

M34113: International Investment Law

[View Online](#)

1.

NAFTA Free Trade Commission: Notes of Interpretation of Certain Chapter 11 Provisions, http://www.sice.oas.org/tpd/nafta/Commission/CH11understanding_e.asp, (31) AD.

2.

International Law Commission: Draft Articles On Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts, <http://www.ilsa.org/jessup/jessup06/basicmats2/DASR.pdf>, (12) AD.

3.

Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (1965), as amended, 575 UNTS 159, 4 ILM 524 (1966), https://icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/StaticFiles/basicdoc/CRR_English-final.pdf.

4.

ICSID Rules of Procedure for Arbitration Proceedings (Arbitration Rules), <https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/icsiddocs/Pages/ICSID-Convention-Arbitration-Rules.aspx>, (10) AD.

5.

Rules Governing the Additional Facility for the Administration of Proceedings by the Secretariat of ICSID, <http://sice.oas.org/dispute/comarb/icsid/icsid3.asp>, (10) AD.

6.

UN Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (New York Convention),
<https://uncitral.un.org/sites/uncitral.un.org/files/media-documents/uncitral/en/new-york-convention-e.pdf>, (10) AD.

7.

UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (with new Article 1(4), as adopted in 2013),
<http://www.uncitral.org/pdf/english/texts/arbitration/arb-rules-2013/UNCITRAL-Arbitration-Rules-2013-e.pdf>.

8.

UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration,
<https://uncitral.un.org/sites/uncitral.un.org/files/media-documents/uncitral/en/rules-on-transparency-e.pdf>.

9.

UN Convention on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration (Mauritius Convention), <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts/arbitration/conventions/transparency>, (17) AD.

10.

NAFTA Free Trade Commission: Statement on non-disputing party participation,
<http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/38791.pdf>, (7) AD.

11.

IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration,
http://www.ibanet.org/ENews_Archive/IBA_July_2008_ENews_ArbitrationMultipleLang.aspx, (22) AD.

12.

Shaw, M.N.: International law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

13.

Shaw, M.N.: International law. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2014).

14.

Thirlway, H.: The Sources of International Law. In: International law. pp. 91–117. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2018).

15.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

16.

Sornarajah, M., MyiLibrary: The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

17.

Lowenfeld, A.F.: International economic law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

18.

Lowenfeld, A.F.: International economic law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

19.

Asante, Samuel K. B.: International Law and Foreign Investment: A Reappraisal. International and Comparative Law Quarterly. 37, (1988).

20.

Franck, Susan D.: Foreign Direct Investment, Investment Treaty Arbitration, and the Rule of Law. Global Business & Development Law Journal. 19, (2006).

21.

Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (1965), as amended, 575 UNTS 159, 4 ILM 524 (1966), https://icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/StaticFiles/basicdoc/CRR_English-final.pdf.

22.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

23.

Fedax NV v The Republic of Venezuela, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction, 11 July 1997 (1997) ICSID Case No. ARB/96/3; (2002) 5 ICSID Reports 183.

24.

Salini Costruttori S.p.A. and Italstrade S.p.A. v Kingdom of Morocco, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/4, Decision on Jurisdiction, 23 July 2001, 42 I.L.M. 609 (2003).

25.

Joy Mining Machinery Limited v The Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/11, Award on Jurisdiction, 6 August 2004, 19 ICSID Review-FILJ (2004), 486.

26.

Fakes v Republic of Turkey, Award of 14 July 2010, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/20.

27.

Československa Obchodní Banka (CSOB) AS v The Slovak Republic (1999), Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction, 24 May 1999, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/4, (2002) 5 ICSID Reports 330.

28.

Malaysian Historical Salvors SDN BHD v The Government of Malaysia, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/10), Award on Jurisdiction, 17 May 2007.

29.

Soufraki v United Arab Emirates, Award of 7 July 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/7.

30.

Champion Trading v Egypt, Decision on Jurisdiction, 21 October 2003, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/9.

31.

Olguín v Republic of Paraguay, Award, 26 July 2001, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/5.

32.

Fakes v Republic of Turkey, Award, 14 July 2010, ICSID Case No., ARB/07/20.

33.

Stern, B.: Are There New Limits on Access to International Arbitration. ICSID Review. 25,.

34.

Mortenson, J.: The Meaning of Investment: ICSID's Travaux and the Domain of International Investment Law. Harvard International Law Journal. 51, 257–318 (2010).

35.

Stern, B.: The Contours of the Notion of Protected Investment. ICSID Review. 24, (2010).

36.

Vargiu, Paolo: Beyond Hallmarks and Formal Requirements: A Jurisprudence Constante on the Notion of Investment in the ICSID Convention. *Journal of World Investment & Trade*. 10, (2009).

37.

Gaillard, E.: Identify or Define? Reflections on the Evolution of the Concept of Investment in ICSID Practice. In: *International investment law for the 21st century: essays in honour of Christoph Schreuer*. pp. 403–416. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

38.

