

M34113: International Investment Law

[View Online](#)

[1]

Aldrich, George H. 1994. What Constitutes a Compensable Taking of Property--The Decisions of the Iran-United States Claims Tribunal. *American Journal of International Law*. 88, (1994).

[2]

Alvarez-Jiménez, A. 2006. The Methanex Final Award: An Analysis from the Perspectives of Environmental Regulatory Authorities and Foreign Investors. 23, 5 (2006), 427-434.

[3]

Asante, Samuel K. B. 1988. International Law and Foreign Investment: A Reappraisal. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 37, (1988).

[4]

Ben Hamid, W. 2005. The Mihaly v. Sri Lanka Case: Some Thoughts relating to the status of pre-investment expenditures. *International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law*. Cameron May. 47-76.

[5]

Blackaby, N. et al. 2015. *Redfern and Hunter on International Arbitration*. Oxford University Press.

[6]

Blackaby, N. et al. 2015. Redfern and Hunter on International Arbitration. Oxford University Press.

[7]

Blessing, M. 2005. State Arbitrations: Predictably Unpredictable Solutions? *Journal of International Arbitration*. 22, 6 (2005), 435–486.

[8]

Bonnitcha, J. 2016. Substantive protection under investment treaties: a legal and economic analysis. Cambridge University Press.

[9]

Brower, C.N. and Wrong, J. 2005. General Valuation Principles: The Case of Santa Elena. *International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law*. Cameron May. 281–323.

[10]

Carr, C. 2006. Bilateral Investment Treaties: Ecuador v Occidental. *Lloyd's Maritime and Commercial Law Quarterly*. (2006), 257–269.

[11]

Christie, G. C. 1962. What Constitutes a Taking of Property under International Law. *British Year Book of International Law*. 38, (1962).

[12]

Collier, J.G. and Lowe, A.V. 1999. The settlement of disputes in international law: institutions and procedures. Oxford University Press.

[13]

Commission proposes new Investment Court System for TTIP and other EU trade and investment negotiations - Trade - European Commission:

<http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/press/index.cfm?id=1364>.

[14]

Cordero Moss, G. 2008. Full Protection and Security. Standards of investment protection. Oxford University Press.

[15]

Cremades, B.M. and Cairnes, D.J.A. 2002. The Brave New World of Global Arbitration. The Journal of World Investment & Trade. 3, 2 (Jan. 2002), vii-209.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1163/221190002X00085>.

[16]

Delaume, G.R. 1998. Consent to ICSID Arbitration. The changing world of international law in the twenty-first century: a tribute to the late Kenneth R. Simmonds. Kluwer. 155-178.

[17]

Dolzer, R. 2005. The Notion of Investment in Recent Practice. Law in the service of human dignity: essays in honour of Florentino Feliciano. Cambridge University Press. 261-275.

[18]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[19]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[20]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[21]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[22]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[23]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[24]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[25]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[26]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[27]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[28]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[29]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[30]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[31]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[32]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[33]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[34]

Dolzer, R. and Schreuer, C. 2012. Principles of international investment law. Oxford University Press.

[35]

Dolzer, Rudoff 2005. Fair and Equitable Treatment: A Key Standard in Investment Treaties. International Lawyer. 39, (2005).

[36]

Douglas, Z. 2011. The MFN Clause in Investment Arbitration: Treaty Interpretation Off the Rails. *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*. 2, 1 (Feb. 2011), 97–113.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jnlids/idq015>.

[37]

Douglas, Z. 2011. The MFN Clause in Investment Arbitration: Treaty Interpretation Off the Rails. *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*. 2, 1 (Feb. 2011), 97–113.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jnlids/idq015>.

[38]

Dumberry, P. 2002. The Quest to Define 'Fair and Equitable Treatment' for Investors under International Law. *The Journal of World Investment & Trade*. 3, 4 (Jan. 2002), 657–691.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1163/221190002X00427>.

[39]

Faruque, A. 2006. Validity and Efficacy of Stabilisation Clauses: Legal Protection vs. Functional Value. *Journal of International Arbitration*. 23, 4 (2006), 317–336.

[40]

Fietta, S. 2006. Expropriation and the "Fair and Equitable" Standard: The Developing Role of Investors' "Expectations" in International Investment Arbitration. *Journal of International Arbitration*. 23, 5 (2006), 375–399.

[41]

Footer, Mary E. 2009. BITs and Pieces: Social and Environmental Protection in the Regulation of Foreign Investment. *Michigan State Journal of International Law*. 18, (2009).

[42]

Footer, Mary E. 2009. BITs and Pieces: Social and Environmental Protection in the

Regulation of Foreign Investment. Michigan State Journal of International Law. 18, (2009).

[43]

Franck, Susan D. 2006. Foreign Direct Investment, Investment Treaty Arbitration, and the Rule of Law. Global Business & Development Law Journal. 19, (2006).

