

M32001 Land Law

[View Online](#)

*Abbey National BS v Cann [1991] 1 AC 56. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXadn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

Abbey National Building Society v Cann. (1991). The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer.

Administration of Justice Act 1970. (n.d.). <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1970/31>

Administration of Justice Act 1973. (n.d.).

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1973/15/section/8>

AG Securities v Vaughan; Antoniades v Villiers [1990] 1 AC 417. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qdo3atnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Akici v LR Butlin Ltd [2006] 1 WLR 201. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qJm5KJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Antoniades v Villiers [1990] 1 AC 417. (n.d.).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=ia744d05e0000014fd7a4bd014405a19f&docguid=I53991610E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I5398EF01E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=186&crumb-action=append&context=5&resolvein=true>

*Ashburn Anstalt v Arnold [1988] 2 All ER 147. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qdo4iZmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

*Binions v Evans [1972] Ch. 359. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn0GdmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Bright, S. (2000). Leases, exclusive possession and estates. The Law Quarterly Review, 116, 7-11.

Bright, Susan. (1993). Uncertainty in Leases - Is It a Vice? Legal Studies, 13.

http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/legstd13&div=10&collection=journal&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

*Bristol & West BS v Henning [1985] 1 WLR 778. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udmWedoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Bruton v London and Quadrant Housing Trust [2000] 1 AC 406. (n.d.).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e0000014fd621e792b5ce11f6&docguid=I7CC391A0E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I7CC34380E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=3617&crumb-action=append&context=10&resolvein=true>

Burgess v Rawnsley [1975] Ch 429. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZmXqdmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Chaudhary v Yavuz [2011] EWCA Civ 1314, [2013] Ch 249. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3CJn4yJn2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

*Cheltenham and Gloucester BS v Norgan [1996] 1 WLR 343. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYetn1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act 1999. (n.d.).

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1999/31/contents>

Crest Nicholson Residential (South) Ltd v McAllister [2004] 1 WLR 2409, CA. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo0uto0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

*Cuckmere Brick Co v Mutual Finance [1971] Ch 949. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udn5KtoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Davis. (1998). The Principle of Benefit and Burden. The Cambridge Law Journal, 57(03), 522-553.

<http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=1543&fulltextType=RA&fileId=S0008197398003043>

Defining the scope of actual occupation under the LRA 2002: some recent judicial clarification. (2011). Conveyancer and Property Lawyer.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad8289e0000014d86dd623f96213b9f&docguid=I03C8E160C11711E0A129DD901EF85A08&hitguid=I03C8E160C11711E0A129DD901EF85A08&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=85&resolvein=true>

Dixon, M. (2011). To sell or not to sell: that is the question. The irony of the Trusts of Land and Appointment of Trustees Act 1996. The Cambridge Law Journal

Click to Activate Export `jQuery('a[Rel=export]').Click(Function(Evt){
evt.preventDefault(); Document.Forms['optionsForm'].Submit(); });`;70(3).

Dixon, Martin. (2000). The non-proprietary lease: the rise of the feudal phoenix. The Cambridge Law Journal, 59(01), 25-28.

<http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=1485&fulltextType=SC&fileId=S0008197300290016>

*Equity & Law Home Loans Ltd v Prestidge [1992] 1 WLR 137. (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXmJm2WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

E.R. Ives Investment Ltd v High [1967] 2 QB 379. (n.d.-a).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZnWCZmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

E.R. Ives Investment Ltd v High [1967] 2 QB 379. (n.d.-b).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZnWCZmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Estoppel - reliance and remedy. (1995). [Electronic resource]. The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer.

Estoppel: a return to principle. (2001). The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer, 78–86.

Estoppel and the ubiquitous constructive trust. (1987). [Electronic resource]. The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer.

Expert Clothing Service and Sales Ltd v Hillgate House Ltd [1986] Ch 340. (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qto4atmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

*Federated Homes v Mill Lodge Props [1980] 1 WLR 594. (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utm3idnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

*Gillett v Holt [2001] Ch 210. (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYutm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

*Goldberg v Edwards [1950] Ch. 247. (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CJm4eJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Gravells, N. P. (2010a). Land law: text and materials (4th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Gravells, N. P. (2010b). Land law: text and materials (4th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Gravells, N. P. (2010c). Land law: text and materials (4th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Gravells, N. P. (2010d). Land law: text and materials (4th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Gravells, N. P. (2010e). Land law: text and materials (4th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Gravells, N. P. (2010f). Land law: text and materials (4th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Gravells, N. P. (2010g). Land law: text and materials (4th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Gravells, N. P. (2010h). Land law: text and materials (4th ed). Sweet & Maxwell.

Grigsby v Melville [1972] 1 WLR 1355. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uZm2KtnSiIs1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Haley. (1997). Mortgage Default: Possession, Relief and Judicial Discretion. Legal Studies, 17.

http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/legstd17&div=28&collection=journal-s&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

Intention and the creation of proprietary rights: are leases different?*. (1996). Legal Studies, 16(2), 200–217. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1748-121X.1996.tb00003.x>

Is equitable estoppel a wasting asset? (1997). The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer, 458–464.