Muchlinski, P.: *Multinational enterprises and the law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

39.

Sornarajah, M., MyiLibrary: *The international law on foreign investment*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

40.

Dolzer, R.: The Notion of Investment in Recent Practice. In: *Law in the service of human dignity: essays in honour of Florentino Feliciano*. pp. 261–275. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2005).

41.

Yala, F.: The Notion of "Investment" in ICSID Case Law: A Drifting Jurisdictional Requirement? Some "Un-Conventional Thoughts" on Salini, SGS and Mihaly. *Journal of International Arbitration*. 105–126 (2005).

42.

Mendelson, M.: The Runaway Train: the Continuous Nationality Rule from the Panevezys-Saldutiskis Railway Case to Loewen. In: *International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law*. pp. 97–149. Cameron May, London (2005).

43.

Ben Hamid, W.: The *Mihaly v. Sri Lanka* Case: Some Thoughts relating to the status of pre-investment expenditures. In: *International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law*. pp. 47–76. Cameron May, London (2005).

44.

Agreement between the Government of the Sultanate of Oman and the Government of the Republic of Austria for the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments, Muscat, <http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/Download/TreatyFile/210>, (1) AD.

45.

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US Model BIT 2012), <http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/188371.pdf>.

46.

Sornarajah, M., MyiLibrary: *The international law on foreign investment*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

47.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: *Principles of international investment law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

48.

Salacuse, J.W.: *The law of investment treaties*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2021).

49.

Vandeveld, K.J.: *Bilateral investment treaties: history, policy, and interpretation*. Oxford University Press, New York (2010).

50.

Salacuse, Jeswald W.: Do BITs Really Work: An Evaluation of Bilateral Investment Treaties and Their Grand Bargain. Harvard International Law Journal. 46, (2005).

51.

Bilateral Investment Treaties 1995-2006: Trends in Investment Rulemaking, UNCTAD/ITE/IIA/2006/5, http://unctad.org/en/Docs/iteiia20065_en.pdf, (2007).

52.

Sacerdoti, G.: Bilateral Treaties and Multilateral Instruments on Investment Protection. Recueil des cours. 269, 298–338 (1997).

53.

Carr, C.: Bilateral Investment Treaties: Ecuador v Occidental. Lloyd's Maritime and Commercial Law Quarterly. 257–269 (2006).

54.

Salacuse, Jeswald W.: Do BITs Really Work: An Evaluation of Bilateral Investment Treaties and Their Grand Bargain. Harvard International Law Journal. 46, (2005).

55.

Schlemmer, E.C.: Bilateral Investment Treaties, Protection of Shareholders, and ICSID: CMS Gas Transmission Company v the Republic of Argentina. South African yearbook of international law: Suid-Afrikaanse jaarboek vir volkereg. 292–300 (2003).

56.

Schlemmer, E.C.: Investor protection and forum selection in bilateral investment treaties. South African yearbook of international law: Suid-Afrikaanse jaarboek vir volkereg. 26, 174–180 (2001).

57.

Salacuse, Jeswald W.: BIT by BIT: The Growth of Bilateral Investment Treaties and Their Impact on Foreign Investment in Developing Countries. *International Lawyer*. 24, (1990).

58.

Schwebel, S.: The Reshaping of the International Law of Foreign Investment by Concordant Bilateral Investment Treaties. In: *Law in the service of human dignity: essays in honour of Florentino Feliciano*. pp. 261–275. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2005).

59.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: *Principles of international investment law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

60.

Muchlinski, P.: *Multinational enterprises and the law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

61.

Asian Agricultural Products Ltd. (AAPL) v. Republic of Sri Lanka, 30 ILM (1991), ¶580-655, per dissenting opinion of the Arbitrator, Asante.

62.

CMS Gas Transmission Co v Republic of Argentina (2005), ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, (2005) 44 ILM 1205.

63.

Técnicas Medioambientales TECMED SA v Estados Unidos Mexicanos (2004), ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/2, (2004) 43 ILM 133.

64.

Occidental Exploration and Production Company v Republic of Ecuador, LCIA Case No.

UN3467, 1 July 2004, (United States/Ecuador BIT).

65.

Case Summary, http://www.biicl.org/files/3914_2004_occidental_v_ecuador.pdf.

66.

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA),
<https://investmentpolicy.unctad.org/international-investment-agreements/treaty-files/2413/download>, (1994).

67.

NAFTA: Statement on NAFTA Article 1105 and the Availability of Arbitration Documents,
<http://www.international.gc.ca/trade-agreements-accords-commerciaux/topics-domaines/disputes-diff/NAFTA-Interpr.aspx?lang=eng>, (31) AD.

68.

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US Model BIT 2012), <http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/188371.pdf>.

69.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

70.

Fair and Equitable Treatment, UNCTAD Series on Issues in International Investment Agreements II, http://unctad.org/en/docs/unctaddiaeia2011d5_en.pdf, (2012).

71.

