Introduction to the Learning Sciences (EDUC4089) (XX4W27)



1

Moore, J. Behaviorism. The Psychological Record 61, 449–463 (2011).

2.

Smith III, J. P., diSessa, A. A. & Roschelle, J. Misconceptions Reconceived: A Constructivist Analysis of Knowledge in Transition. Journal of the Learning Sciences **3**, 115–163 (1994).

3.

On Conceptual Metaphor and the Flora and Fauna of Mind: Commentary on Brookes and Etkina; and Jeppsson, Haglund, and Amin. On Conceptual Metaphor and the Flora and Fauna of Mind: Commentary on Brookes and Etkina; and Jeppsson, Haglund, and Amin.

4.

Norman, D. A. Chapter 1, The psychopathology of everyday things. in The design of everyday things 1–36 (MIT Press, 2013).

5.

diSessa, A. A. & Sherin, B. L. What Changes in Conceptual Change? International journal of science education **20**, 1155–1191 (2006).

Greeno, J. G. & Goldman, S. V. Chapter 7, Cultivating Conceptual Change with Benchmark Lessons. in Thinking practices in mathematics and science learning 155–188 (Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1998).

7.

Norman, D. A. Twelve Issues for Cognitive Science. Cognitive Science 4, 1-32 (1980).

8.

Miller, G. A. The cognitive revolution: a historical perspective. Trends in Cognitive Sciences **7**, 141–144 (2003).

9.

L.S. Vygotskiĭ. Chapter 6, Interaction between learning and development. in Mind in society: the development of higher psychological processes 79–91 (Harvard University Press, 1978).

10.

Crowley, K. et al. Shared scientific thinking in everyday parent-child activity. Science Education **85**, 712–732 (2001).

11.

Crowley, K. et al. Shared scientific thinking in everyday parent-child activity. Science Education **85**, 712–732 (2001).

12.

Sherin, B., Reiser, B. J. & Edelson, D. Scaffolding Analysis: Extending the Scaffolding Metaphor to Learning Artifacts. Journal of the Learning Sciences **13**, 387–421 (2004).

13.

Davis, P. et al. "Whoa! We're going deep in the trees!": Patterns of collaboration around an

interactive information visualization exhibit. International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning **10**, 53–76 (2015).

14.

Anderson, J. R., Boyle, C. F. & Reiser, B. J. Intelligent tutoring systems. Intelligent tutoring systems **228**, 456–462 (1985).

15.

Georghiades, P. From the general to the situated: three decades of metacognition. International Journal of Science Education **26**, 365–383 (2004).

16.

Papleontiou-louca, E. The concept and instruction of metacognition. Teacher Development **7**, 9–30 (2003).

17.

Sawyer, R. K. The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences. vol. Cambridge handbooks in psychology (Cambridge University Press, 2014).

18.

Quintana, C., Zhang, M. & Krajcik, J. A Framework for Supporting Metacognitive Aspects of Online Inquiry Through Software-Based Scaffolding. Educational Psychologist **40**, 235–244 (2005).

19.

Azevedo, R. & Hadwin, A. F. Scaffolding Self-regulated Learning and Metacognition – Implications for the Design of Computer-based Scaffolds. Instructional Science **33**, 367–379 (2005).

Edelson, D. C. Learning-for-use: A framework for the design of technology-supported inquiry activities. Journal of Research in Science Teaching **38**, 355–385 (2001).

21.

Palincsar, A. S. & Herrenkohl, L. R. Designing Collaborative Learning Contexts. Theory Into Practice **41**, 26–32 (2002).

22.

Hu-Pei Au, K. Participation Structures in a Reading Lesson with Hawaiian Children: Analysis of a Culturally Appropriate Instructional Event. Anthropology & Education Quarterly $\bf 11$, $\bf 91-115$ (1980).

23.

Loewenberg Ball, D. & Feiman-Nemser, S. Using Textbooks and Teachers' Guides: A Dilemma for Beginning Teachers and Teacher Educators. Curriculum Inquiry **18**, 401–423 (1988).

24

Bruckman, A. Situated Support for Learning: Storm's Weekend With Rachael. Journal of the Learning Sciences **9**, 329–372 (2000).

25.

Cohen, D. K. A Revolution in One Classroom: The Case of Mrs. Oublier. Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis 12, 311–329 (1990).

26.

Delpit, L. D. The Silenced Dialogue: Power and Pedagogy in Educating Other People's Children. Harvard Educational Review **58**, 280–298 (1988).

Henning, J. E., Nielsen, L. E., Henning, M. C. & Schulz, E. U. Designing Discussions: Four Ways to Open Up a Dialogue. The Social Studies **99**, 122–126 (2008).

28.

Herrenkohl, L. R., Palincsar, A. S., DeWater, L. S. & Kawasaki, K. Developing Scientific Communities in Classrooms: A Sociocognitive Approach. Journal of the Learning Sciences 8, 451–493 (1999).

29.

Lee, C. D. Is October Brown Chinese? A Cultural Modeling Activity System for Underachieving Students. American Educational Research Journal **38**, 97–141 (2001).

30.

Lee, C. D. Toward A Framework for Culturally Responsive Design in Multimedia Computer Environments: Cultural Modeling as a Case. Mind, Culture, and Activity **10**, 42–61 (2003).

31.

Lehrer, R. & Shumow, L. Aligning the Construction Zones of Parents and Teachers for Mathematics Reform. Cognition and Instruction **15**, 41–83 (1997).

32.

Lepper, M. R. Motivational Considerations in the Study of Instruction. Cognition and Instruction **5**, 289–309 (1988).

33.

Palincsar, A. S. & Herrenkohl, L. R. Designing Collaborative Learning Contexts. Theory Into Practice **41**, 26–32 (2002).

Rosebery, A. S., Warren, B. & Conant, F. R. Appropriating Scientific Discourse: Findings From Language Minority Classrooms. Journal of the Learning Sciences 2, 61–94 (1992).

35.

Smith, B. K., Frost, J., Albayrak, M. & Sudhakar, R. Facilitating narrative medical discussions of type 1 diabetes with computer visualizations and photography. Patient Education and Counseling **64**, 313–321 (2006).

36.

Patten, J. van, Chao, C.-I. & Reigeluth, C. M. A Review of Strategies for Sequencing and Synthesizing Instruction. Review of Educational Research **56**, 437–471 (1986).

37.

Easterday, M. W., Rees Lewis, D. G. & Gerber, E. M. The logic of the theoretical and practical products of design research. Australasian Journal of Educational Technology (2016) doi:10.14742/ajet.2464.