

M33150 Issues in Company Law

[View Online](#)

1.

Ji Lian Yap. Apparent authority: doctrinal underpinnings and competing policy goals. *Journal of Business Law*.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?src=doc&linktype=ref&context=24&crumb-action=replace&docguid=IE97F1CB0631D11E38A27AA5F4FED467D>

2.

Worthington S, Sealy LS. *Sealy and Worthington's Text, Cases, and Materials in Company Law*. 11th ed. Oxford University Press; 2016.

3.

Worthington S, Sealy LS. *Sealy and Worthington's Text, Cases, and Materials in Company Law*. 11th ed. Oxford University Press; 2016.

4.

Davies PL, Hare C, Worthington S. *Gower's Principles of Modern Company Law*. 11th ed. Sweet & Maxwell; 2021.

5.

Kershaw D. *Company Law in Context: Text and Materials*. 2nd ed. Oxford University Press; 2012.

6.

Salomon v Salomon & Co Ltd [1897] A.C. 22 1896 WL 4725.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2KtmYGtmSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

7.

Petrodel Resources Ltd v Prest [2013] UKSC 34.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo5yJn5itm5WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

8.

Chandler v Cape Plc [2012] EWCA Civ 525.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo4iZmZGJm0WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

9.

Re Augustus Barnett & Son Ltd (1986) 2 B.C.C. 98904.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/search/run?ao=o.l1D0AA9361DD211B297BBE2003208A825&ndd=1&sttype=stdtemplate&srguid=&context=13&crumb-action=append&crumb-label=Search+Results&sortid=secondary-ordering&ntocview=l1D0AA9361DD211B297BBE2003208A825&frt=&subkey=&pty=Re+Augustus+Barnett+%26+Son+Ltd+&cit=&term-def=&buttonSearch=Search>

10.

Re Produce Marketing Consortium Ltd (No 2) [1989] BCLC 520.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGJn1yZm1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

11.

Re Hydrodan (Corby) Ltd [1994] B.C.C. 161.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXmtmZydIIOuDYl2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

12.

Easterbrook, Frank H. Limited Liability and the Corporation. University of Chicago Law

Review. 1985;52.

http://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/uclr52&div=10&collection=journals&set_as_cursor=0&men_tab

13.

Mevorach, Irit. The Role of Enterprise Principles in Shaping Management Duties at Times of Crisis. *European Business Organization Law Review*. 2013;14(4):471-496.

<http://search.proquest.com/docview/1507766348/500AEB462BE04804PQ/1?accountid=8018>

14.

Worthington S, Sealy LS. *Sealy and Worthington's Text, Cases, and Materials in Company Law*. 11th ed. Oxford University Press; 2016.

<https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=c245497c-9d79-e611-80c6-005056af4099>

15.

Meridian Global Funds Management Asia Ltd v Securities Commission [1995] 2 AC 500.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXiJnYedlIOuDYL2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

16.

Jetivia SA v Biltal (UK) Ltd (In Liquidation) [2015] UKSC 23.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXajNJaJnWCdlIOuDYL2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa/bilta-uk-ltd-in-liq-v-nazir>

17.

Freeman & Lockyer v Buckhurst Park Properties (Mangal) Ltd [1964] 2 QB 480.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/e7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloXadn2edn2ydIOuDYL2CKL2y0L2BULezlOdm9baa>

18.

British Bank of the Middle East v Sun Life Assurance of Canada (UK) Ltd [1983] BCLC 78.

<https://plus.lexis.com/api/permalink/025c7dab-1f4f-4ab3-bdf2-2fe39fbe4fb8/?context=100>

1073&federationidp=P48HCM59638

19.

Criterion Properties Plc v Stratford UK Properties LLC [2004] UKHL 28.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo0ydoWWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

20.

Braymist Ltd v Wise Finance Co Ltd [2002] Ch 273.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2adnYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

21.

Ji Lian Yap. Apparent authority: doctrinal underpinnings and competing policy goals. Journal of Business Law.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?src=doc&linktype=ref&context=24&crumb-action=replace&docguid=IE97F1CB0631D11E38A27AA5F4FED467D>

22.

Lim E. Attribution in Company Law. The Modern Law Review. 2014;77(5):794-807.
doi:10.1111/1468-2230.12091

23.

Re Liberty International Ltd [2010] 2 BCLC 665.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo3ijn5utm1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

24.

Re Ratners Group Plc [1988] BCLC 685.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGtn4qZm1WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

25.

Cumbrian Newspapers Group Ltd v Cumberland & Westmoreland Printing Ltd [1987] Ch 1.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qto0edoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

26.

House of Fraser v ACGE Investments Ltd [1987] AC 387, HL.
<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qtoXGtoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

27.

43 of 1970Chancery Division15 December 1970 Megarry J.1970 Oct. 26, 27, 28; Dec. 15.(c) Incorporated Council of Law Reporting for England & Wales. Re Holders Investment [1971] 1 W.L.R. 583. *583 In re Holders Investment Trust Ltd.
<https://signon.thomsonreuters.com/federation/UKF?entityID=https://idp.nottingham.ac.uk/shibboleth&returnto=https://uk.westlaw.com/Document/IC2B8F650E42711DA8FC2A0F0355337E9/View/FullText.html>

28.

Ferran E. Creditors' interests and 'core' company law. Company Lawyer. 1999;20.
<https://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?access-method=toc&src=toce&docguid=IA1699841E72111DA9D198AF4F85CA028&crumb-action=append&context=13>

29.

Progress Property Co Ltd v Moore and Another [2011] 2 BCLC 322, SC.
<https://plus.lexis.com/api/permalink/53fef0c2-aeff-4b61-84a8-fb267ef54ca0/?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>

30.

Bairstow v Queens Moat Houses Plc [2001] 2 BCLC 513.
<https://plus.lexis.com/api/document/collection/uk/id/4FXM-YJH0-TWW4-21F7-00000-00?context=1001073&federationidp=P48HCM59638>

31.

Re Paycheck Services 3 Ltd v Holland [2011] 1 BCLC 141.

<http://www.lexisnexis.com/uk/legal/docview/getDocForCuiReq?Ini=5F05-68G1-F00Y-N2W2&csi=417192&oc=00240&perma=true&elb=t>

32.

Charterhouse Investment Trust Ltd v Tempest Diesels Ltd [1986] BCLC 1.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYGdn5Gto3WlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

33.

Chaston v SWP Group Ltd [2003] 1 BCLC 675.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjloYCto0utoZWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

34.

Dyment v Boyden [2004] EWCA Civ 1586.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/d7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo0KtoYWlikvNCPnhzPngDP9MBjrMi6atF>

35.

Brady v Brady [1988] 2 WLR 1308.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2qdo4uZnSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

36.

Carney v Herbert [1985] BCLC 140.

<https://www.justcite.com/Document/f7jsrUrxA0LxsKjlo2udmXydoSils1jxAZrwAJrxAV5wsKjloW0ha>

37.

Jonathan Rickford. Legal approaches to restricting distributions to shareholders: balance sheet tests and solvency tests. European Business Organization Law Review.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?src=doc&linktype=ref&context=24&crumb-action=replace&docguid=I3FCFBD10721A11E093D2C583C139DB>

77

38.

Simon Mercouris. The prohibition on financial assistance: the case for a commercially pragmatic interpretation. Company Lawyer.

<http://login.westlaw.co.uk/maf/wluk/app/document?src=doc&linktype=ref&context=24&crumb-action=replace&docguid=ICE4C0520590311E491DC8117BD56A4EC>