


M32001 Land Law

View Online



Bright, Susan. 'Leases, Exclusive Possession and Estates'. The Law Quarterly Review 116 (2000): 7-11.

Bright, Susan. 'Uncertainty in Leases - Is It a Vice?' Legal Studies 13 (1993).
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/legstd13&div=10&collection=journal&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults.

Davis. 'The Principle of Benefit and Burden'. The Cambridge Law Journal 57.03 (1998): 522-53.
<http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=1543&fulltextType=RA&fileId=S0008197398003043>.

Dixon, Martin. 'The Non-Proprietary Lease: The Rise of the Feudal Phoenix'. The Cambridge Law Journal 59.01 (2000): 25-28.
<http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=1485&fulltextType=SC&fileId=S0008197300290016>.

Dixon, Martin. 'To Sell or Not to Sell: That Is the Question. The Irony of the Trusts of Land and Appointment of Trustees Act 1996'. The Cambridge Law Journal
 //Bind Click to Activate Export `⌘$('a[Rel=export]').Click(Function(Evt){`
`evt.preventDefault();      Document.Forms['optionsForm'].Submit();      ⌘});`
 ⌘});70.3 (2011).

Gravells, N. P. Land Law: Text and Materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell, 2010.

———. Land Law: Text and Materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell, 2010.

———. Land Law: Text and Materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell, 2010.

———. Land Law: Text and Materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell, 2010.

———. Land Law: Text and Materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell, 2010.

———. Land Law: Text and Materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell, 2010.

———. Land Law: Text and Materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell, 2010.

———. Land Law: Text and Materials. 4th ed. London: Sweet & Maxwell, 2010.

Haley. 'Mortgage Default: Possession, Relief and Judicial Discretion'. Legal Studies 17 (1997).

http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/legstd17&div=28&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults.

Law Commission. 'Law Commission No 254: Land Registration for the Twenty-First Century', 1998.

<http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/land-registration-for-the-21st-century/#land-registration-for-the-twenty-first-century>.

———. 'Law Commission No 271: Land Registration for the Twenty-First Century – A Conveyancing Revolution', 2001.

<http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/land-registration-for-the-21st-century/#land-registration-for-the-twenty-first-century>.

———. 'Law Commission Report LC327 Making Land Work: Easements, Covenants and Profits à Prendre', 2011.

http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/docs/lc327_easements_summary.pdf.

McFarlane, Ben. 'Proprietary Estoppel and Third Parties after the Land Registration Act 2002'. *The Cambridge Law Journal* 62.3 (2003): 661–96.

<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197303006445>.

Smith, Roger. 'Chapter 11: How Proprietary Is Proprietary Estoppel?' Pages 235–50 in *Consensus Ad Idem: Essays in the Law of Contract in Honour of Guenter Treitel*. London: Sweet & Maxwell, 1996.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=0ffe4529-61a7-e711-80cb-005056af4099>.

Smith, Roger J. *Property Law*. Eighth edition. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education, 2014.

———. *Property Law*. 8th ed. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education, 2014.

———. *Property Law*. 8th ed. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education, 2014.

———. *Property Law*. 8th ed. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education, 2014.

———. *Property Law*. Eighth edition. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education, 2014.

———. *Property Law*. Eighth edition. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education, 2014.

———. *Property Law*. Eighth edition. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education, 2014.

———. *Property Law*. Eighth edition. Vol. Longman law series. Harlow: Pearson Education, 2014.

Thompson, M. P. *Modern Land Law*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

———. *Modern Land Law*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

———. *Modern Land Law*. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

———. Modern Land Law. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

———. Modern Land Law. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

———. Modern Land Law. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

———. Modern Land Law. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

———. Modern Land Law. 5th ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2012.

*Abbey National BS v Cann [1991] 1 AC 56, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXadn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

'Abbey National Building Society v Cann'. The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer (1991).

'Administration of Justice Act 1970', n.d. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1970/31>.

'Administration of Justice Act 1973', n.d.

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1973/15/section/8>.

AG Securities v Vaughan; Antoniades v Villiers [1990] 1 AC 417, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qdo3atnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Akici v LR Butlin Ltd [2006] 1 WLR 201, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qJm5KJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Antoniades v Villiers [1990] 1 AC 417, n.d.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=ia744d05e0000014fd7a4bd014405a19f&docguid=I53991610E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I5398EF01E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=186&crumb-action=append&context=5&resolvein=true>.