[44]

Gaillard, E. 2009. Identify or Define? Reflections on the Evolution of the Concept of Investment in ICSID Practice. International investment law for the 21st century: essays in honour of Christoph Schreuer. Oxford University Press. 403-416.

[45]

Higgins, R. 1982. The Taking of Property by the State: Recent Developments in International Law. Recueil des cours. 176, (1982), 259-392.

[46]

Hobér, K. 2008. State Responsibility and Attribution. The Oxford handbook of international investment law. Oxford University Press. 549-583.

[47]

Ibrahim F I 1972. Arab Investment Guarantee Corporation – A Regional Investment Insurance Project. Journal of world trade law. 6, (1972).

[48]

International Law Commission 12AD. Draft Articles On Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts.

[49]

Ishikawa, Tomoko 2010. Third Party Participation in Investment Treaty Arbitration. International and Comparative Law Quarterly (2010).

[50]

Jagusch, Stephen 2009. Compensation for the Breach of Relative Standards of Treaty Protection. *Journal of World Investment & Trade*. 10, (2009).

[51]

Laborde, G. 2010. The Case for Host State Claims in Investment Arbitration. *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*. 1, 1 (2010), 97-122.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jnlids/idp008>.

[52]

Lowenfeld, A.F. 2008. *International economic law*. Oxford University Press.

[53]

Lowenfeld, A.F. 2008. *International economic law*. Oxford University Press.

[54]

Lowenfeld, A.F. 2008. *International economic law*. Oxford University Press.

[55]

Lowenfeld, A.F. 2008. *International economic law*. Oxford University Press.

[56]

Lowenfeld, A.F. 2008. *International economic law*. Oxford University Press.

[57]

Lowenfeld, A.F. 2008. *International economic law*. Oxford University Press.

[58]

Lowenfeld, A.F. 2008. International economic law. Oxford University Press.

[59]

Lowenfeld, A.F. 2008. International economic law. Oxford University Press.

[60]

Malintoppi, L. 2009. Provisional Measures in Recent ICSID Proceedings: What Parties Request and What Tribunals Order. International investment law for the 21st century: essays in honour of Christoph Schreuer. Oxford University Press. 157–184.

[61]

Marboe, Irmgard 2006. Compensation and Damages in International Law - The Limits of Fair Market Value. Journal of World Investment & Trade. 7, (2006).

[62]

Materials on the IBA website:

http://www.ibanet.org/Publications/publications_IBA_guides_and_free_materials.aspx.

[63]

Mendelson, M. 2005. The Runaway Train: the Continuous Nationality Rule from the Panevezys-Saldutiskis Railway Case to Loewen. International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law. Cameron May. 97–149.

[64]

Mortenson, J. 2010. The Meaning of Investment: ICSID's Travaux and the Domain of International Investment Law. Harvard International Law Journal. 51, 1 (2010), 257–318.

[65]

Mouri, A. 1994. The international law of expropriation as reflected in the work of the Iran-U.S. claims tribunal. M. Nijhoff.

[66]

Muchlinski, P. 2006. 'Caveat Investor'? The Relevance of the Conduct of the Investor Under the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard. *International and Comparative Law Quarterly*. 55, 03 (Jul. 2006), 527–558. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/iclq/lei104>.

[67]

Muchlinski, P. 2007. *Multinational enterprises and the law*. Oxford University Press.

[68]

Muchlinski, P. 2007. *Multinational enterprises and the law*. Oxford University Press.

[69]

Muchlinski, P. 2007. *Multinational enterprises and the law*. Oxford University Press.

[70]

Muchlinski, P. 2007. *Multinational enterprises and the law*. Oxford University Press.

[71]

Muchlinski, P. 2007. *Multinational enterprises and the law*. Oxford University Press.

[72]

Muchlinski, P. 2007. *Multinational enterprises and the law*. Oxford University Press.

[73]

NAFTA 31AD. Statement on NAFTA Article 1105 and the Availability of Arbitration

Documents.

[74]

NAFTA Free Trade Commission 31AD. Notes of Interpretation of Certain Chapter 11 Provisions.

[75]

NAFTA Free Trade Commission 31AD. Notes of Interpretation of Certain Chapter 11 Provisions.

[76]

NAFTA Free Trade Commission 7AD. Statement on non-disputing party participation.

[77]

NAFTA Free Trade Commission 7AD. Statement on non-disputing party participation.

[78]

NAFTA Free Trade Commission 7AD. Statement on notices of intent to submit a claim to arbitration.

[79]

OECD 2004. "Indirect Expropriation" and the "Right to Regulate" in International Investment Law, OECD/OCDE Directorate for Financial and Enterprise Affairs, Working Papers on International Investment, Number 2004/4.

[80]

Overseas Private Investment Corporation (OPIC), created by the Foreign Assistance Act of 1969, Pub L. no 91-175. 83 Stat: <https://www.opic.gov/>.