ADF Group v United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/1, Award, 9 January 2003, 18 ICSID Review-FILJ (2003) 195.

72.

Glamis Gold, Ltd. v United States, UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 8 June 2009.

73.

Loewen Group, Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v United States of America ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/3, (2003), Award, 26 June 2003, (2003) 42 ILM 811.

74.

Metalclad v The United States of Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1, Award, 30 August 2000, 5 ICSID Reports 209, (2001) 40 ILM 36.

75.

Methanex Corporation v United States of America, UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 3 August 2005, (2005) 44 ILM 1345.

76.

Mondev International Ltd v United States of America, Final Award ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/2, 11 October 2002, (2003) 42 ILM, 85.

77.

Pope & Talbot v Government of Canada, UNICTRAL/NAFTA Award on Merits, 10 April 2001, (2002) 41 ILM 1347.

78.

Waste Management Inc. v Mexico, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/00/3, Final Award, 30 April 2004, (2004) 43 ILM 967.

79.

Alex Genin, Eastern Credit, Inc., and AS Baltoil v The Republic of Estonia [Genin v Estonia], ICSID Case No. ARB/99/2, Award of 25 June 2001, 17 ICSID Review – FLIJ (2002).

80.

Azurix v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, Award, 14 July 2006.

81.

Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi AŞ v Islamic Republic of Pakistan [Bayinder v Pakistan], ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, Decision on Jurisdiction, 14 November 2005.

82.

Biwater Gauff v Tanzania, ICSID Case No ARB/05/22, Award, 24 July 2008.

83.

CMS Gas Transmission v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, Award, 12 May 2005.

84.

Desert Line Projects LLC v The Republic of Yemen, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/17. Award of 6 February 2008, (2009) 48 ILM 82.

85.

Middle East Cement Shipping and Handling, Co. v Arab Republic of Egypt, Award, 12 April 2002, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/6.

86.

MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile S.A. (MTD) v Chile [MTD v Chile] Award, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/7, 25 May 2004, 12 ICSID Reports 6.

87.

Occidental Exploration and Production Company v Ecuador, LCIA/UNCITRAL, Final Award, 1 July 2004.

88.

Parkerings-Compagniet AS v Lithuania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/8, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/8, Award, 11 September 2007.

89.

Rumeli Telekom A.S. and Telesim Mobil Telekomkaysyan Hizmetleri A.S. v Kazakhstan [Rumeli v Kazakhstan] ICSID Case No. ARB/05/16, Award, 29 July 2008.

90.

Siemens v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8, Award 6 February 2007.

91.

Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and InterAguas Servicios Integrales del Agua SA v The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17, Decision on Liability, 30 July 2010.

92.

Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed (TECMED) SA v Mexico, Award, 29 May 2003, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/2, (2004) 43 ILM 133.

93.

Bonnitcha, J.: Substantive protection under investment treaties: a legal and economic analysis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2016).

94.

Muchlinski, P.: 'Caveat Investor'? The Relevance of the Conduct of the Investor Under the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 55, 527–558 (2006). <https://doi.org/10.1093/iclq/lei104>.

95.

Sornarajah, M., British Institute of International and Comparative Law: The Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard: Whose Treatment? Whose Equity? In: Ortino, F. (ed.) Investment treaty law: current issues II : nationality and investment treaty claims, fair and equitable treatment in investment treaty law. British Institute of International and Comparative Law, London (2007).

96.

Muchlinski, P.: Multinational enterprises and the law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

97.

Sornarajah, M., MyiLibrary: The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

98.

Salacuse, J.W.: The law of investment treaties. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2021).

99.

Vandevelde, Kenneth J.: A Unified Theory of FET. New York University Journal of International Law and Politics. 43, (2010).

100.

Yannaca-Small, K.: FET Standard: Recent Developments. In: Standards of investment protection. pp. 113–141. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

101.

Schill, S.: Fair and Equitable Treatment Under Investment Treaties as an Embodiment of the Rule of Law (IILJ Working Paper 2006/6). (2006).

102.

Fietta, S.: Expropriation and the "Fair and Equitable" Standard: The Developing Role of Investors' "Expectations" in International Investment Arbitration. *Journal of International Arbitration*. 23, 375–399 (2006).

103.

Dolzer, Rudolf: Fair and Equitable Treatment: A Key Standard in Investment Treaties. *International Lawyer*. 39, (2005).

104.

Weiler, T.: Good Faith and Regulatory Transparency: The Story of *Metalclad v. Mexico*. In: *International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law*. pp. 701–745. Cameron May, London (2005).

105.

Wallace, D.: FET and Denial of Justice: *Loewen v US* and *Chattin v Mexico*. In: *International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law*. pp. 669–700. Cameron May, London (2005).

106.

Dumberry, P.: The Quest to Define 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' for Investors under International Law. *The Journal of World Investment & Trade*. 3, 657–691 (2002).
<https://doi.org/10.1163/221190002X00427>.

107.

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA),
<https://investmentpolicy.unctad.org/international-investment-agreements/treaty-files/2413/download>, (1994).

