

M34113: International Investment Law

[View Online](#)

1

NAFTA Free Trade Commission. Notes of Interpretation of Certain Chapter 11 Provisions. 31AD.http://www.sice.oas.org/tpd/nafta/Commission/CH11understanding_e.asp

2

International Law Commission. Draft Articles On Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts. 12AD.<http://www.ilsa.org/jessup/jessup06/basicmats2/DASR.pdf>

3

Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (1965), as amended, 575 UNTS 159, 4 ILM 524 (1966).
https://icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/StaticFiles/basicdoc/CRR_English-final.pdf

4

ICSID Rules of Procedure for Arbitration Proceedings (Arbitration Rules). 10AD.<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/icsiddocs/Pages/ICSID-Convention-Arbitration-Rules.aspx>

5

Rules Governing the Additional Facility for the Administration of Proceedings by the Secretariat of ICSID. 10AD.<http://sice.oas.org/dispute/comarb/icsid/icsid3.asp>

6

UN Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (New York Convention).

10AD.<https://uncitral.un.org/sites/uncitral.un.org/files/media-documents/uncitral/en/new-york-convention-e.pdf>

7

UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (with new Article 1(4), as adopted in 2013).

<http://www.uncitral.org/pdf/english/texts/arbitration/arb-rules-2013/UNCITRAL-Arbitration-Rules-2013-e.pdf>

8

UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration.

<https://uncitral.un.org/sites/uncitral.un.org/files/media-documents/uncitral/en/rules-on-transparency-e.pdf>

9

UN Convention on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration (Mauritius Convention).

17AD.<https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts/arbitration/conventions/transparency>

10

NAFTA Free Trade Commission. Statement on non-disputing party participation.

7AD.<http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/38791.pdf>

11

IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration.

22AD.http://www.ibanet.org/ENews_Archive/IBA_July_2008_ENews_ArbitrationMultipleLang.aspx

12

Shaw MN. International law. 8th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017.

13

Shaw MN. International law. 7th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2014.

14

Thirlway H. The Sources of International Law. In: International law. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2018. 91–117.

15

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

16

Sornarajah M, MyiLibrary. The international law on foreign investment. 4th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017. <http://www.myilibrary.com?id=263131>

17

Lowenfeld AF. International economic law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=975432>

18

Lowenfeld AF. International economic law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=975432>

19

Asante, Samuel K. B. International Law and Foreign Investment: A Reappraisal. International and Comparative Law Quarterly 1988;**37**
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/incolq37&div=33&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

20

Franck, Susan D. Foreign Direct Investment, Investment Treaty Arbitration, and the Rule of Law. *Global Business & Development Law Journal* 2006; **19**
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/tranl19&div=23&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab

21

Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (1965), as amended, 575 UNTS 159, 4 ILM 524 (1966).
https://icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/StaticFiles/basicdoc/CRR_English-final.pdf

22

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

23

Fedax NV v The Republic of Venezuela, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction, 11 July 1997 (1997) ICSID Case No. ARB/96/3; (2002) 5 ICSID Reports 183.
http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0315_0.pdf

24

Salini Costruttori S.p.A. and Italstrade S.p.A. v Kingdom of Morocco, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/4, Decision on Jurisdiction, 23 July 2001, 42 I.L.M. 609 (2003).
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0738.pdf>

25

Joy Mining Machinery Limited v The Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/11, Award on Jurisdiction, 6 August 2004, 19 ICSID Review-FILJ (2004), 486.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0441.pdf>

26

Fakes v Republic of Turkey, Award of 14 July 2010, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/20.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0314.pdf>

27

Československa Obchodní Banka (CSOB) AS v The Slovak Republic (1999), Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction, 24 May 1999, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/4, (2002) 5 ICSID Reports 330. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0144.pdf>

28

Malaysian Historical Salvors SDN BHD v The Government of Malaysia, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/10), Award on Jurisdiction, 17 May 2007. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0496.pdf>

29

Soufraki v United Arab Emirates, Award of 7 July 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/7. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0799.pdf>

30

Champion Trading v Egypt, Decision on Jurisdiction, 21 October 2003, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/9. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0147.pdf>

31

Olguín v Republic of Paraguay, Award, 26 July 2001, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/5. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0587.pdf>

32

Fakes v Republic of Turkey, Award, 14 July 2010, ICSID Case No., ARB/07/20. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0314.pdf>

33

Stern B. Are There New Limits on Access to International Arbitration. ICSID Review; **25**. <http://icsidreview.oxfordjournals.org/content/25/1/26>

34

Mortenson, J. The Meaning of Investment: ICSID's Travaux and the Domain of International Investment Law. Harvard International Law Journal 2010;**51**:257-318.<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/hilj51&id=259>

35

Stern B. The Contours of the Notion of Protected Investment. ICSID Review 2010;**24**.<http://icsidreview.oxfordjournals.org/content/24/2/534.full.pdf+html>

36

Vargiu, Paolo. Beyond Hallmarks and Formal Requirements: A Jurisprudence Constante on the Notion of Investment in the ICSID Convention. Journal of World Investment & Trade 2009;**10**.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jworldit10&div=45&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab

37

Gaillard E. Identify or Define? Reflections on the Evolution of the Concept of Investment in ICSID Practice. In: International investment law for the 21st century: essays in honour of Christoph Schreuer. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009. 403-16.<https://www.oxfordscholarship.com/view/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199571345.001.0001/acprof-9780199571345>

38

Muchlinski P. Multinational enterprises and the law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.

39

Sornarajah M, MyiLibrary. The international law on foreign investment. 4th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017. <http://www.myilibrary.com?id=263131>

40

Dolzer R. The Notion of Investment in Recent Practice. In: Law in the service of human dignity: essays in honour of Florentino Feliciano. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2005. 261–75.