[81]

Paparinskis, M. 2011. MFN Clauses and International Dispute Settlement: Moving beyond Maffezini and Plama? *ICSID Review*. 26, 2 (Sep. 2011), 14–58.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/icsidreview/26.2.14>.

[82]

Perezcano, Hugo 2005. Damages in Investment Arbitration: Are the Standards Different from Commercial Arbitration - The Need for Consistency. *Journal of World Investment & Trade*. 6, (2005).

[83]

Perry, Maura B. 1995. A Model for Efficient Foreign Aid: The Case for the Political Risk Insurance Activities of the Overseas Private Investment Corporation. *Virginia Journal of International Law*. 36, (1995).

[84]

Reisman, W.M. and Sloane, R.D. 2004. Indirect Expropriation and Its Valuation in the Bit Generation. *British Yearbook of International Law*. 74, 1 (Jan. 2004), 115–150.
DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/bybil/74.1.115>.

[85]

Roberts, Anthea 2012. Divergence between Investment and Commercial Arbitration. *ASIL Proceedings*. 106, (2012).

[86]

Sacerdoti, G. 2005. Arbitration of Investment Disputes under UNCITRAL Rules and the Choice of Applicable Law. *Law in the service of human dignity: essays in honour of Florentino Feliciano*. Cambridge University Press. 276–298.

[87]

Sacerdoti, G. 1997. Bilateral Treaties and Multilateral Instruments on Investment Protection. *Recueil des cours*. 269, (1997), 298–338.

[88]

Salacuse, Jeswald W. 1990. BIT by BIT: The Growth of Bilateral Investment Treaties and Their Impact on Foreign Investment in Developing Countries. *International Lawyer*. 24, (1990).

[89]

Salacuse, Jeswald W. 2005. Do BITs Really Work: An Evaluation of Bilateral Investment Treaties and Their Grand Bargain. *Harvard International Law Journal*. 46, (2005).

[90]

Salacuse, Jeswald W. 2005. Do BITs Really Work: An Evaluation of Bilateral Investment Treaties and Their Grand Bargain. *Harvard International Law Journal*. 46, (2005).

[91]

Salacuse, J.W. 2021. *The law of investment treaties*. Oxford University Press.

[92]

Salacuse, J.W. 2021. *The law of investment treaties*. Oxford University Press.

[93]

Salacuse, J.W. 2021. *The law of investment treaties*. Oxford University Press.

[94]

Schill, S. 2006. Fair and Equitable Treatment Under Investment Treaties as an Embodiment of the Rule of Law (IILJ Working Paper 2006/6). (2006).

[95]

Schill, Stephan W. 2009. *Multilateralizing Investment Treaties through*

Most-Favored-Nation Clauses. *Berkeley Journal of International Law*. 27, (2009).

[96]

Schill, S.W. 2011. Allocating Adjudicatory Authority: Most-Favoured-Nation Clauses as a Basis of Jurisdiction--A Reply to Zachary Douglas. *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*. 2, 2 (Aug. 2011), 353–371. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1093/jnlids/idr004>.

[97]

Schlemmer, E.C. 2003. Bilateral Investment Treaties, Protection of Shareholders, and ICSID: CMS Gas Transmission Company v the Republic of Argentina. *South African yearbook of international law: Suid-Afrikaanse jaarboek vir volkereg*. (2003), 292–300.

[98]

Schlemmer, E.C. 2001. Investor protection and forum selection in bilateral investment treaties. *South African yearbook of international law: Suid-Afrikaanse jaarboek vir volkereg*. 26, (2001), 174–180.

[99]

Schreuer, C. 2005. Investment Treaty Arbitration and Jurisdiction over Contract Claims – the Vivendi I Case Considered. *International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law*. Cameron May. 281–323.

[100]

Schwebel, S. 2005. The Reshaping of the International Law of Foreign Investment by Concordant Bilateral Investment Treaties. *Law in the service of human dignity: essays in honour of Florentino Feliciano*. Cambridge University Press. 261–275.

[101]

Shaw, M.N. 2017. *International law*. Cambridge University Press.

[102]

Shaw, M.N. 2014. International law. Cambridge University Press.

[103]

Shihata, I.F.I. 1988. MIGA and foreign investment: origins, operations, policies, and basic documents of the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency. M. Nijhoff.

[104]

Sinclair, A.C. 2004. The origins of the umbrella clause in the international law of investment protection.

[105]

Söderlund, C. 2005. *Lis Pendens, Res Judicata and the Issue of Parallel Judicial Proceedings*. 22, 4 (2005), 301–322.

[106]

Sornarajah, M. and British Institute of International and Comparative Law 2007. The Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard: Whose Treatment? Whose Equity? Investment treaty law: current issues II: nationality and investment treaty claims, fair and equitable treatment in investment treaty law. F. Ortino, ed. British Institute of International and Comparative Law.