J. Sainsbury plc v Enfield LBC [1989] 1 WLR 590. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qdo2itmSiIs1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

*Jennings v Rice [2002] EWCA Civ 159. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCtoYmZm1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

Kingsnorth Trust Ltd v Tizard [1986] 1 WLR 783. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qto2qdoSiIs1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Land Registration Act 2002. (n.d.-a). <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2002/9/contents>

Land Registration Act 2002. (n.d.-b).

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2002/9/contents/data.htm>

Land Registration Act 2002. (n.d.-c).

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2002/9/contents/data.htm>

Law Commission. (1998). Law Commission No 254: Land Registration for the Twenty-First Century.

<http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/land-registration-for-the-21st-century/#land-registration-for-the-twenty-first-century>

Law Commission. (2001). Law Commission No 271: Land Registration for the Twenty-First Century – A Conveyancing Revolution.

<http://www.lawcom.gov.uk/project/land-registration-for-the-21st-century/#land-registration-for-the-twenty-first-century>

Law Commission. (2011). Law Commission Report LC327 Making Land Work: Easements, Covenants and Profits à Prendre.

http://lawcommission.justice.gov.uk/docs/lc327_easements_summary.pdf

Law of Property Act 1925. (n.d.-a).
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Geo5/15-16/20/contents>

Law of Property Act 1925. (n.d.-b).
<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Geo5/15-16/20/contents>

Leases, Licences and Third Parties. (1988). *The Modern Law Review*, 51(2), 226–251.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2230.1988.tb01753.x>

Link Lending Ltd v Bustard [2010] EWCA Civ 424. (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3etn1qZnXWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

*McAdams Homes Ltd v Robinson [2004] EWCA Civ 214, CA. (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGdm0ujm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

McFarlane, B. (2003). Proprietary Estoppel and Third Parties after the Land Registration Act 2002. *The Cambridge Law Journal*, 62(3), 661–696.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0008197303006445>

Midland Bank Trust v Green [1981] AC 513. (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utmYudnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

*Morrells of Oxford Ltd v Oxford Utd FC Ltd [2001] Ch 459. (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4etmYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

*Multiservice Bookbinding Ltd v Marden [1979] Ch 84. (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2ujmYidoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

*Newton Abbott Co-Op Soc Ltd v Williamson & Treadgold Ltd [1952] Ch 286. (n.d.).
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CJmXqtoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Proprietary estoppel: a return to principle? (2009). *The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer*, 260–268.

Prudential Assurance Co Ltd v London Residuary Body [1992] 2 AC 386. (n.d.).
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e0000014fd620f7c5d919e8cd&docguid=I2DB0FED0E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I2DB0D7C1E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=2685&crumb-action=append&context=5&resolvein=true>

Purchaser liability to third parties in the English land registration system: a comparative perspective. (2004). *Law Quarterly Review*, 120.
<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad82d080000014d86d7cce87df8361d&docguid=I85254910E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I85254910E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=69&resolvein=true>

*Quennell v Maltby [1979] 1 WLR 318. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJmWatmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

*Re Basham [1986] 1 WLR 1498. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4aJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Reliance and estoppel. (1995a). [Electronic resource]. The Law Quarterly Review.

Reliance and estoppel. (1995b). [Electronic resource]. The Law Quarterly Review.

*Rhone v Stephens [1994] 2 AC 310. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXmJmYqdIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezIOdm9baa>

*Roake v Chadha [1984] 1 WLR 40. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udmYudoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

*Ropaigealach v Barclays Bank [1999] 3 WLR 17. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4qZmWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

Ropemaker Properties v Noonhaven [1989] 2 EGLR 50. (n.d.).

<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4J44-1370-TX1N-H139-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>

Royal Bank of Scotland plc v Etridge (No 2) [2001] 3 WLR 1021, HL. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGtm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/royal-bank-of-scotland-plc-v-etridge-no-2-kenyonbrown-v-desmond>

Savva v Hussein (1996) 73 P & CR 150. (n.d.).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e0000014fd6372e6520f3e46b&docguid=I98408180E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I98405A70E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=2985&crumb-action=append&context=61&resolvein=true>

Scala House and District Property v Forbes [1974] QB 575. (n.d.).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=ia744cc630000014fd6376fd1d681411c&docguid=I985FF060E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=I985FC950E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=65&crumb-action=append&context=55&resolvein=true>

Section 15 of the Trusts of Land and Appointment of Trustees Act 1996 - a change in the law? (2000). Conveyancer and Property Lawyer.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=ia744d0640000014d86e4cf50e081a76e&docguid=I79298180E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I79298180E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=1&crumb-action=append&context=124&resolvein=true>

*Sledmore v Dalby (1996) 72 P&CR 196. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGJn2itnYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

Smith, R. (1996). Chapter 11: How Proprietary is Proprietary Estoppel? In *Consensus ad idem: essays in the law of contract in honour of Guenter Treitel* (pp. 235–250). Sweet & Maxwell.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=0ffe4529-61a7-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

Smith, R. J. (2014a). *Property law: Vol. Longman law series* (Eighth edition). Pearson Education.