108.

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US

Model BIT 2012), <http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/188371.pdf>.

109.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

110.

Elettronica Siculo SpA (ELSI) (United States of America v Italy), [1989] ICJ Rep. 15.

111.

Asian Agricultural Products (AAPL) v Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3, Award, 27 June 1990, 30 ILM (1991) 577, 4 ICSID Reports 245.

112.

American Machine Tools (AMT) v Republic of Zaire, ICSID Case No. ARB/93, Award, 21 February 1997, 36 ILM (1997) 1531.

113.

CME Czech Republic B.V. (The Netherlands) v Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Partial Award, 13 September 2001, 9 ICSID Reports 121.

114.

Azurix v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12 Award, 14 July 2006.

115.

Siemens v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8, Award 6 February 2007.

116.

Biwater Gauff v Tanzania, ICSID Case No ARB/05/22, Award, 24 July 2008.

117.

Sornarajah, M., MyiLibrary: The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

118.

Cordero Moss, G.: Full Protection and Security. In: Standards of investment protection. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

119.

Salacuse, J.W.: The law of investment treaties. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2021).

120.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

121.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

122.

ADF Group v United States of America (2003), ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/1, Award, 9 January 2003, 19 ICSID Review-FILJ (2003) 195, 6 ICSID Reports 470.

123.

Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi A Ş v Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, Decision on Jurisdiction, 14 November 2005.

124.

Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi A Ş v Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, Award, 27 August 2009.

125.

Elettronica Sicola SpA (ELSI) (United States of America v Italy), [1989] ICJ Rep. 15.

126.

Feldman v Mexico [also known as Karpa (Marvin Roy Feldman) (CEMSA) v United Mexican States], ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/99/1, Award of 16 December 2002, (2003) 42 ILM 625.

127.

Metalclad v The United States of Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1 (2003) 5 ICSID Reports 209.

128.

Methanex Corporation v United States of America (2005), NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Award, 3 August 2005 44 ILM (2005), 1345.

129.

MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile S.A.. (MTD) v Chile, Award, 25 May 2004, 12 ICSID Reports 6.

130.

Occidental Exploration and Production Company v Ecuador, Award, 1 July 2004, 12 ICSID Reports 59.

131.

Parkerings-Compagniet AS v Republic of Lithuania (Parkerings v Lithuania), ICSID Case No.

ARB/05/8), Award, 11 September 2007.

132.

Pope & Talbot v Government of Canada, Award on Merits, 10 April 2001, 122 International Law Reports (2002), 352.

133.

Pope & Talbot v Government of Canada, Award on Damages, 31 May 2002, 41 ILM (2002), 1347.

134.

S D Myers Inc. v Government of Canada, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, First Partial Award, 13 November 200, 40 ILM (2001), 1408.

135.

Thunderbird v Mexico [also known as International Thunderbird Gaming Corporation v United Mexican States, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Award, 26 January 2006.

136.

United Parcel Services (UPS) Ltd. v CanadaPost (2003) NAFTA Chapter 11, Decision on Jurisdiction, 22 November 2002.

137.

United Parcel Services (UPS) Ltd. v CanadaPost (2003) NAFTA Chapter 11, Award, 24 May 2007.

138.

Douglas, Z.: The MFN Clause in Investment Arbitration: Treaty Interpretation Off the Rails. Journal of International Dispute Settlement. 2, 97–113 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jnlids/idq015>.

139.

Schill, S.W.: Allocating Adjudicatory Authority: Most-Favoured-Nation Clauses as a Basis of Jurisdiction--A Reply to Zachary Douglas. *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*. 2, 353–371 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnlids/idr004>.

140.

Sornarajah, M., MyiLibrary: The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

141.

Douglas, Z.: The MFN Clause in Investment Arbitration: Treaty Interpretation Off the Rails. *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*. 2, 97–113 (2011). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnlids/idq015>.

142.

National Treatment, UNCTAD/ITE/IIT/11 (Vol. IV in UNCTAD Series on Issues in International Investment Agreements), <http://unctad.org/en/pages/PublicationArchive.aspx?publicationid=340>, (2000).

143.

Most-Favoured-Nation Treatment in International Investment Law, OECD/OCDE, Directorate for Financial and Enterprise Affairs, Working Papers on International Investment, No. 2004/2, http://www.oecd.org/investment/investment-policy/WP-2004_2.pdf.

144.

Weiler, T.: Saving Oscar Chin-Non-Discrimination in International Investment Law. In: *International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law*. pp. 557–595. Cameron May, London (2005).

145.

Schill, Stephan W.: Multilateralizing Investment Treaties through Most-Favored-Nation Clauses. *Berkeley Journal of International Law*. 27, (2009).

146.

Agreement between the Government of the Sultanate of Oman and the Government of the Republic of Austria for the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments, Muscat, <http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/Download/TreatyFile/210>, (1) AD.

147.

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US Model BIT 2012), <http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/188371.pdf>.

148.