[107]

Sornarajah, M. and MyiLibrary 2017. The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press.

[108]

Sornarajah, M. and MyiLibrary 2017. The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press.

[109]

Sornarajah, M. and MyiLibrary 2017. The international law on foreign investment.

Cambridge University Press.

[110]

Sornarajah, M. and MyiLibrary 2017. The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press.

[111]

Sornarajah, M. and MyiLibrary 2017. The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press.

[112]

Sornarajah, M. and MyiLibrary 2017. The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press.

[113]

Sornarajah, M. and MyiLibrary 2017. The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press.

[114]

Sornarajah, M. and MyiLibrary 2017. The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press.

[115]

Sornarajah, M. and MyiLibrary 2017. The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press.

[116]

Sornarajah, M. and MyiLibrary 2017. The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press.

[117]

Sornarajah, M. and MyiLibrary 2017. The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press.

[118]

Sornarajah, M. and MyiLibrary 2017. The international law on foreign investment. Cambridge University Press.

[119]

Stern, B. Are There New Limits on Access to International Arbitration. ICSID Review. 25, 1.

[120]

Stern, B. 2010. The Contours of the Notion of Protected Investment. ICSID Review. 24, 2 (2010).

[121]

Thirlway, H. 2018. The Sources of International Law. International law. Oxford University Press. 91-117.

[122]

Thomas Waelde 2008. Compensation, Damages and Valuation. The Oxford handbook of international investment law. Oxford University Press. 1049-1124.

[123]

UNCITRAL Transparency Rules applied for the first time in investor-State arbitration | Herbert Smith Freehills:
<http://hsfnotes.com/publicinternationallaw/2015/10/26/uncitral-transparency-rules-applied-for-the-first-time-in-investor-state-arbitration/>.

[124]

Van Harten, G. 2007. Chapter 7, The businessman's court. Investment treaty arbitration and public law. Oxford University Press. 152–184.

[125]

Van Harten, G. 2007. Investment treaty arbitration and public law. Oxford University Press.

[126]

Vandeveld, Kenneth J. 2010. A Unified Theory of FET. New York University Journal of International Law and Politics. 43, (2010).

[127]

Vandeveld, K.J. 2010. Bilateral investment treaties: history, policy, and interpretation. Oxford University Press.

[128]

Vargiu, Paolo 2009. Beyond Hallmarks and Formal Requirements: A Jurisprudence Constante on the Notion of Investment in the ICSID Convention. Journal of World Investment & Trade. 10, (2009).

[129]

Waelde, T. and Kolo, A. 2001. Environmental Regulation, Investment Protection and Regulatory Taking in International Law. International and Comparative Law Quarterly. 50, (2001).

[130]

Wallace, D. 2005. FET and Denial of Justice: Loewen v US and Chattin v Mexico. International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law. Cameron May. 669–700.

[131]

Weiler, T. 2005. Good Faith and Regulatory Transparency: The Story of Metalclad v. Mexico. *International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law*. Cameron May. 701–745.

[132]

Weiler, T. 2005. Saving Oscar Chin-Non-Discrimination in International Investment Law. *International investment law and arbitration: leading cases from the ICSID, NAFTA, bilateral treaties and customary international law*. Cameron May. 557–595.

[133]

Wong, Jarrod 2006. Umbrella Clauses in Bilateral Investment Treaties: Of Breaches of Contract, Treat Violations, and the Divide between Developing and Developed Countries in Foreign Investment Disputes. *George Mason Law Review*. 14, (2006).

[134]

Yala, F. 2005. The Notion of "Investment" in ICSID Case Law: A Drifting Jurisdictional Requirement? Some "Un-Conventional Thoughts" on Salini, SGS and Mihaly. *Journal of International Arbitration*. 22 (2005), 105–126.

[135]

Yannaca-Small, K. 2008. FET Standard: Recent Developments. *Standards of investment protection*. Oxford University Press. 113–141.

[136]

Yannaca-Small, K. Interpretation of the Umbrella Clause in Investment Agreements, OECD/OCDE Directorate for Financial and Enterprise Affairs, Working Papers on International Investment, Number 2006/3.

[137]

Ziegler, A.R. and Gratton, L.-P. 2008. Investment Insurance. *The Oxford handbook of international investment law*. Oxford University Press. 524–548.

[138]

ADF Group v United States of America (2003), ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/1, Award, 9 January 2003, 19 ICSID Review-FILJ (2003) 195, 6 ICSID Reports 470.

[139]

ADF Group v United States of America, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/1, Award, 9 January 2003, 18 ICSID Review-FILJ (2003) 195.

[140]

1AD. Agreement between the Government of the Sultanate of Oman and the Government of the Republic of Austria for the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments, Muscat.