41

Yala F. The Notion of "Investment" in ICSID Case Law: A Drifting Jurisdictional Requirement? Some "Un-Conventional Thoughts" on Salini, SGS and Mihaly. Journal of International Arbitration 2005;:105–26.<http://www.kluwerarbitration.com.ezproxy.nottingham.ac.uk/CommonUI/document.aspx?id=ipn26225>

42

Mendelson M. The Runaway Train: the Continuous Nationality Rule from the Panevezys-Saldutiskis Railway Case to Loewen. In: International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law. London: : Cameron May 2005. 97–149.

43

Ben Hamid W. The Mihaly v. Sri Lanka Case: Some Thoughts relating to the status of pre-investment expenditures. In: International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law. London: : Cameron May 2005. 47–76.

44

Agreement between the Government of the Sultanate of Oman and the Government of the Republic of Austria for the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments, Muscat. 1AD.<http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/Download/TreatyFile/210>

45

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US Model BIT 2012). <http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/188371.pdf>

46

Sornarajah M, MyiLibrary. The international law on foreign investment. 4th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017. <http://www.myilibrary.com?id=263131>

47

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

48

Salacuse JW. The law of investment treaties. Third edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2021.
<https://opil-oup.com.nottingham.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/law/9780198850953.001.0001/law-9780198850953>

49

Vandewelle KJ. Bilateral investment treaties: history, policy, and interpretation. New York: : Oxford University Press 2010.

50

Salacuse, Jeswald W. Do BITs Really Work: An Evaluation of Bilateral Investment Treaties and Their Grand Bargain. Harvard International Law Journal 2005;**46**
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/hilj46&div=7&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab

51

Bilateral Investment Treaties 1995-2006: Trends in Investment Rulemaking, UNCTAD/ITE/IIA/2006/5. 2007.http://unctad.org/en/Docs/iteiia20065_en.pdf

52

Sacerdoti G. Bilateral Treaties and Multilateral Instruments on Investment Protection. Recueil des cours 1997;**269**:298–338.

53

Carr C. Bilateral Investment Treaties: Ecuador v Occidental. Lloyd's Maritime and Commercial Law Quarterly 2006;:257-69.<https://nottingham.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.i-law.com/ilaw/doc/view.htm?id=130495>

54

Salacuse, Jeswald W. Do BITs Really Work: An Evaluation of Bilateral Investment Treaties and Their Grand Bargain. Harvard International Law Journal 2005;**46**.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/hilj46&div=7&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab

55

Schlemmer EC. Bilateral Investment Treaties, Protection of Shareholders, and ICSID: CMS Gas Transmission Company v the Republic of Argentina. South African yearbook of international law: Suid-Afrikaanse jaarboek vir volkereg 2003;:292-300.

56

Schlemmer EC. Investor protection and forum selection in bilateral investment treaties. South African yearbook of international law: Suid-Afrikaanse jaarboek vir volkereg 2001;**26**:174-80.

57

Salacuse, Jeswald W. BIT by BIT: The Growth of Bilateral Investment Treaties and Their Impact on Foreign Investment in Developing Countries. International Lawyer 1990;**24**.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/intlyr24&div=53&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

58

Schwebel S. The Reshaping of the International Law of Foreign Investment by Concordant Bilateral Investment Treaties. In: Law in the service of human dignity: essays in honour of Florentino Feliciano. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2005. 261-75.<https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511494499>

59

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

60

Muchlinski P. Multinational enterprises and the law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.

61

Asian Agricultural Products Ltd. (AAPL) v. Republic of Sri Lanka, 30 ILM (1991), ¶580-655, per dissenting opinion of the Arbitrator, Asante.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita1035.pdf>

62

CMS Gas Transmission Co v Republic of Argentina (2005), ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, (2005) 44 ILM 1205. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0184.pdf>

63

Técnicas Medioambientales TECMED SA v Estados Unidos Mexicanos (2004), ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/2, (2004) 43 ILM 133.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0854.pdf>

64

Occidental Exploration and Production Company v Republic of Ecuador, LCIA Case No. UN3467, 1 July 2004, (United States/Ecuador BIT).

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0571.pdf>

65

Case Summary. http://www.biicl.org/files/3914_2004_occidental_v_ecuador.pdf

66

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).
1994. <https://investmentpolicy.unctad.org/international-investment-agreements/treaty-files/2413/download>

67

NAFTA. Statement on NAFTA Article 1105 and the Availability of Arbitration Documents.
31AD. <http://www.international.gc.ca/trade-agreements-accords-commerciaux/topics-domaines/disp-diff/NAFTA-Interpr.aspx?lang=eng>

68

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US Model BIT 2012). <http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/188371.pdf>

69

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

70

Fair and Equitable Treatment, UNCTAD Series on Issues in International Investment Agreements II. 2012. http://unctad.org/en/docs/unctaddiaeia2011d5_en.pdf

71

ADF Group v United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/1, Award, 9 January 2003, 18 ICSID Review-FILJ (2003) 195.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0009.pdf>

72

Glamis Gold, Ltd. v United States, UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 8 June 2009.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0378.pdf>

73

Loewen Group, Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v United States of America ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/3, (2003), Award, 26 June 2003, (2003) 42 ILM 811.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0470.pdf>

74

Metalclad v The United States of Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1, Award, 30 August 2000, 5 ICSID Reports 209, (2001) 40 ILM 36.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0510.pdf>

75

Methanex Corporation v United States of America, UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 3 August 2005, (2005) 44 ILM 1345.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0529.pdf>