Sornarajah, M., MyiLibrary: The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

149.

Lowenfeld, A.F.: *International economic law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

150.

Lowenfeld, A.F.: *International economic law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

151.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: *Principles of international investment law*. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

152.

Christie, G. C.: What Constitutes a Taking of Property under International Law. *British Year Book of International Law*. 38, (1962).

153.

Higgins, R.: The Taking of Property by the State: Recent Developments in International Law. *Recueil des cours*. 176, 259–392 (1982).

154.

Taking of Property, UNCTAD Series on issues in international investment agreements, UNCTAD/ITE/IIT/15, <http://unctad.org/en/docs/psiteiitd15.en.pdf>, (2000).

155.

OECD: "Indirect Expropriation" and the "Right to Regulate" in International Investment Law, OECD/OCDE Directorate for Financial and Enterprise Affairs, Working Papers on International Investment, Number 2004/4, http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/finance-and-investment/indirect-expropriation-and-the-right-to-regulate-in-international-investment-law_780155872321, (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1787/780155872321>.

156.

Aldrich, George H.: What Constitutes a Compensable Taking of Property--The Decisions of the Iran-United States Claims Tribunal. *American Journal of International Law*. 88, (1994).

157.

Reisman, W.M., Sloane, R.D.: Indirect Expropriation and Its Valuation in the Bit Generation. *British Yearbook of International Law*. 74, 115–150 (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/bybil/74.1.115>.

158.

Waelde, T., Kolo, A.: Environmental Regulation, Investment Protection and Regulatory Taking in International Law. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 50, (2001).

159.

Schreuer, C.: Investment Treaty Arbitration and Jurisdiction over Contract Claims – the Vivendi I Case Considered. In: International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law. pp. 281–323. Cameron May, London (2005).

160.

Faruque, A.: Validity and Efficacy of Stabilisation Clauses: Legal Protection vs. Functional Value. *Journal of International Arbitration*. 23, 317–336 (2006).

161.

Alvarez-Jiménez, A.: The Methanex Final Award: An Analysis from the Perspectives of Environmental Regulatory Authorities and Foreign Investors. 23, 427–434 (2006).

162.

Sornarajah, M., MyiLibrary: The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

163.

Lowenfeld, A.F.: International economic law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

164.

Lowenfeld, A.F.: International economic law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

165.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

166.

Brower, C.N., Wrong, J.: General Valuation Principles: The Case of Santa Elena. In: International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law. pp. 281–323. Cameron May, London

(2005).

167.

Mouri, A.: The international law of expropriation as reflected in the work of the Iran-U.S. claims tribunal. M. Nijhoff, Dordrecht (1994).

168.

Thomas Waelde: Compensation, Damages and Valuation. In: The Oxford handbook of international investment law. pp. 1049–1124. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

169.

Sornarajah, M., MyiLibrary: The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

170.

Muchlinski, P.: Multinational enterprises and the law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

171.

Perezcano, Hugo: Damages in Investment Arbitration: Are the Standards Different from Commercial Arbitration - The Need for Consistency. Journal of World Investment & Trade. 6, (2005).

172.

Jagusch, Stephen: Compensation for the Breach of Relative Standards of Treaty Protection. Journal of World Investment & Trade. 10, (2009).

173.

Case Concerning the Factory at Chorzów (Germany v Poland), (1927), PCIJ, Ser. A., No. 9.

174.

Case Concerning the Factory at Chorzów (Germany v Poland), (1927), PCIJ, Ser. A., No. 17, (Judgement on the Merits) (1928).

175.

Compañía del Desarrollo de Santa Elena SA v The Republic of Costa Rica (2000), ICSID Case No. ARB/96/1, 17 February 2000, 15 ICSID Review: FILJ (2000).

176.

Antoine Goetz and others v Republic of Burundi (1999), ICSID Case No. ARB/95/3, 10 February 1999 (French text only), (2000)15 ICSID Review-FILJ 457.

177.

Azurix Corp. v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, Award of 14 July 2006.

178.

CMS Gas Transmission Co v Republic of Argentina (2003) ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, Award of 12 May 2005, 44 ILM (2005) 1205.

179.

Feldman v Mexico, ICSID Case No. Arb(AF)/99/1, Award of 16 December 2002.

180.

Generation Ukraine Inc. v Ukraine, Award, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/9, 16 September 2003, 44 ILM (2005) 404.

181.

Methanex Corporation v United States of America (2005), UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 3

August 2005 44 ILM (2005) 1345.

182.

Middle East Cement Shipping and Handling, Co, v Arab Republic of Egypt (2002), ICSID Case No. ARB/99/6, 12 April 2002, 7 ICSID Reports 178.

183.

Saluka Investments B.V. v Czech Republic, Partial Award, 17 March 2006.

184.

S D Myers Inc. v Government of Canada, UNICTRAL/NAFTA, First Partial Award, 13 November 2000, 40 ILM (2001) 1408.

185.

Siemens A.G. v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8), Award of the Tribunal, 6 February 2007.