[141]

1AD. Agreement between the Government of the Sultanate of Oman and the Government of the Republic of Austria for the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments, Muscat.

[142]

1AD. Agreement between the Government of the Sultanate of Oman and the Government of the Republic of Austria for the Promotion and Reciprocal Protection of Investments, Muscat.

[143]

Aguas Argentinas S.A., Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and Vivendi Universal S.A. v The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19), Order in response to a Petition for Transparency and Participation as Amicus Curiae, 19 May 2005.

[144]

Aguas del Tunari S.A. v Republic of Bolivia (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/3), Decision on Jurisdiction, 21 October 2005.

[145]

Aguas Provinciales de Santa Fe S.A., Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and InterAguas Servicios Integrales del Agua S.A. v The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17), Order in response to a Petition for Participation as Amicus Curiae, 17 March 17 2006.

[146]

Alex Genin, Eastern Credit, Inc., and AS Baltoil v The Republic of Estonia [Genin v Estonia], ICSID Case No. ARB/99/2, Award of 25 June 2001, 17 ICSID Review – FLIJ (2002).

[147]

American Machine Tools (AMT) v Republic of Zaire, ICSID Case No. ARB/93, Award, 21 February 1997, 36 ILM (1997) 1531.

[148]

Antoine Goetz and others v Republic of Burundi (1999), ICSID Case No. ARB/95/3, 10 February 1999 (French text only), (2000)15 ICSID Review–FILJ 457.

[149]

19AD. Articles of Agreement of the Islamic Corporation for the Insurance of Investment and Export Credit (ICIEC).

[150]

Asian Agricultural Products (AAPL) v Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3, Award, 27 June 1990, 30 ILM (1991) 577, 4 ICSID Reports 245.

[151]

Asian Agricultural Products (AAPL) v Republic of Sri Lanka, ICSID Case No. ARB/87/3, Award, 27 June 1990, 30 ILM (1991) 577, 4 ICSID Reports 245.

[152]

Asian Agricultural Products Ltd. (AAPL) v. Republic of Sri Lanka, 30 ILM (1991), ¶580-655, per dissenting opinion of the Arbitrator, Asante.

[153]

Azurix Corp. v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, Award of 14 July 2006.

[154]

Azurix v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, Award, 14 July 2006.

[155]

Azurix v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12 Award, 14 July 2006.

[156]

Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi A Ş v Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, Award, 27 August 2009.

[157]

Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi A Ş v Islamic Republic of Pakistan, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, Decision on Jurisdiction, 14 November 2005.

[158]

Bayindir Insaat Turizm Ticaret Ve Sanayi AŞ v Islamic Republic of Pakistan [Bayinder v Pakistan], ICSID Case No. ARB/03/29, Decision on Jurisdiction, 14 November 2005.

[159]

2007. Bilateral Investment Treaties 1995-2006: Trends in Investment Rulemaking, UNCTAD/ITE/IIA/2006/5. United Nations.

[160]

Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd. v United Republic of Tanzania (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22), Procedural Order No 3, 29 September 2006.

[161]

Biwater Gauff (Tanzania) Ltd. v United Republic of Tanzania (ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22), Procedural Order No 5, 2 February 2007.

[162]

Biwater Gauff v Tanzania, ICSID Case No ARB/05/22, Award, 24 July 2008.

[163]

Biwater Gauff v Tanzania, ICSID Case No ARB/05/22, Award, 24 July 2008.

[164]

Case Concerning the Factory at Chorzów (Germany v Poland), (1927), PCIJ, Ser. A., No. 9.

[165]

Case Concerning the Factory at Chorzów (Germany v Poland), (1927), PCIJ, Ser. A., No. 9.

[166]

Case Concerning the Factory at Chorzów (Germany v Poland), (1927), PCIJ, Ser. A., No. 17, (Judgement on the Merits) (1928).

[167]

Case Concerning the Factory at Chorzów (Germany v Poland), (1927), PCIJ, Ser. A., No. 17, (Judgement on the Merits) (1928).

[168]

Case Summary.

[169]

Československa Obchodní Banka (CSOB) AS v The Slovak Republic (1999), Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction, 24 May 1999, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/4, (2002) 5 ICSID Reports 330.

[170]

Champion Trading v Egypt, Decision on Jurisdiction, 21 October 2003, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/9.

[171]

CME Czech Republic B.V. (The Netherlands) v Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Partial Award, 13 September 2001, 9 ICSID Reports 121.

[172]

CME Czech Republic B.V. (The Netherlands) v Czech Republic, UNCITRAL, Partial Award, 13 September 2001, 9 ICSID Reports 121.

[173]

CME v Czech Republic, Final Award, 14 March 2003, 9 ICSID Reports 264.

[174]

CMS Gas Transmission Co v Republic of Argentina (2003) ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, Award of 12 May 2005, 44 ILM (2005) 1205.