*Ashburn Anstalt v Arnold [1988] 2 All ER 147, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qdo4iZmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

*Binions v Evans [1972] Ch. 359, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0GdmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

*Bristol & West BS v Henning [1985] 1 WLR 778, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udmWedoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Bruton v London and Quadrant Housing Trust [2000] 1 AC 406, n.d.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e0000014fd621e792b5ce11f6&docguid=I7CC391A0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I7CC34380E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=3617&crumb-action=append&context=10&resolvein=true>.

Burgess v Rawnsley [1975] Ch 429, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZmXqdmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Chaudhary v Yavuz [2011] EWCA Civ 1314, [2013] Ch 249, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3CJn4yJn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

*Cheltenham and Gloucester BS v Norgan [1996] 1 WLR 343, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYetn1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

'Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999', n.d.

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1999/31/contents>.

Crest Nicholson Residential (South) Ltd v McAllister [2004] 1 WLR 2409, CA, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo0uto0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

*Cuckmere Brick Co v Mutual Finance [1971] Ch 949, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn5KtoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

'Defining the Scope of Actual Occupation under the LRA 2002: Some Recent Judicial Clarification'. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer (2011).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad8289e0000014d86dd623f96213b9f&docguid=I03C8E160C11711E0A129DD901EF85A08&hitguid=I03C8E160C11711E0A129DD901EF85A08&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=85&resolvein=true>.

*Equity & Law Home Loans Ltd v Prestidge [1992] 1 WLR 137, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXmJm2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

E.R. Ives Investment Ltd v High [1967] 2 QB 379, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZnWCZmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

E.R. Ives Investment Ltd v High [1967] 2 QB 379, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZnWCZmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

'Estoppel - Reliance and Remedy'. Electronic resource. The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer (1995).

'Estoppel: A Return to Principle'. The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer (2001): 78-86.

'Estoppel and the Ubiquitous Constructive Trust'. Electronic resource. The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer (1987).

Expert Clothing Service and Sales Ltd v Hillgate House Ltd [1986] Ch 340, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qto4atmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjlo>

W0ha.

*Federated Homes v Mill Lodge Props [1980] 1 WLR 594, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utm3idnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

*Gillett v Holt [2001] Ch 210, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYutm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

*Goldberg v Edwards [1950] Ch. 247, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CJm4eJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Grigsby v Melville [1972] 1 WLR 1355, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm2KtnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

'Intention and the Creation of Proprietary Rights: Are Leases Different?'. Legal Studies 16.2 (1996): 200–217. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-121X.1996.tb00003.x>.

'Is Equitable Estoppel a Wasting Asset?' The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer (1997): 458–64.

J. Sainsbury Plc v Enfield LBC [1989] 1 WLR 590, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qdo2itmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

*Jennings v Rice [2002] EWCA Civ 159, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCtoYmZm1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

Kingsnorth Trust Ltd v Tizard [1986] 1 WLR 783, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qto2qdoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

'Land Registration Act 2002', n.d. <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2002/9/contents>.

'Land Registration Act 2002', n.d.

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2002/9/contents/data.htm>.

'Land Registration Act 2002', n.d.

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2002/9/contents/data.htm>.

'Law of Property Act 1925', n.d.

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Geo5/15-16/20/contents>.

'Law of Property Act 1925', n.d.

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Geo5/15-16/20/contents>.

'Leases, Licences and Third Parties'. The Modern Law Review 51.2 (1988): 226–51.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.1988.tb01753.x>.

Link Lending Ltd v Bustard [2010] EWCA Civ 424, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3etn1qZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

*McAdams Homes Ltd v Robinson [2004] EWCA Civ 214, CA, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGdm0uJm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

Midland Bank Trust v Green [1981] AC 513, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utmYudnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

*Morrells of Oxford Ltd v Oxford Utd FC Ltd [2001] Ch 459, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4etmYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

*Multiservice Bookbinding Ltd v Marden [1979] Ch 84, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJmYidoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

*Newton Abbott Co-Op Soc Ltd v Williamson & Treadgold Ltd [1952] Ch 286, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CJmXqtoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

'Proprietary Estoppel: A Return to Principle?' The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer (2009): 260–68.

Prudential Assurance Co Ltd v London Residuary Body [1992] 2 AC 386, n.d.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e0000014fd620f7c5d919e8cd&docguid=I2DB0FED0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I2DB0D7C1E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=2685&crumb-action=append&context=5&resolvein=true>.

'Purchaser Liability to Third Parties in the English Land Registration System: A Comparative Perspective'. Law Quarterly Review 120 (2004).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad82d080000014d86d7cce87df8361d&docguid=I85254910E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I85254910E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=69&resolvein=true>.