186.

Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed (TECMED) S.A. v Mexico, Award, 29 May 2003, 43 ILM (2004) 133.

187.

Telenor Mobile Communications A.S. (Telenor) v Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/15, Award, 13 September 2006, (2006) 21 ICSID Review- FILJ 603.

188.

Asian Agricultural Products (AAPL) v Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3, Award, 27 June 1990, 30 ILM (1991) 577, 4 ICSID Reports 245.

189.

Case Concerning the Factory at Chorzów (Germany v Poland), (1927), PCIJ, Ser. A., No. 9.

190.

Case Concerning the Factory at Chorzów (Germany v Poland), (1927), PCIJ, Ser. A., No. 17, (Judgement on the Merits) (1928).

191.

CME Czech Republic B.V. (The Netherlands) v Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Partial Award, 13 September 2001, 9 ICSID Reports 121.

192.

CME v Czech Republic, Final Award, 14 March 2003, 9 ICSID Reports 264.

193.

Compañía del Desarrollo de Santa Elena SA v The Republic of Costa Rica (2000), ICSID Case No. ARB/96/1, 17 February 2000, 15 ICSID Review: FILJ (2000).

194.

Enron Corporation and Ponderosa Assets, L.P. v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, Decision on Jurisdiction, 14 January 2004.

195.

Feldman v Mexico, ICSID Case No. Arb(AF)/99/1, Award of 16 December 2002.

196.

Metalclad v The United States of Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1, Award, 30 August 2000, 5 ICSID Reports 212.

197.

MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile S.A.. (MTD) v Chile, Award, 25 May 2004, 12 ICSID Reports 6.

198.

Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed (TECMED) S.A. v Mexico, Award, 29 May 2003, 43 ILM (2004) 133.

199.

Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts, 2001, text adopted by the International Law Commission at its 53rd Session, 2001, reproduced as an annex to General Assembly Resolution 56/83 of 12 December 2001 (ILC Draft Articles on State Responsibility),
http://legal.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/commentaries/9_6_2001.pdf.

200.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

201.

Hobér, K.: State Responsibility and Attribution. In: The Oxford handbook of international investment law. pp. 549–583. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

202.

Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija, S.A. and Vivendi International v Argentina (Vivendi v Argentina), Award, 21 November 2000.

203.

Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija, S.A. and Vivendi International v Argentina (Vivendi v Argentina) Decision on Annulment, 3 July 2002, (2002) 41 ILM 1135.

204.

Emilio Agustín Maffezini v The Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction, 25 January 2000, 5 ICSID Reports or (2001) 40 ILM 1129.

205.

Salini Costruttori SpA and Italstrade SpA v Kingdom of Morocco, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/4, Decision on Jurisdiction, 16 July 2001, (2003) 42 ILM 609.

206.

Wena Hotels v Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/4, Award, 8 December 2000, (2002) 41 ILM 896.

207.

Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC), created by the Foreign Assistance Act of 1969, Pub L. no 91-175. 83 Stat, <https://www.opic.gov/>.

208.

Convention Establishing the Inter-Arab Investment Guarantee Corporation (IAIGC), <http://www.iaigc.net/UserFiles/file/en/EngConven.pdf>.

209.

Articles of Agreement of the Islamic Corporation for the Insurance of Investment and Export Credit (ICIEC), http://www.isdb.org/irj/go/km/docs/documents/IDBDevelopments/Internet/English/IDB/CM/About%20IDB/Articles%20of%20Agreement/ICIEC_Articles-of-Agreement.pdf, (19) AD.

210.

Convention Establishing the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency, 1508 U.N.T.S. 99, <https://www.miga.org/who-we-are/miga-convention>, (11) AD.

211.

OECD Risk Awareness Tool for Multinational Enterprises in Weak Governance Zones, <http://www.oecd.org/daf/inv/corporateresponsibility/36885821.pdf>, (2006).

212.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

213.

Ziegler, A.R., Gratton, L.-P.: Investment Insurance. In: The Oxford handbook of international investment law. pp. 524–548. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

214.

Shihata, I.F.I.: MIGA and foreign investment: origins, operations, policies, and basic documents of the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency. M. Nijhoff, Dordrecht (1988).

215.

Ibrahim F I: Arab Investment Guarantee Corporation – A Regional Investment Insurance Project. Journal of world trade law. 6, (1972).

216.

Perry, Maura B.: A Model for Efficient Foreign Aid: The Case for the Political Risk Insurance Activities of the Overseas Private Investment Corporation. Virginia Journal of International Law. 36, (1995).

217.

Agreement between the Government of the Sultanate of Oman and the Government of the Republic of Austria for the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments, Muscat, <http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/Download/TreatyFile/210>, (1) AD.

218.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

219.

Sornarajah, M., MyiLibrary: The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

220.

Muchlinski, P.: Multinational enterprises and the law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

221.

Footer, Mary E.: BITs and Pieces: Social and Environmental Protection in the Regulation of Foreign Investment. Michigan State Journal of International Law. 18, (2009).