[175]

CMS Gas Transmission Co v Republic of Argentina (2005), ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, (2005) 44 ILM 1205.

[176]

CMS Gas Transmission v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/8, Award, 12 May 2005.

[177]

Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija, S.A. and Vivendi International v Argentina (Vivendi v Argentina), Award, 21 November 2000.

[178]

Compañía de Aguas del Aconquija, S.A. and Vivendi International v Argentina (Vivendi v Argentina) Decision on Annulment, 3 July 2002, (2002) 41 ILM 1135.

[179]

Compañía del Desarrollo de Santa Elena SA v The Republic of Costa Rica (2000), ICSID Case No. ARB/96/1, 17 February 2000, 15 ICSID Review: FILJ (2000).

[180]

Compañía del Desarrollo de Santa Elena SA v The Republic of Costa Rica (2000), ICSID Case No. ARB/96/1, 17 February 2000, 15 ICSID Review: FILJ (2000).

[181]

Convention Establishing the Inter-Arab Investment Guarantee Corporation (IAIGC).

[182]

11AD. Convention Establishing the Multilateral Investment Guarantee Agency, 1508 U.N.T.S. 99.

[183]

Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of

Other States (1965), as amended, 575 UNTS 159, 4 ILM 524 (1966).

[184]

Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (1965), as amended, 575 UNTS 159, 4 ILM 524 (1966).

[185]

Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (1965), as amended, 575 UNTS 159, 4 ILM 524 (1966).

[186]

Convention on the Settlement of Investment Disputes between States and Nationals of Other States (1965), as amended, 575 UNTS 159, 4 ILM 524 (1966).

[187]

Desert Line Projects LLC v The Republic of Yemen, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/17. Award of 6 February 2008, (2009) 48 ILM 82.

[188]

Elettronica Sicola SpA (ELSI) (United States of America v Italy), [1989] ICJ Rep. 15.

[189]

Elettronica Sicola SpA (ELSI) (United States of America v Italy), [1989] ICJ Rep. 15.

[190]

Emilio Agustín Maffezini v The Kingdom of Spain, ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction, 25 January 2000, 5 ICSID Reports or (2001) 40 ILM 1129.

[191]

Emilio Augustin Maffezini v Kingdom of Spain (ICSID Case No. ARB/97/7), Decision on Jurisdiction, 25 January 2000.

[192]

Enron Corporation and Ponderosa Assets, L.P. v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/3, Decision on Jurisdiction, 14 January 2004.

[193]

2012. Fair and Equitable Treatment, UNCTAD Series on Issues in International Investment Agreements II. UNCTAD.

[194]

Fakes v Republic of Turkey, Award, 14 July 2010, ICSID Case No., ARB/07/20.

[195]

Fakes v Republic of Turkey, Award of 14 July 2010, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/20.

[196]

Fedax NV v The Republic of Venezuela, Decision on Objections to Jurisdiction, 11 July 1997 (1997) ICSID Case No. ARB/96/3; (2002) 5 ICSID Reports 183.

[197]

Feldman v Mexico [also known as Karpa (Marvin Roy Feldman) (CEMSA) v United Mexican States], ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/99/1, Award of 16 December 2002, (2003) 42 ILM 625.

[198]

Feldman v Mexico, ICSID Case No. Arb(AF)/99/1, Award of 16 December 2002.

[199]

Feldman v Mexico, ICSID Case No. Arb(AF)/99/1, Award of 16 December 2002.

[200]

Fraport AG Frankfurt Airport Services Worldwide v. The Republic of the Philippines, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/25, Award, 16 August 2007.

[201]

Generation Ukraine Inc. v Ukraine, Award, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/9, 16 September 2003, 44 ILM (2005) 404.

[202]

Glamis Gold, Ltd. v United States, UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 8 June 2009.

[203]

Glamis Gold, Ltd. v United States, UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 8 June 2009.

[204]

HOCHTIEF AG v Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/31), Decision on Jurisdiction, 24 October 2011.

[205]

22AD. IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration.

[206]

22AD. IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration.

[207]

10AD. ICSID Rules of Procedure for Arbitration Proceedings (Arbitration Rules).

[208]

10AD. ICSID Rules of Procedure for Arbitration Proceedings (Arbitration Rules).

[209]

10AD. ICSID Rules of Procedure for Arbitration Proceedings (Arbitration Rules).

[210]

Inceysa Vallisoletana S.L. v El Salvador, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/26, Award, 2 August 2006.

[211]

Joy Mining Machinery Limited v The Arab Republic of Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/11, Award on Jurisdiction, 6 August 2004, 19 ICSID Review-FILJ (2004), 486.

[212]

Loewen Group, Inc. and Raymond L. Loewen v United States of America ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/98/3, (2003), Award, 26 June 2003, (2003) 42 ILM 811.

[213]

Malaysian Historical Salvors SDN BHD v The Government of Malaysia, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/10), Award on Jurisdiction, 17 May 2007.