76

Mondev International Ltd v United States of America, Final Award ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/2, 11 October 2002, (2003) 42 ILM, 85.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita1076.pdf>

77

Pope & Talbot v Government of Canada, UNICTRAL/NAFTA Award on Merits, 10 April 2001, (2002) 41 ILM 1347. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0678.pdf>

78

Waste Management Inc. v Mexico, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/00/3, Final Award, 30 April 2004, (2004) 43 ILM 967.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0900.pdf>

79

Alex Genin, Eastern Credit, Inc., and AS Baltoil v The Republic of Estonia [Genin v Estonia], ICSID Case No. ARB/99/2, Award of 25 June 2001, 17 ICSID Review – FLIJ (2002).
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0359.pdf>

80

Azurix v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, Award, 14 July 2006.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0061.pdf>

81

Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi AŞ v Islamic Republic of Pakistan [Bayinder v Pakistan], ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, Decision on Jurisdiction, 14 November 2005.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0074.pdf>

82

Biwater Gauff v Tanzania, ICSID Case No ARB/05/22, Award, 24 July 2008.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0095.pdf>

83

CMS Gas Transmission v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, Award, 12 May 2005.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0184.pdf>

84

Desert Line Projects LLC v The Republic of Yemen, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/17. Award of 6 February 2008, (2009) 48 ILM 82.
http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0248_0.pdf

85

Middle East Cement Shipping and Handling, Co. v Arab Republic of Egypt, Award, 12 April 2002, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/6.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0531.pdf>

86

MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile S.A. (MTD) v Chile [MTD v Chile] Award, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/7, 25 May 2004, 12 ICSID Reports 6.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0544.pdf>

87

Occidental Exploration and Production Company v Ecuador, LCIA/UNCITRAL, Final Award, 1 July 2004. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0571.pdf>

88

Parkerings-Compagniet AS v Lithuania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/8, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/8, Award, 11 September 2007.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0619.pdf>

89

Rumeli Telekom A.S. and Telesim Mobil Telekomkaysyan Hizmetleri A.S. v Kazakhstan [Rumeli v Kazakhstan] ICSID Case No. ARB/05/16, Award, 29 July 2008.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0728.pdf>

90

Siemens v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8, Award 6 February 2007.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0790.pdf>

91

Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and InterAguas Servicios Integrales del Agua SA v The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17, Decision on Liability, 30 July 2010. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0813.pdf>

92

Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed (TECMED) SA v Mexico, Award, 29 May 2003, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/2, (2004) 43 ILM 133.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0854.pdf>

93

Bonnitcha J. Substantive protection under investment treaties: a legal and economic analysis. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2016.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1719625>

94

Muchlinski P. 'Caveat Investor'? The Relevance of the Conduct of the Investor Under the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 2006;**55**:527-58. doi:10.1093/iclq/lei104

95

Sornarajah M, British Institute of International and Comparative Law. The Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard: Whose Treatment? Whose Equity? In: Ortino F, ed. *Investment treaty law: current issues II : nationality and investment treaty claims, fair and equitable treatment in investment treaty law*. London: : British Institute of International and Comparative Law 2007.

96

Muchlinski P. *Multinational enterprises and the law*. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.

97

Sornarajah M, MyiLibrary. *The international law on foreign investment*. 4th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017. <http://www.myilibrary.com?id=263131>

98

Salacuse JW. *The law of investment treaties*. Third edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2021.

<https://opil-oup.com.nottingham.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/law/9780198850953.001.0001/law-9780198850953>

99

Vandevelde, Kenneth J. A Unified Theory of FET. *New York University Journal of*

International Law and Politics 2010;**43**

.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/nyuilp43&div=6&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab

100

Yannaca-Small K. FET Standard: Recent Developments. In: Standards of investment protection. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008. 113–41.

101

Schill S. Fair and Equitable Treatment Under Investment Treaties as an Embodiment of the Rule of Law (IILJ Working Paper 2006/6). Published Online First: 2006.<http://www.iilj.org/publications/2006-6Schill.asp>

102

Fietta S. Expropriation and the "Fair and Equitable" Standard: The Developing Role of Investors' "Expectations" in International Investment Arbitration. Journal of International Arbitration 2006;**23**:375–99.<https://nottingham.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.kluwerarbitration.com/CommonUI/document.aspx?id=ipn26890>

103

Dolzer, Rudoff. Fair and Equitable Treatment: A Key Standard in Investment Treaties. International Lawyer 2005;**39**.
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/intlyr39&div=12&collection=journal&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab

104

Weiler T. Good Faith and Regulatory Transparency: The Story of Metalclad v. Mexico. In: International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law. London: : Cameron May 2005. 701–45.

105

Wallace D. FET and Denial of Justice: Loewen v US and Chattin v Mexico. In: International

investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law. London: : Cameron May 2005. 669–700.

106

Dumberry P. The Quest to Define 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' for Investors under International Law. *The Journal of World Investment & Trade* 2002;**3**:657–91.
doi:10.1163/221190002X00427

107

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).
1994.<https://investmentpolicy.unctad.org/international-investment-agreements/treaty-files/2413/download>

108

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US Model BIT 2012). <http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/188371.pdf>

109

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

110

Elettronica Sicola SpA (ELSI) (United States of America v Italy), [1989] ICJ Rep. 15.
<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/files/76/6707.pdf>

111

Asian Agricultural Products (AAPL) v Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3, Award, 27 June 1990, 30 ILM (1991) 577, 4 ICSID Reports 245.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita1034.pdf>

112

American Machine Tools (AMT) v Republic of Zaire, ICSID Case No. ARB/93, Award, 21 February 1997, 36 ILM (1997) 1531.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0028.pdf>

113

CME Czech Republic B.V. (The Netherlands) v Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Partial Award, 13 September 2001, 9 ICSID Reports 121.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0178.pdf>

114

Azurix v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12 Award, 14 July 2006.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0061.pdf>

115

Siemens v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8, Award 6 February 2007.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0790.pdf>

116

Biwater Gauff v Tanzania, ICSID Case No ARB/05/22, Award, 24 July 2008.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0095.pdf>

117

Sornarajah M, MyiLibrary. The international law on foreign investment. 4th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017. <http://www.myilibrary.com?id=263131>

118

Cordero Moss G. Full Protection and Security. In: Standards of investment protection. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008.