222.

Laborde, G.: The Case for Host State Claims in Investment Arbitration. Journal of International Dispute Settlement. 1, 97–122 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jnlids/idp008>.

223.

Tokios Tokelės v Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/18, Decision on Jurisdiction, 29 April 2004, (2005) 20 ICSID Review-FILJ 205.

224.

Inceysa Vallisoletana S.L. v El Salvador, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/26, Award, 2 August 2006.

225.

World Duty Free v Republic of Kenya, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/7, Award, 4 October 2006, paras. 138-157.

226.

Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. The Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/25, Award, 16 August 2007.

227.

Methanex Corporation v United States of America (2005), UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 3 August 2005 44 ILM (2005) 1345.

228.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

229.

Sacerdoti, G.: Arbitration of Investment Disputes under UNCITRAL Rules and the Choice of Applicable Law. In: Law in the service of human dignity: essays in honour of Florentino Feliciano. pp. 276–298. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2005).

230.

Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (1965), as amended, 575 UNTS 159, 4 ILM 524 (1966), https://icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/StaticFiles/basicdoc/CRR_English-final.pdf.

231.

ICSID Rules of Procedure for Arbitration Proceedings (Arbitration Rules), <https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/icsiddocs/Pages/ICSID-Convention-Arbitration-Rules.aspx>, (10) AD.

232.

Rules Governing the Additional Facility for the Administration of Proceedings by the Secretariat of ICSID, <http://sice.oas.org/dispute/comarb/icsid/icsid3.asp>, (10) AD.

233.

United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Arbitration Rules as revised in 2013,
<http://www.uncitral.org/pdf/english/texts/arbitration/arb-rules/arb-rules.pdf>.

234.

Blackaby, N., Partasides, C., Hunter, M., Redfern, A.: Redfern and Hunter on International Arbitration. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2015).

235.

Collier, J.G., Lowe, A.V.: The settlement of disputes in international law: institutions and procedures. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1999).

236.

Sornarajah, M., MyiLibrary: The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

237.

Cremades, B.M., Cairnes, D.J.A.: The Brave New World of Global Arbitration. The Journal of World Investment & Trade. 3, vii–209 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1163/221190002X00085>.

238.

Delaume, G.R.: Consent to ICSID Arbitration. In: The changing world of international law in the twenty-first century: a tribute to the late Kenneth R. Simmonds. pp. 155–178. Kluwer, The Hague (1998).

239.

Roberts, Anthea: Divergence between Investment and Commercial Arbitration. ASIL Proceedings. 106, (2012).

240.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

241.

SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v Islamic Republic of Pakistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/01/13), Decision on Jurisdiction, 6 August 2003.

242.

SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v Republic of the Philippines (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/6), Decision on Jurisdiction, 29 January 2004.

243.

SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v Republic of Paraguay (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/29), Decision on Jurisdiction, 12 February 2010.

244.

Paparinskis, M.: MFN Clauses and International Dispute Settlement: Moving beyond Maffezini and Plama? ICSID Review. 26, 14–58 (2011).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/icsidreview/26.2.14>.

245.

Sinclair, A.C.: The origins of the umbrella clause in the international law of investment protection, (2004).

246.

Wong, Jarrod: Umbrella Clauses in Bilateral Investment Treaties: Of Breaches of Contract, Treat Violations, and the Divide between Developing and Developed Countries in Foreign

Investment Disputes. George Mason Law Review. 14, (2006).

247.

Yannaca-Small, K.: Interpretation of the Umbrella Clause in Investment Agreements, OECD/OCDE Directorate for Financial and Enterprise Affairs, Working Papers on International Investment, Number 2006/3,
<http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/download/5l9hkssg1xxt.pdf?expires=1439565908&id=id&accname=guest&checksum=13117F01915B052B89921E4F1F0B76A9>.

248.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

249.

Emilio Augustin Maffezini v Kingdom of Spain (ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7), Decision on Jurisdiction, 25 January 2000.

250.

Siemens AG v Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8), Decision on Jurisdiction, 3 August 2004.

251.

Plama Consortium Limited v Republic of Bulgaria (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/24), Decision on Jurisdiction, 8 February 2005.

252.

HOCHTIEF AG v Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/31), Decision on Jurisdiction, 24 October 2011.

253.

Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of

Other States (1965), as amended, 575 UNTS 159, 4 ILM 524 (1966),
https://icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/StaticFiles/basicdoc/CRR_English-final.pdf.

254.

Rules Governing the Additional Facility for the Administration of Proceedings by the Secretariat of ICSID, <http://sice.oas.org/dispute/comarb/icsid/icsid3.asp>, (10) AD.

255.

ICSID Rules of Procedure for Arbitration Proceedings (Arbitration Rules),
<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/icsiddocs/Pages/ICSID-Convention-Arbitration-Rules.aspx>, (10) AD.

256.

United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Arbitration Rules as revised in 2013,
<http://www.uncitral.org/pdf/english/texts/arbitration/arb-rules/arb-rules.pdf>.