[214]

Metalclad v The United States of Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1 (2003) 5 ICSID Reports 209.

[215]

Metalclad v The United States of Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1, Award, 30 August 2000, 5 ICSID Reports 209, (2001) 40 ILM 36.

[216]

Metalclad v The United States of Mexico, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/97/1, Award, 30 August 2000, 5 ICSID Reports 212.

[217]

Methanex Corporation v United States of America (2005), NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Award, 3 August 2005 44 ILM (2005), 1345.

[218]

Methanex Corporation v United States of America (2005), UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 3 August 2005 44 ILM (2005) 1345.

[219]

Methanex Corporation v United States of America (2005), UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 3 August 2005 44 ILM (2005) 1345.

[220]

Methanex Corporation v United States of America, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Decision of the Tribunal on Petitions from Third Persons to intervene as 'Amici Curiae', 15 January 2001.

[221]

Methanex Corporation v United States of America, UNCITRAL/NAFTA, Award, 3 August 2005, (2005) 44 ILM 1345.

[222]

Middle East Cement Shipping and Handling, Co, v Arab Republic of Egypt (2002), ICSID Case No. ARB/99//6, 12 April 2002, 7 ICSID Reports 178.

[223]

Middle East Cement Shipping and Handling, Co. v Arab Republic of Egypt, Award, 12 April 2002, ICSID Case No. ARB/99/6.

[224]

Mondev International Ltd v United States of America, Final Award ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/99/2, 11 October 2002, (2003) 42 ILM, 85.

[225]

Most-Favoured-Nation Treatment in International Investment Law, OECD/OCDE, Directorate for Financial and Enterprise Affairs, Working Papers on International Investment, No. 2004/2.

[226]

MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile S.A.. (MTD) v Chile, Award, 25 May 2004, 12 ICSID Reports 6.

[227]

MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile S.A.. (MTD) v Chile, Award, 25 May 2004, 12 ICSID Reports 6.

[228]

MTD Equity Sdn. Bhd. and MTD Chile S.A. (MTD) v Chile [MTD v Chile] Award, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/7, 25 May 2004, 12 ICSID Reports 6.

[229]

2000. National Treatment, UNCTAD/ITE/IIT/11 (Vol. IV in UNCTAD Series on Issues in International Investment Agreements).

[230]

1994. North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).

[231]

1994. North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA).

[232]

Occidental Exploration and Production Company v Ecuador, Award, 1 July 2004, 12 ICSID Reports 59.

[233]

Occidental Exploration and Production Company v Ecuador, LCIA/UNCITRAL, Final Award, 1 July 2004.

[234]

Occidental Exploration and Production Company v Republic of Ecuador, LCIA Case No. UN3467, 1 July 2004, (United States/Ecuador BIT).

[235]

2006. OECD Risk Awareness Tool for Multinational Enterprises in Weak Governance Zones. OECD.

[236]

Olguín v Republic of Paraguay, Award, 26 July 2001, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/5.

[237]

Parkerings-Compagniet AS v Lithuania, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/8, ICSID Case No. ARB/05/8, Award, 11 September 2007.

[238]

Parkerings-Compagniet AS v Republic of Lithuania (Parkerings v Lithuania), ICSID Case No. ARB/05/8), Award, 11 September 2007.

[239]

Plama Consortium Limited v Republic of Bulgaria (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/24), Decision on Jurisdiction, 8 February 2005.

[240]

Pope & Talbot v Government of Canada, Award on Damages, 31 May 2002, 41 ILM (2002), 1347.

[241]

Pope & Talbot v Government of Canada, Award on Merits, 10 April 2001, 122 International Law Reports (2002), 352.

[242]

Pope & Talbot v Government of Canada, UNICTRAL/NAFTA Award on Merits, 10 April 2001, (2002) 41 ILM 1347.

[243]

Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts, 2001, text adopted by the International Law Commission at its 53rd Session, 2001, reproduced as an annex to General Assembly Resolution 56/83 of 12 December 2001 (ILC Draft Articles on State Responsibility).

[244]

10AD. Rules Governing the Additional Facility for the Administration of Proceedings by the Secretariat of ICSID.

[245]

10AD. Rules Governing the Additional Facility for the Administration of Proceedings by the Secretariat of ICSID.

[246]

10AD. Rules Governing the Additional Facility for the Administration of Proceedings by the Secretariat of ICSID.

[247]

Rumeli Telekom A.S. and Telesim Mobil Telekomkaysyan Hizmetleri A.S. v Kazakhstan [Rumeli v Kazakhstan] ICSID Case No. ARB/05/16, Award, 29 July 2008.

[248]

S D Myers Inc. v Government of Canada, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, First Partial Award, 13 November 2000, 40 ILM (2001), 1408.