119

Salacuse JW. The law of investment treaties. Third edition. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2021.
<https://opil-oup.com.nottingham.idm.oclc.org/display/10.1093/law/9780198850953.001.0001/law-9780198850953>

120

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

121

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

122

ADF Group v United States of America (2003), ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/1, Award, 9 January 2003, 19 ICSID Review-FILJ (2003) 195, 6 ICSID Reports 470.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0009.pdf>

123

Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi A Ş v Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, Decision on Jurisdiction, 14 November 2005.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0074.pdf>

124

Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi A Ş v Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, Award, 27 August 2009.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0075.pdf>

125

Elettronica Sicala SpA (ELSI) (United States of America v Italy), [1989] ICJ Rep. 15.
<http://www.icj-cij.org/docket/files/76/6707.pdf>

126

Feldman v Mexico [also known as Karpis (Marvin Roy Feldman) (CEMSA) v United Mexican States], ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/99/1, Award of 16 December 2002, (2003) 42 ILM 625.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0319.pdf>

127

Metalclad v The United States of Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1 (2003) 5 ICSID Reports 209. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0510.pdf>

128

Methanex Corporation v United States of America (2005), NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Award, 3 August 2005 44 ILM (2005), 1345.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0529.pdf>

129

MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile S.A.. (MTD) v Chile, Award, 25 May 2004, 12 ICSID Reports 6. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0544.pdf>

130

Occidental Exploration and Production Company v Ecuador, Award, 1 July 2004, 12 ICSID Reports 59. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0571.pdf>

131

Parkerings-Compagniet AS v Republic of Lithuania (Parkerings v Lithuania), ICSID Case No. ARB/05/8, Award, 11 September 2007.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0619.pdf>

132

Pope & Talbot v Government of Canada, Award on Merits, 10 April 2001, 122 International Law Reports (2002), 352.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0678.pdf>

133

Pope & Talbot v Government of Canada, Award on Damages, 31 May 2002, 41 ILM (2002), 1347. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0686.pdf>

134

S D Myers Inc. v Government of Canada, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, First Partial Award, 13 November 2000, 40 ILM (2001), 1408.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0747.pdf>

135

Thunderbird v Mexico [also known as International Thunderbird Gaming Corporation v United Mexican States, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Award, 26 January 2006].
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0431.pdf>

136

United Parcel Services (UPS) Ltd. v CanadaPost (2003) NAFTA Chapter 11, Decision on Jurisdiction, 22 November 2002.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0884.pdf>

137

United Parcel Services (UPS) Ltd. v CanadaPost (2003) NAFTA Chapter 11, Award, 24 May 2007. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0885.pdf>

138

Douglas Z. The MFN Clause in Investment Arbitration: Treaty Interpretation Off the Rails. *Journal of International Dispute Settlement* 2011; **2**:97–113. doi:10.1093/jnlids/idq015

139

Schill SW. Allocating Adjudicatory Authority: Most-Favoured-Nation Clauses as a Basis of Jurisdiction--A Reply to Zachary Douglas. *Journal of International Dispute Settlement* 2011; **2**:353–71. doi:10.1093/jnlids/idr004

140

Sornarajah M, MyiLibrary. The international law on foreign investment. 4th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017. <http://www.myilibrary.com?id=263131>

141

Douglas Z. The MFN Clause in Investment Arbitration: Treaty Interpretation Off the Rails. *Journal of International Dispute Settlement* 2011;**2**:97–113. doi:10.1093/jnlids/idq015

142

National Treatment, UNCTAD/ITE/IIT/11 (Vol. IV in UNCTAD Series on Issues in International Investment Agreements). 2000.<http://unctad.org/en/pages/PublicationArchive.aspx?publicationid=340>

143

Most-Favoured-Nation Treatment in International Investment Law, OECD/OCDE, Directorate for Financial and Enterprise Affairs, Working Papers on International Investment, No. 2004/2. http://www.oecd.org/investment/investment-policy/WP-2004_2.pdf

144

Weiler T. Saving Oscar Chin-Non-Discrimination in International Investment Law. In: *International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law*. London: : Cameron May 2005. 557–95.

145

Schill, Stephan W. Multilateralizing Investment Treaties through Most-Favored-Nation Clauses. *Berkeley Journal of International Law* 2009;**27** .http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/berkjintlw27&div=17&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab

146

Agreement between the Government of the Sultanate of Oman and the Government of the Republic of Austria for the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments, Muscat. 1AD.<http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/Download/TreatyFile/210>

147

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US Model BIT 2012). <http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/188371.pdf>

148

Sornarajah M, MyiLibrary. The international law on foreign investment. 4th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017. <http://www.myilibrary.com?id=263131>

149

Lowenfeld AF. International economic law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=975432>

150

Lowenfeld AF. International economic law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=975432>

151

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

152

Christie, G. C. What Constitutes a Taking of Property under International Law. British Year Book of International Law 1962;**38**
[.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/byrint38&div=9&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=1&men_tab](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/byrint38&div=9&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=1&men_tab)

153

Higgins R. The Taking of Property by the State: Recent Developments in International Law. *Recueil des cours* 1982;**176**:259–392.

