCZmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

52.

*Taylors Fashions v Liverpool Victoria Trustees [1982] QB 133 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utmYGJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

53.

*Re Basham [1986] 1 WLR 1498 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4ajmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

54.

Estoppel and the ubiquitous constructive trust. The conveyancer and property lawyer. 1987;

55.

Reliance and estoppel. The law quarterly review. 1995;

56.

*Wayling v Jones (1993) 69 P&CR 170 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYaZm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/wayling-v-jones>

57.

Estoppel - reliance and remedy. The conveyancer and property lawyer. 1995;

58.

Reliance and estoppel. The law quarterly review. 1995;

59.

*Sledmore v Dalby (1996) 72 P&CR 196 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGJn2itnYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

60.

Is equitable estoppel a wasting asset? The conveyancer and property lawyer.
1997;458-64.

61.

*Gillett v Holt [2001] Ch 210 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYutm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

62.

Estoppel: a return to principle. The conveyancer and property lawyer. 2001;78-86.

63.

*Jennings v Rice [2002] EWCA Civ 159 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCtoYmZm1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

64.

The flexibility of estoppel. The conveyancer and property lawyer. 2003;225-38.

65.

Yeoman's Row Management Ltd v Cobbe [2008] UKHL 55, [2008] 1 WLR 1752 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZidnXKJm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

66.

Thorner v Major [2009] 1 WLR 776, [2009] UKHL 18 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2yZn3uJnYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

67.

Proprietary estoppel: a return to principle? The conveyancer and property lawyer. 2009;260-8.

68.

The remedial discretion in proprietary estoppel - again. The law quarterly review. 2006;

69.

Smith R. Chapter 11: How Proprietary is Proprietary Estoppel? In: Consensus ad idem: essays in the law of contract in honour of Guenter Treitel [Internet]. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 1996. p. 235-50. Available from: <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=0ffe4529-61a7-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

70.

McFarlane B. Proprietary Estoppel and Third Parties after the Land Registration Act 2002. The Cambridge Law Journal. 2003 Nov 3;62(3):661-96.

71.

Land Registration Act 2002 [Internet]. Available from: <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2002/9/contents/data.htm>

72.

Gravells NP. Land law: text and materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2010.

73.

Smith RJ. Property law. Eighth edition. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education; 2014.

74.

Thompson MP. Modern land law. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

75.

Law of Property Act 1925 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Geo5/15-16/20/contents>

76.

Land Registration Act 2002 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2002/9/contents/data.htm>

77.

*Wright v Macadam [1949] 2 K.B. 744 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZmXGdnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

78.

Grigsby v Melville [1972] 1 WLR 1355 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm2KtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

79.

Sovmots Investments v SSE [1979] A.C. 144 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2yJn4KdnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

80.

Wood v Waddington [2014] EWHC 1358 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5KtmZitoWWIikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

81.

*Goldberg v Edwards [1950] Ch. 247 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CJm4eJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

82.

Wheeler v J.J. Saunders Ltd [1995] 2 All ER 697 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qJn1CZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

83.

*McAdams Homes Ltd v Robinson [2004] EWCA Civ 214, CA [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGdm0uJm0WliikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

84.

E.R. Ives Investment Ltd v High [1967] 2 QB 379 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZnWCZmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

85.

Law Commission. Law Commission Report LC327 Making Land Work: Easements, Covenants and Profits à Prendre [Internet]. 2011. Available from:
http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/docs/lc327_easements_summary.pdf

86.

Gravells NP. Land law: text and materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2010.

87.

Smith RJ. Property law. Eighth edition. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education; 2014.

88.

Thompson MP. Modern land law. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

89.

Law of Property Act 1925 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Geo5/15-16/20/contents>

90.

Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1999/31/contents>

91.

*Smith v River Douglas Catchment Board [1949] 2 KB 500 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZmXGdmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

92.

*Rhone v Stephens [1994] 2 AC 310 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXmJmYqdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezIOdm9baa>

93.

*Federated Homes v Mill Lodge Props [1980] 1 WLR 594 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utm3idnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

94.

*Roake v Chadha [1984] 1 WLR 40 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udmYudoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

95.

J. Sainsbury plc v Enfield LBC [1989] 1 WLR 590 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qdo2itmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

96.

Crest Nicholson Residential (South) Ltd v McAllister [2004] 1 WLR 2409, CA [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo0uto0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

97.

*Newton Abbott Co-Op Soc Ltd v Williamson & Treadgold Ltd [1952] Ch 286 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CJmXqtoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

98.

*Morrells of Oxford Ltd v Oxford Utd FC Ltd [2001] Ch 459 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4etmYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

99.

Davis. The Principle of Benefit and Burden. The Cambridge Law Journal [Internet]. 1998;57(03):522–53. Available from:
<http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=1543&fulltextType=RA&fileId=S0008197398003043>

100.

Gravells NP. Land law: text and materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell; 2010.

101.

Smith RJ. Property law. Eighth edition. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education; 2014.

102.

Thompson MP. Modern land law. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2012.

103.

*Multiservice Bookbinding Ltd v Marden [1979] Ch 84 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJmYidoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

104.

Royal Bank of Scotland plc v Etridge (No 2) [2001] 3 WLR 1021, HL [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGtm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/royal-bank-of-scotland-plc-v-etridge-no-2-kenyonbrown-v-desmond>

105.

Wives, sureties and banks. The conveyancer and property lawyer. 2002;

106.

*Cuckmere Brick Co v Mutual Finance [1971] Ch 949 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn5KtoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

107.

*Tse Kwong Lam v Wong Chit Sen [1983] 1 WLR 1349 [Internet]. Available from: <https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udm3KZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

108.

*Quennell v Maltby [1979] 1 WLR 318 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJmWatmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

109.

*Western Bank Ltd v Schindler [1977] Ch 1 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJm4ijmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

110.

The Mortgagee's Right to Possession - The Modern Law. Modern Law Review [Internet]. 1977;40. Available from:
<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=356&handle=hein.journals%2Fmodlr40&collection=journals>

111.

*Cheltenham and Gloucester BS v Norgan [1996] 1 WLR 343 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYetn1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

112.

Haley. Mortgage Default: Possession, Relief and Judicial Discretion. Legal Studies [Internet]. 1997;17. Available from:
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/legstd17&div=28&collection=journal&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

113.

*Ropaigealach v Barclays Bank [1999] 3 WLR 17 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4qZmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

114.

*Bristol & West BS v Henning [1985] 1 WLR 778 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udmWedoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

115.

*Abbey National BS v Cann [1991] 1 AC 56 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXadn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

116.

Some lessons of Cann. The conveyancer and property lawyer. 1991;

117.

Abbey National Building Society v Cann. The conveyancer and property lawyer. 1991;

118.

*Equity & Law Home Loans Ltd v Prestidge [1992] 1 WLR 137 [Internet]. Available from:
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXmJm2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

119.

Administration of Justice Act 1970 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1970/31>

120.

Administration of Justice Act 1973 [Internet]. Available from:
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1973/15/section/8>