Smith, R. J. (2014b). *Property law: Vol. Longman law series* (8th ed). Pearson Education.

Smith, R. J. (2014c). *Property law: Vol. Longman law series* (8th ed). Pearson Education.

Smith, R. J. (2014d). *Property law: Vol. Longman law series* (8th ed). Pearson Education.

Smith, R. J. (2014e). *Property law: Vol. Longman law series* (Eighth edition). Pearson Education.

Smith, R. J. (2014f). *Property law: Vol. Longman law series* (Eighth edition). Pearson Education.

Smith, R. J. (2014g). *Property law: Vol. Longman law series* (Eighth edition). Pearson Education.

Smith, R. J. (2014h). *Property law: Vol. Longman law series* (Eighth edition). Pearson Education.

**Smith v River Douglas Catchment Board* [1949] 2 KB 500. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZmXGdmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Some lessons of *Cann*. (1991). *The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer*.

Sovmots Investments v SSE [1979] A.C. 144. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2yJn4KdnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Street v Mountford [1985] AC 809. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CJm1CJmZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

**Taylor's Fashions v Liverpool Victoria Trustees* [1982] QB 133. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utmYGJnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

The flexibility of estoppel. (2003). *The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer*, 225–238.

The Mortgagee's Right to Possession - The Modern Law. (1977). *Modern Law Review*, 40.

<http://www.heinonline.org/HOL/Page?page=356&handle=hein.journals%2Fmodlr40&collection=journals>

The remedial discretion in proprietary estoppel - again. (2006). The Law Quarterly Review.

The Trusts of Land and Appointment of Trustees Act 1996. (1996). Conveyancer and Property Lawyer.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&srguid=i0ad82d080000014d86e1942c58e8eb99&docguid=I8156B4E0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&hitguid=I8156B4E0E45411DA92358E85EE602D8A&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=2&crumb-action=append&context=104&resolvein=true>

Thomas v Clydesdale Bank plc [2010] EWHC 2755 (QB). (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3yZnZCZn3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF/thomas-v-clydesdale-bank-plc-ta-yorkshire-bank>

Thompson, M. P. (2012a). Modern land law (5th ed). Oxford University Press.

Thompson, M. P. (2012b). Modern land law (5th ed). Oxford University Press.

Thompson, M. P. (2012c). Modern land law (5th ed). Oxford University Press.

Thompson, M. P. (2012d). Modern land law (5th ed). Oxford University Press.

Thompson, M. P. (2012e). Modern land law (5th ed). Oxford University Press.

Thompson, M. P. (2012f). Modern land law (5th ed). Oxford University Press.

Thompson, M. P. (2012g). Modern land law (5th ed). Oxford University Press.

Thompson, M. P. (2012h). Modern land law (5th ed). Oxford University Press.

Thompson v Foy [2010] 1 P & CR 16. (n.d.).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad82d080000014d86cbbc9b86e0e0ec&docguid=IC271DEA01D1711DFA70E8EB4A213CBD5&hitguid=I4F4EFBC0474711DEA68AD35FCEE89EC9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=101&crumb-action=append&context=34&resolvein=true>

Thorner v Major [2009] 1 WLR 776, [2009] UKHL 18. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2yZn3uJnYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

*Tse Kwong Lam v Wong Chit Sen [1983] 1 WLR 1349. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udm3KZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Walsh v Lonsdale (1882) 21 ChD 9. (n.d.).

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?&suppsrguid=i0ad69f8e0000014fd63694b8ef34aed8&docguid=IEFE2BD90E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&hitguid=IEFE29680E42811DA8FC2A0F0355337E9&rank=1&spos=1&epos=1&td=204&crumb-action=append&context=49&resolvein=true>

*Wayling v Jones (1993) 69 P&CR 170. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYaZm3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6>

atF/wayling-v-jones

*Western Bank Ltd v Schindler [1977] Ch 1. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2uJm4iJmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

What is wrong with certainty in leases? (1993). The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer, Nov/Dec, 461–465.

Wheeler v J.J. Saunders Ltd [1995] 2 All ER 697. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qJn1CZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Williams & Glyn's Bank v Boland [1981] AC 487. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2utm1qdnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Wives, sureties and banks. (2002). The Conveyancer and Property Lawyer.

Wood v Waddington [2014] EWHC 1358. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5KtmZitoWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

*Wright v Macadam [1949] 2 K.B. 744. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2CZmXGdnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

Yeoman's Row Management Ltd v Cobbe [2008] UKHL 55, [2008] 1 WLR 1752. (n.d.).

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloZidnXKJm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>