154

Taking of Property, UNCTAD Series on issues in international investment agreements, UNCTAD/ITE/IIT/15. 2000.<http://unctad.org/en/docs/psiteiitd15.en.pdf>

155

OECD. "Indirect Expropriation" and the "Right to Regulate" in International Investment Law, OECD/OCDE Directorate for Financial and Enterprise Affairs, Working Papers on International Investment, Number 2004/4. 2004. doi:10.1787/780155872321

156

Aldrich, George H. What Constitutes a Compensable Taking of Property--The Decisions of the Iran-United States Claims Tribunal. *American Journal of International Law* 1994;**88** .http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/ajil88&div=44&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab

157

Reisman WM, Sloane RD. Indirect Expropriation and Its Valuation in the Bit Generation. *British Yearbook of International Law* 2004;**74**:115–50. doi:10.1093/bybil/74.1.115

158

Waelde T, Kolo A. Environmental Regulation, Investment Protection and Regulatory Taking in International Law. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly* 2001;**50** .http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/incolq50&div=58&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

159

Schreuer C. Investment Treaty Arbitration and Jurisdiction over Contract Claims – the Vivendi I Case Considered. In: *International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law*. London: :

Cameron May 2005. 281–323.

160

Faruque A. Validity and Efficacy of Stabilisation Clauses: Legal Protection vs. Functional Value. *Journal of International Arbitration* 2006;**23**:317–36.<https://nottingham.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.kluwerarbitration.com/CommonUI/document.aspx?id=ipn26690>

161

Alvarez-Jiménez A. The Methanex Final Award: An Analysis from the Perspectives of Environmental Regulatory Authorities and Foreign Investors. 2006;**23**:427–34.<https://nottingham.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.kluwerarbitration.com/CommonUI/document.aspx?id=ipn26892>

162

Sornarajah M, MyiLibrary. The international law on foreign investment. 4th ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press 2017. <http://www.myilibrary.com?id=263131>

163

Lowenfeld AF. International economic law. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2008. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=975432>

164

Lowenfeld AF. International economic law. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2008. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=975432>

165

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press 2012. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

166

Brower CN, Wrong J. General Valuation Principles: The Case of Santa Elena. In: International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law. London: : Cameron May 2005. 281–323.

167

Mouri A. The international law of expropriation as reflected in the work of the Iran-U.S. claims tribunal. Dordrecht: : M. Nijhoff 1994.

168

Thomas Waelde. Compensation, Damages and Valuation. In: The Oxford handbook of international investment law. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008. 1049–124.

169

Sornarajah M, MyiLibrary. The international law on foreign investment. 4th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017. <http://www.myilibrary.com?id=263131>

170

Muchlinski P. Multinational enterprises and the law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.

171

Perezcano, Hugo. Damages in Investment Arbitration: Are the Standards Different from Commercial Arbitration - The Need for Consistency. Journal of World Investment & Trade 2005;**6**
[.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jworldit6&div=8&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jworldit6&div=8&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab)

172

Jagusch, Stephen. Compensation for the Breach of Relative Standards of Treaty Protection. Journal of World Investment & Trade 2009;**10**
[.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jworldit10&div=32&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jworldit10&div=32&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab)

173

Case Concerning the Factory at Chorzów (Germany v Poland), (1927), PCIJ, Ser. A., No. 9.
http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_A/A_09/28_Usine_de_Chorzow_Competence_Arret.pdf

174

Case Concerning the Factory at Chorzów (Germany v Poland), (1927), PCIJ, Ser. A., No. 17, (Judgement on the Merits) (1928).
http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_A/A_17/54_Usine_de_Chorzow_Fond_Arret.pdf

175

Compañía del Desarrollo de Santa Elena SA v The Republic of Costa Rica (2000), ICSID Case No. ARB/96/1, 17 February 2000, 15 ICSID Review: FILJ (2000).
https://icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/FrontServlet?requestType=CasesRH&actionVal=showDoc&docId=DC539_En&caselId=C152

176

Antoine Goetz and others v Republic of Burundi (1999), ICSID Case No. ARB/95/3, 10 February 1999 (French text only), (2000)15 ICSID Review-FILJ 457.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0380.pdf>

177

Azurix Corp. v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, Award of 14 July 2006.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0061.pdf>

178

CMS Gas Transmission Co v Republic of Argentina (2003) ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, Award of 12 May 2005, 44 ILM (2005) 1205.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0184.pdf>

179

Feldman v Mexico, ICSID Case No. Arb(AF)/99/1, Award of 16 December 2002.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0319.pdf>

180

Generation Ukraine Inc. v Ukraine, Award, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/9, 16 September 2003, 44 ILM (2005) 404. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0358.pdf>

181

Methanex Corporation v United States of America (2005), UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 3 August 2005 44 ILM (2005) 1345.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0529.pdf>

182

Middle East Cement Shipping and Handling, Co, v Arab Republic of Egypt (2002), ICSID Case No. ARB/99//6, 12 April 2002, 7 ICSID Reports 178.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0531.pdf>

183

Saluka Investments B.V. v Czech Republic, Partial Award, 17 March 2006.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0740.pdf>

184

S D Myers Inc. v Government of Canada, UNICTRAL/NAFTA, First Partial Award, 13 November 2000, 40 ILM (2001) 1408.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0747.pdf>

185

Siemens A.G. v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8), Award of the Tribunal, 6 February 2007. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0790.pdf>

186

Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed (TECMED) S.A. v Mexico, Award, 29 May 2003, 43 ILM (2004) 133. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0854.pdf>

187

Telenor Mobile Communications A.S. (Telenor) v Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/15, Award, 13 September 2006, (2006) 21 ICSID Review- FILJ 603.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0858.pdf>