*Quennell v Maltby [1979] 1 WLR 318, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJmWatmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

*Re Basham [1986] 1 WLR 1498, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4aJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

'Reliance and Estoppel'. Electronic resource. The Law Quarterly Review (1995).

'Reliance and Estoppel'. Electronic resource. The Law Quarterly Review (1995).

*Rhone v Stephens [1994] 2 AC 310, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXmJmYqdIIOuDYL2CKL2y0L2BULezIOdm9baa>.

*Roake v Chadha [1984] 1 WLR 40, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udmYudoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

*Ropaigealach v Barclays Bank [1999] 3 WLR 17, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4qZmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

Ropemaker Properties v Noonhaven [1989] 2 EGLR 50, n.d.

<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4J44-1370-TX1N-H139-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>.

Royal Bank of Scotland Plc v Etridge (No 2) [2001] 3 WLR 1021, HL, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGtm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/royal-bank-of-scotland-plc-v-etridge-no-2-kenyonbrown-v-desmond>.

Savva v Hussein (1996) 73 P & CR 150, n.d.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e0000014fd6382e6520f3e46b&docguid=I98408180E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I98405A70E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=2985&crumb-action=append&context=61&resolvein=true>.

Scala House and District Property v Forbes [1974] QB 575, n.d.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=ia744cc630000014fd6376fd1d681411c&docguid=I985FF060E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I985FC950E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=65&crumb-action=append&context=55&resolvein=true>.

'Section 15 of the Trusts of Land and Appointment of Trustees Act 1996 - a Change in the Law?' Conveyancer and Property Lawyer (2000).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744d0640000014d86e4cf50e081a76e&docguid=I79298180E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I79298180E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=124&resolvein=true>.

*Sledmore v Dalby (1996) 72 P&CR 196, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGJn2itnYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

*Smith v River Douglas Catchment Board [1949] 2 KB 500, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZmXGdmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

'Some Lessons of Cann'. The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer (1991).

Sovmots Investments v SSE [1979] A.C. 144, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2yJn4KdnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Street v Mountford [1985] AC 809, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CJm1CJmZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

*Taylors Fashions v Liverpool Victoria Trustees [1982] QB 133, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utmYGJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

'The Flexibility of Estoppel'. The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer (2003): 225–38.

'The Mortgagee's Right to Possession - The Modern Law'. Modern Law Review 40 (1977).

<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=356&handle=hein.journals%2Fmodlr40&collection=journals>.

'The Remedial Discretion in Proprietary Estoppel - Again'. The Law Quarterly Review (2006).

'The Trusts of Land and Appointment of Trustees Act 1996'. Conveyancer and Property Lawyer (1996).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad82d080000014d86e1942c58e8eb99&docguid=I8156B4E0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I8156B4E0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=2&crumb-action=append&context=104&resolvein=true>.

Thomas v Clydesdale Bank Plc [2010] EWHC 2755 (QB), n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3yZnZCZn3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/thomas-v-clydesdale-bank-plc-ta-yorkshire-bank>.

Thompson v Foy [2010] 1 P & CR 16, n.d.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad82d080000014d86cbcc9b86e0e0ec&docguid=IC271DEA01D1711DFA70E8EB4A213CBD5&hitguid=I4F4EFBC0474711DEA68AD35FCEE89EC9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=101&crumb-action=append&context=34&resolvein=true>.

Thorner v Major [2009] 1 WLR 776, [2009] UKHL 18, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2yZn3uJnYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

*Tse Kwong Lam v Wong Chit Sen [1983] 1 WLR 1349, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udm3KZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Walsh v Lonsdale (1882) 21 ChD 9, n.d.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e0000014fd63694b8ef34aed8&docguid=IEFE2BD90E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=IEFE29680E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=204&crumb-action=append&context=49&resolvein=true>.

*Wayling v Jones (1993) 69 P&CR 170, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYaZm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/wayling-v-jones>.

*Western Bank Ltd v Schindler [1977] Ch 1, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJm4iJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

'What Is Wrong with Certainty in Leases?' The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer Nov/Dec (1993): 461–65.

Wheeler v J.J. Saunders Ltd [1995] 2 All ER 697, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qJn1CZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Williams & Glyn's Bank v Boland [1981] AC 487, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utm1qdnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

'Wives, Sureties and Banks'. The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer (2002).

Wood v Waddington [2014] EWHC 1358, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5KtmZitoWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.

*Wright v Macadam [1949] 2 K.B. 744, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZmXGdnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>.

Yeoman's Row Management Ltd v Cobbe [2008] UKHL 55, [2008] 1 WLR 1752, n.d.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZidnXKJm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>.