257.

UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration,
<https://uncitral.un.org/sites/uncitral.un.org/files/media-documents/uncitral/en/rules-on-transparency-e.pdf>.

258.

UN Convention on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration,
<http://www.uncitral.org/pdf/english/texts/arbitration/transparency-convention/Transparency-Convention-e.pdf>.

259.

NAFTA Free Trade Commission: Statement on non-disputing party participation,
<http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/38791.pdf>, (7) AD.

260.

IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration,
http://www.ibanet.org/ENews_Archive/IBA_July_2008_ENews_ArbitrationMultipleLang.aspx,
(22) AD.

261.

Van Harten, G.: Investment treaty arbitration and public law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

262.

Van Harten, G.: Chapter 7, The businessman's court. In: Investment treaty arbitration and public law. pp. 152–184. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

263.

Dolzer, R., Schreuer, C.: Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2012).

264.

Commission proposes new Investment Court System for TTIP and other EU trade and investment negotiations - Trade - European Commission,
<http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/press/index.cfm?id=1364>.

265.

Methanex Corporation v United States of America, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Decision of the Tribunal on Petitions from Third Persons to intervene as 'Amici Curiae', 15 January 2001.

266.

Aguas del Tunari S.A. v Republic of Bolivia (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/3), Decision on Jurisdiction, 21 October 2005.

267.

Aguas Argentinas S.A., Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and Vivendi Universal S.A. v The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19), Order in response to a Petition for Transparency and Participation as Amicus Curiae, 19 May 2005.

268.

Glamis Gold, Ltd. v United States, UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 8 June 2009.

269.

Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd. v United Republic of Tanzania (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22), Procedural Order No 3, 29 September 2006.

270.

Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd. v United Republic of Tanzania (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22), Procedural Order No 5, 2 February 2007.

271.

UNCITRAL Transparency Rules applied for the first time in investor-State arbitration | Herbert Smith Freehills,
<http://hsfnotes.com/publicinternationallaw/2015/10/26/uncitral-transparency-rules-applied-for-the-first-time-in-investor-state-arbitration/>.

272.

Footer, Mary E.: BITs and Pieces: Social and Environmental Protection in the Regulation of Foreign Investment. Michigan State Journal of International Law. 18, (2009).

273.

Ishikawa, Tomoko: Third Party Participation in Investment Treaty Arbitration. In: International and Comparative Law Quarterly (2010).

274.

United Parcel Service of America Inc. v Government of Canada, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Decision

of the Tribunal on Petitions for Intervention and Participation as Amici Curiae, 17 October 2001.

275.

United Parcel Service of America Inc. v Government of Canada, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Further Order on Amicus Submissions, 1 August 2003.

276.

Aguas Provinciales de Santa Fe S.A., Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and InterAguas Servicios Integrales del Agua S.A. v The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17), Order in response to a Petition for Participation as Amicus Curiae, 17 March 2006.

277.

NAFTA Free Trade Commission: Statement on notices of intent to submit a claim to arbitration, http://www.sice.oas.org/TPD/NAFTA/Commission/Noticeintent_e.pdf, (7) AD.

278.

NAFTA Free Trade Commission: Notes of Interpretation of Certain Chapter 11 Provisions, http://www.sice.oas.org/tpd/nafta/Commission/CH11understanding_e.asp, (31) AD.

279.

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US Model BIT 2012), <http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/188371.pdf>.

280.

Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and Vivendi Universal S.A. v The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19), Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and InterAguas Servicios Integrales del Agua S.A. v The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17) and AWG Group Ltd v The Argentine Republic, Decision on a Second Proposal for the Disqualification of a Member of the Arbitral Tribunal, 12 May 2008.

281.

Materials on the IBA website,
http://www.ibanet.org/Publications/publications_IBA_guides_and_free_materials.aspx.

282.

Sornarajah, M., MyiLibrary: The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2017).

283.

Lowenfeld, A.F.: International economic law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

284.

Lowenfeld, A.F.: International economic law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2008).

285.

Muchlinski, P.: Multinational enterprises and the law. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2007).

286.

Marboe, Irmgard: Compensation and Damages in International Law - The Limits of Fair Market Value. *Journal of World Investment & Trade*. 7, (2006).

287.

Blackaby, N., Partasides, C., Hunter, M., Redfern, A.: Redfern and Hunter on International Arbitration. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2015).

288.

Malintoppi, L.: Provisional Measures in Recent ICSID Proceedings: What Parties Request and What Tribunals Order. In: *International investment law for the 21st century: essays in honour of Christoph Schreuer*. pp. 157–184. Oxford University Press, Oxford (2009).

289.

Blessing, M.: State Arbitrations: Predictably Unpredictable Solutions? *Journal of International Arbitration*. 22, 435–486 (2005).

290.

Söderlund, C.: *Lis Pendens, Res Judicata and the Issue of Parallel Judicial Proceedings*. 22, 301–322 (2005).