188

Asian Agricultural Products (AAPL) v Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3, Award, 27 June 1990, 30 ILM (1991) 577, 4 ICSID Reports 245.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita1034.pdf>

189

Case Concerning the Factory at Chorzów (Germany v Poland), (1927), PCIJ, Ser. A., No. 9.
http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_A/A_09/28_Usine_de_Chorzow_Competence_Arret.pdf

190

Case Concerning the Factory at Chorzów (Germany v Poland), (1927), PCIJ, Ser. A., No. 17, (Judgement on the Merits) (1928).
http://www.icj-cij.org/pcij/serie_A/A_17/54_Usine_de_Chorzow_Fond_Arret.pdf

191

CME Czech Republic B.V. (The Netherlands) v Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Partial Award, 13 September 2001, 9 ICSID Reports 121.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0178.pdf>

192

CME v Czech Republic, Final Award, 14 March 2003, 9 ICSID Reports 264.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0180.pdf>

193

Compañía del Desarrollo de Santa Elena SA v The Republic of Costa Rica (2000), ICSID Case No. ARB/96/1, 17 February 2000, 15 ICSID Review: FILJ (2000).
https://icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/FrontServlet?requestType=CasesRH&actionVal=showDoc&docId=DC539_En&caseId=C152

194

Enron Corporation and Ponderosa Assets, L.P. v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, Decision on Jurisdiction, 14 January 2004.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0290.pdf>

195

Feldman v Mexico, ICSID Case No. Arb(AF)/99/1, Award of 16 December 2002.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0319.pdf>

196

Metalclad v The United States of Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1, Award, 30 August 2000, 5 ICSID Reports 212.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0510.pdf>

197

MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile S.A.. (MTD) v Chile, Award, 25 May 2004, 12 ICSID Reports 6. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0544.pdf>

198

Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed (TECMED) S.A. v Mexico, Award, 29 May 2003, 43 ILM (2004) 133. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0854.pdf>

199

Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts, 2001, text adopted by the International Law Commission at its 53rd Session, 2001, reproduced as an annex to General Assembly Resolution 56/83 of 12 December 2001 (ILC Draft Articles on State Responsibility).
http://legal.un.org/ilc/texts/instruments/english/commentaries/9_6_2001.pdf

200

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

201

Hobér K. State Responsibility and Attribution. In: The Oxford handbook of international investment law. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008. 549–83.

202

Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija, S.A. and Vivendi International v Argentina (Vivendi v Argentina), Award, 21 November 2000.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0206.pdf>

203

Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija, S.A. and Vivendi International v Argentina (Vivendi v Argentina) Decision on Annulment, 3 July 2002, (2002) 41 ILM 1135.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0210.pdf>

204

Emilio Agustín Maffezini v The Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction, 25 January 2000, 5 ICSID Reports or (2001) 40 ILM 1129.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0479.pdf>

205

Salini Costruttori SpA and Italstrade SpA v Kingdom of Morocco, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/4, Decision on Jurisdiction, 16 July 2001, (2003) 42 ILM 609.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0738.pdf>

206

Wena Hotels v Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/4, Award, 8 December 2000, (2002) 41 ILM

896. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0902.pdf>

207

Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC), created by the Foreign Assistance Act of 1969, Pub L. no 91-175. 83 Stat. <https://www.opic.gov/>

208

Convention Establishing the Inter-Arab Investment Guarantee Corporation (IAIGC). <http://www.iaigc.net/UserFiles/file/en/EngConven.pdf>

209

Articles of Agreement of the Islamic Corporation for the Insurance of Investment and Export Credit (ICIEC).
19AD.http://www.isdb.org/irj/go/km/docs/documents/IDBDevelopments/Internet/English/IDB/CM/About%20IDB/Articles%20of%20Agreement/ICIEC_Articles-of-Agreement.pdf

210

Convention Establishing the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency, 1508 U.N.T.S. 99. 11AD.<https://www.miga.org/who-we-are/miga-convention>

211

OECD Risk Awareness Tool for Multinational Enterprises in Weak Governance Zones. 2006.<http://www.oecd.org/daf/inv/corporateresponsibility/36885821.pdf>

212

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

213

Ziegler AR, Gratton L-P. Investment Insurance. In: The Oxford handbook of international

investment law. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008. 524–48.

214

Shihata IFI. MIGA and foreign investment: origins, operations, policies, and basic documents of the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency. Dordrecht: : M. Nijhoff 1988.

215

Ibrahim F I. Arab Investment Guarantee Corporation – A Regional Investment Insurance Project. Journal of world trade law 1972;**6**.

216

Perry, Maura B. A Model for Efficient Foreign Aid: The Case for the Political Risk Insurance Activities of the Overseas Private Investment Corporation. Virginia Journal of International Law 1995;**36**
[.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vajint36&div=23&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/vajint36&div=23&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults)

217

Agreement between the Government of the Sultanate of Oman and the Government of the Republic of Austria for the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments, Muscat. 1AD.<http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/Download/TreatyFile/210>

218

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

219

Sornarajah M, MyiLibrary. The international law on foreign investment. 4th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017. <http://www.myilibrary.com?id=263131>

220

Muchlinski P. Multinational enterprises and the law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.