[249]

S D Myers Inc. v Government of Canada, UNICTRAL/NAFTA, First Partial Award, 13 November 2000, 40 ILM (2001) 1408.

[250]

Salini Costruttori S.p.A. and Italstrade S.p.A. v Kingdom of Morocco, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/4, Decision on Jurisdiction, 23 July 2001, 42 I.L.M. 609 (2003).

[251]

Salini Costruttori SpA and Italstrade SpA v Kingdom of Morocco, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/4, Decision on Jurisdiction, 16 July 2001, (2003) 42 ILM 609.

[252]

Saluka Investments B.V. v Czech Republic, Partial Award, 17 March 2006.

[253]

SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v Islamic Republic of Pakistan (ICSID Case No. ARB/01/13), Decision on Jurisdiction, 6 August 2003.

[254]

SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v Republic of Paraguay (ICSID Case No. ARB/07/29), Decision on Jurisdiction, 12 February 2010.

[255]

SGS Société Générale de Surveillance SA v Republic of the Philippines (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/6), Decision on Jurisdiction, 29 January 2004.

[256]

Siemens A.G. v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8), Award of the Tribunal, 6 February 2007.

[257]

Siemens AG v Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8), Decision on Jurisdiction, 3 August 2004.

[258]

Siemens v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8, Award 6 February 2007.

[259]

Siemens v Argentina, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/8, Award 6 February 2007.

[260]

Soufraki v United Arab Emirates, Award of 7 July 2004, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/7.

[261]

Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and InterAguas Servicios Integrales del Agua SA v The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17, Decision on Liability, 30 July 2010.

[262]

Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and Vivendi Universal S.A. v The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19), Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona S.A., and InterAguas Servicios Integrales del Agua S.A. v The Argentine Republic (ICSID Case No. ARB/03/17) and AWG Group Ltd v The Argentine Republic, Decision on a Second Proposal for the Disqualification of a Member of the Arbitral Tribunal, 12 May 2008.

[263]

2000. Taking of Property, UNCTAD Series on issues in international investment agreements, UNCTAD/ITE/IIT/15. UNCTAD.

[264]

Técnicas Medioambientales TECMED SA v Estados Unidos Mexicanos (2004), ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/2, (2004) 43 ILM 133.

[265]

Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed (TECMED) S.A. v Mexico, Award, 29 May 2003, 43 ILM (2004) 133.

[266]

Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed (TECMED) S.A. v Mexico, Award, 29 May 2003, 43 ILM (2004) 133.

[267]

Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed (TECMED) SA v Mexico, Award, 29 May 2003, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/00/2, (2004) 43 ILM 133.

[268]

Telenor Mobile Communications A.S. (Telenor) v Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/04/15, Award, 13 September 2006, (2006) 21 ICSID Review- FILJ 603.

[269]

Thunderbird v Mexico [also known as International Thunderbird Gaming Corporation v United Mexican States, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Award, 26 January 2006.

[270]

Tokios Tokelės v Ukraine, ICSID Case No. ARB/02/18, Decision on Jurisdiction, 29 April 2004, (2005) 20 ICSID Review-FILJ 205.

[271]

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US Model BIT 2012).

[272]

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US Model BIT 2012).

[273]

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US Model BIT 2012).

[274]

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US Model BIT 2012).

[275]

Treaty between the Government of the United States of America and the government of [Country] Concerning the Encouragement and Reciprocal Protection of Investment (US Model BIT 2012).

[276]

10AD. UN Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards (New York Convention).

[277]

UN Convention on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration.

[278]

17AD. UN Convention on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration (Mauritius Convention).

[279]

UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules (with new Article 1(4), as adopted in 2013).

[280]

UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration.

[281]

UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency in Treaty-based Investor-State Arbitration.

[282]

United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Arbitration Rules as revised in 2013.

[283]

United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) Arbitration Rules as revised in 2013.

[284]

United Parcel Service of America Inc. v Government of Canada, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Decision of the Tribunal on Petitions for Intervention and Participation as Amici Curiae, 17 October 2001.

[285]

United Parcel Service of America Inc. v Government of Canada, NAFTA/UNCITRAL, Further Order on Amicus Submissions, 1 August 2003.

[286]

United Parcel Services (UPS) Ltd. v CanadaPost (2003) NAFTA Chapter 11, Award, 24 May 2007.

[287]

United Parcel Services (UPS) Ltd. v CanadaPost (2003) NAFTA Chapter 11, Decision on Jurisdiction, 22 November 2002.

[288]

Waste Management Inc. v Mexico, ICSID Case No ARB(AF)/00/3, Final Award, 30 April 2004, (2004) 43 ILM 967.

[289]

Wena Hotels v Egypt, ICSID Case No. ARB/98/4, Award, 8 December 2000, (2002) 41 ILM 896.

[290]

World Duty Free v Republic of Kenya, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/7, Award, 4 October 2006, paras. 138-157.