221

Footer, Mary E. BITs and Pieces: Social and Environmental Protection in the Regulation of Foreign Investment. Michigan State Journal of International Law 2009;**18**
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mistjintl18&div=6&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

222

Laborde G. The Case for Host State Claims in Investment Arbitration. Journal of International Dispute Settlement 2010;**1**:97–122. doi:10.1093/jnlids/idp008

223

Tokios Tokelės v Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/18, Decision on Jurisdiction, 29 April 2004, (2005) 20 ICSID Review-FILJ 205.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0863.pdf>

224

Inceysa Vallisoletana S.L. v El Salvador, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/26, Award, 2 August 2006.
http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0424_0.pdf

225

World Duty Free v Republic of Kenya, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/7, Award, 4 October 2006, paras. 138-157.
<http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?public=false&handle=hein.journals/intlm46&page=337&collection=journals>

226

Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. The Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/25, Award, 16 August 2007.
<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0340.pdf>

227

Methanex Corporation v United States of America (2005), UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 3 August 2005 44 ILM (2005) 1345.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0529.pdf>

228

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

229

Sacerdoti G. Arbitration of Investment Disputes under UNCITRAL Rules and the Choice of Applicable Law. In: Law in the service of human dignity: essays in honour of Florentino Feliciano. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2005. 276–98.

230

Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (1965), as amended, 575 UNTS 159, 4 ILM 524 (1966).

https://icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/StaticFiles/basicdoc/CRR_English-final.pdf

231

ICSID Rules of Procedure for Arbitration Proceedings (Arbitration Rules).

10AD.<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/icsiddocs/Pages/ICSID-Convention-Arbitration-Rules.aspx>

232

Rules Governing the Additional Facility for the Administration of Proceedings by the Secretariat of ICSID. 10AD.<http://sice.oas.org/dispute/comarb/icsid/icsid3.asp>

233

United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Arbitration Rules as

revised in 2013. <http://www.uncitral.org/pdf/english/texts/arbitration/arb-rules/arb-rules.pdf>

234

Blackaby N, Partasides C, Hunter M, et al. Redfern and Hunter on International Arbitration. 6th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.
<https://nottingham.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.kluwerarbitration.com/document/KL-I-KA-Redfern-06-006#a0189>

235

Collier JG, Lowe AV. The settlement of disputes in international law: institutions and procedures. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 1999.

236

Sornarajah M, MyiLibrary. The international law on foreign investment. 4th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017. <http://www.myilibrary.com?id=263131>

237

Cremades BM, Cairnes DJA. The Brave New World of Global Arbitration. The Journal of World Investment & Trade 2002;**3**:vii-209. doi:10.1163/221190002X00085

238

Delaume GR. Consent to ICSID Arbitration. In: The changing world of international law in the twenty-first century: a tribute to the late Kenneth R. Simmonds. The Hague: : Kluwer 1998. 155-78.

239

Roberts, Anthea. Divergence between Investment and Commercial Arbitration. ASIL Proceedings 2012;**106**
[.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/asilp106&div=91&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/asilp106&div=91&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults)

240

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

241

SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v Islamic Republic of Pakistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/01/13), Decision on Jurisdiction, 6 August 2003.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0779.pdf>

242

SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v Republic of the Philippines (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/6), Decision on Jurisdiction, 29 January 2004.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0782.pdf>

243

SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v Republic of Paraguay (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/29), Decision on Jurisdiction, 12 February 2010.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/italaw1526.pdf>

244

Paparinskis M. MFN Clauses and International Dispute Settlement: Moving beyond Maffezini and Plama? ICSID Review 2011;**26**:14–58. doi:10.1093/icsidreview/26.2.14

245

Sinclair AC. The origins of the umbrella clause in the international law of investment protection. 2004;**20**.

246

Wong, Jarrod. Umbrella Clauses in Bilateral Investment Treaties: Of Breaches of Contract, Treat Violations, and the Divide between Developing and Developed Countries in Foreign Investment Disputes. George Mason Law Review 2006;**14**

[.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/gmlr14&div=10&collectio](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/gmlr14&div=10&collectio)

n=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

247

Yannaca-Small K. Interpretation of the Umbrella Clause in Investment Agreements, OECD/OCDE Directorate for Financial and Enterprise Affairs, Working Papers on International Investment, Number 2006/3.

<http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/download/5l9hkssg1xxt.pdf?expires=1439565908&id=id&accname=guest&checksum=13117F01915B052B89921E4F1F0B76A9>

248

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.

<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

249

Emilio Augustin Maffezini v Kingdom of Spain (ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7), Decision on Jurisdiction, 25 January 2000.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0479.pdf>

250

Siemens AG v Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8), Decision on Jurisdiction, 3 August 2004. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0788.pdf>

251

Plama Consortium Limited v Republic of Bulgaria (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/24), Decision on Jurisdiction, 8 February 2005.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0669.pdf>

252

HOCHTIEF AG v Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/31), Decision on Jurisdiction, 24 October 2011. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0405.pdf>

253

Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (1965), as amended, 575 UNTS 159, 4 ILM 524 (1966).

https://icsid.worldbank.org/ICSID/StaticFiles/basicdoc/CRR_English-final.pdf

254

Rules Governing the Additional Facility for the Administration of Proceedings by the Secretariat of ICSID. 10AD.<http://sice.oas.org/dispute/comarb/icsid/icsid3.asp>

255

ICSID Rules of Procedure for Arbitration Proceedings (Arbitration Rules).

10AD.<https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/ICSIDWEB/icsiddocs/Pages/ICSID-Convention-Arbitration-Rules.aspx>

256

United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Arbitration Rules as revised in 2013. <http://www.uncitral.org/pdf/english/texts/arbitration/arb-rules/arb-rules.pdf>

257

UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration.

<https://uncitral.un.org/sites/uncitral.un.org/files/media-documents/uncitral/en/rules-on-transparency-e.pdf>

258

UN Convention on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration.

<http://www.uncitral.org/pdf/english/texts/arbitration/transparency-convention/Transparency-Convention-e.pdf>

259

NAFTA Free Trade Commission. Statement on non-disputing party participation.

7AD.<http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/38791.pdf>

260

IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration.
22AD.http://www.ibanet.org/ENews_Archive/IBA_July_2008_ENews_ArbitrationMultipleLang.aspx

261

Van Harten G. Investment treaty arbitration and public law. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.
<https://academic.oup.com/book/doi/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199552146.001.0001>

262

Van Harten G. Chapter 7, The businessman's court. In: Investment treaty arbitration and public law. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.
152-84.<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=e4b596d2-ec1d-e611-80bd-0cc47a6bddeb>

263

Dolzer R, Schreuer C. Principles of international investment law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2012.
<https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=1120174>

264

Commission proposes new Investment Court System for TTIP and other EU trade and investment negotiations - Trade - European Commission.
<http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/press/index.cfm?id=1364>

265

Methanex Corporation v United States of America, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Decision of the Tribunal on Petitions from Third Persons to intervene as 'Amici Curiae', 15 January 2001.
http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0517_0.pdf

266

Aguas del Tunari S.A. v Republic of Bolivia (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/3), Decision on

Jurisdiction, 21 October 2005.

http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0020_0.pdf

267

Aguas Argentinas S.A., Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and Vivendi Universal S.A. v The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19), Order in response to a Petition for Transparency and Participation as Amicus Curiae, 19 May 2005.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0815.pdf>

268

Glamis Gold, Ltd. v United States, UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 8 June 2009.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0378.pdf>

269

Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd. v United Republic of Tanzania (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22), Procedural Order No 3, 29 September 2006.

<http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0089.pdf>

270

Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd. v United Republic of Tanzania (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22), Procedural Order No 5, 2 February 2007.

http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0091_0.pdf

271

UNCITRAL Transparency Rules applied for the first time in investor-State arbitration | Herbert Smith Freehills.

<http://hsfnotes.com/publicinternationallaw/2015/10/26/uncitral-transparency-rules-applied-for-the-first-time-in-investor-state-arbitration/>

272

Footer, Mary E. BITs and Pieces: Social and Environmental Protection in the Regulation of Foreign Investment. Michigan State Journal of International Law 2009; **18**

[.http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mistjintl18&div=6&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults](http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/mistjintl18&div=6&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults)

273

Ishikawa, Tomoko. Third Party Participation in Investment Treaty Arbitration. In: International and Comparative Law Quarterly. 2010.
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/incolq59&div=21&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

274

United Parcel Service of America Inc. v Government of Canada, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Decision of the Tribunal on Petitions for Intervention and Participation as Amici Curiae, 17 October 2001. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0883.pdf>

275

United Parcel Service of America Inc. v Government of Canada, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Further Order on Amicus Submissions, 1 August 2003.
<http://www.international.gc.ca/trade-agreements-accords-commerciaux/assets/pdfs/disposition/ups-52.pdf>

276

Aguas Provinciales de Santa Fe S.A., Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and InterAguas Servicios Integrales del Agua S.A. v The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17), Order in response to a Petition for Participation as Amicus Curiae, 17 March 2006. <http://www.italaw.com/sites/default/files/case-documents/ita0803.pdf>

277

NAFTA Free Trade Commission. Statement on notices of intent to submit a claim to arbitration. 7AD.http://www.sice.oas.org/TPD/NAFTA/Commission/Noticeintent_e.pdf

278

NAFTA Free Trade Commission. Notes of Interpretation of Certain Chapter 11 Provisions. 31AD.http://www.sice.oas.org/tpd/nafta/Commission/CH11understanding_e.asp

279

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US Model BIT 2012). <http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/188371.pdf>

280

Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and Vivendi Universal S.A. v The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19), Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and InterAguas Servicios Integrales del Agua S.A. v The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17) and AWG Group Ltd v The Argentine Republic, Decision on a Second Proposal for the Disqualification of a Member of the Arbitral Tribunal, 12 May 2008 . <http://www.italaw.com/documents/Suez-VivendiChallenge2.pdf>

281

Materials on the IBA website.
http://www.ibanet.org/Publications/publications_IBA_guides_and_free_materials.aspx

282

Sornarajah M, MyiLibrary. The international law on foreign investment. 4th ed. Cambridge: : Cambridge University Press 2017. <http://www.myilibrary.com?id=263131>

283

Lowenfeld AF. International economic law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=975432>

284

Lowenfeld AF. International economic law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2008. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=975432>

285

Muchlinski P. Multinational enterprises and the law. 2nd ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2007.

286

Marboe, Irmgard. Compensation and Damages in International Law - The Limits of Fair Market Value. *Journal of World Investment & Trade* 2006;**7**
http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/jworldit7&div=42&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab=srchresults

287

Blackaby N, Partasides C, Hunter M, et al. Redfern and Hunter on International Arbitration. 6th ed. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2015.
<https://nottingham.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.kluwerarbitration.com/document/KLI-KA-Redfern-06-006#a0189>

288

Malintoppi L. Provisional Measures in Recent ICSID Proceedings: What Parties Request and What Tribunals Order. In: *International investment law for the 21st century: essays in honour of Christoph Schreuer*. Oxford: : Oxford University Press 2009. 157–84.

289

Blessing M. State Arbitrations: Predictably Unpredictable Solutions? *Journal of International Arbitration* 2005;**22**
:435–86.<https://nottingham.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.kluwerarbitration.com/CommonUI/document.aspx?id=ipn26350>

290

Söderlund C. Lis Pendens, Res Judicata and the Issue of Parallel Judicial Proceedings. 2005;**22**
:301–22.<https://nottingham.idm.oclc.org/login?url=https://www.kluwerarbitration.com/CommonUI/document.aspx?id=ipn26277>