

Introduction to the Learning Sciences (EDUC4089) (XX4W27)

View Online



1

Moore J. Behaviorism. *The Psychological Record* 2011;**61**:449–63. doi:10.1007/BF03395771

2

Smith III JP, diSessa AA, Roschelle J. Misconceptions Reconciled: A Constructivist Analysis of Knowledge in Transition. *Journal of the Learning Sciences* 1994;**3**:115–63. doi:10.1207/s15327809jls0302_1

3

On Conceptual Metaphor and the Flora and Fauna of Mind: Commentary on Brookes and Etkina; and Jeppsson, Haglund, and Amin. On Conceptual Metaphor and the Flora and Fauna of Mind: Commentary on Brookes and Etkina; and Jeppsson, Haglund, and Amin <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09500693.2015.1025248>

4

Norman DA. Chapter 1, The psychopathology of everyday things. In: *The design of everyday things*. Cambridge, Mass: : MIT Press 2013. 1–36. <https://contentstore.cla.co.uk/secure/link?id=36a46993-9b82-e711-80cb-005056af4099>

5

diSessa AA, Sherin BL. What Changes in Conceptual Change? *International journal of science education* 2006;**20**:1155–91. doi:doi.org/10.1080/0950069980201002

6

Greeno JG, Goldman SV. Chapter 7, Cultivating Conceptual Change with Benchmark Lessons. In: Thinking practices in mathematics and science learning. Mahwah, N.J.: : Lawrence Erlbaum Associates 1998. 155-88. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/reader.action?docID=1166478&ppg=166>

7

Norman DA. Twelve Issues for Cognitive Science. *Cognitive Science* 1980;**4**:1-32. doi:10.1207/s15516709cog0401_1

8

Miller GA. The cognitive revolution: a historical perspective. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2003;**7**:141-4. doi:10.1016/S1364-6613(03)00029-9

9

L.S. Vygotskiĭ. Chapter 6, Interaction between learning and development. In: *Mind in society: the development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, Mass: : Harvard University Press 1978. 79-91. <https://ebookcentral.proquest.com/lib/nottingham/detail.action?docID=3301299>

10

Crowley K, Callanan MA, Jipson JL, et al. Shared scientific thinking in everyday parent-child activity. *Science Education* 2001;**85**:712-32. doi:10.1002/sce.1035

11

Crowley K, Callanan MA, Jipson JL, et al. Shared scientific thinking in everyday parent-child activity. *Science Education* 2001;**85**:712-32. doi:10.1002/sce.1035

12

Sherin B, Reiser BJ, Edelson D. Scaffolding Analysis: Extending the Scaffolding Metaphor to Learning Artifacts. *Journal of the Learning Sciences* 2004;**13**:387–421.
doi:10.1207/s15327809jls1303_5

13

Davis P, Horn M, Block F, et al. "Whoa! We're going deep in the trees!": Patterns of collaboration around an interactive information visualization exhibit. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning* 2015;**10**:53–76.
doi:10.1007/s11412-015-9209-z

14

Anderson JR, Boyle CF, Reiser BJ. Intelligent tutoring systems. *Intelligent tutoring systems* 1985;**228**:456–62. doi:10.1126/science.228.4698.456

15

Georghiades P. From the general to the situated: three decades of metacognition. *International Journal of Science Education* 2004;**26**:365–83.
doi:10.1080/0950069032000119401

16

Papleontiou-louca E. The concept and instruction of metacognition. *Teacher Development* 2003;**7**:9–30. doi:10.1080/13664530300200184

17

Sawyer RK. *The Cambridge handbook of the learning sciences*. 2nd ed. New York: : Cambridge University Press 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139519526>

18

Quintana C, Zhang M, Krajcik J. A Framework for Supporting Metacognitive Aspects of Online Inquiry Through Software-Based Scaffolding. *Educational Psychologist* 2005;**40**:235–44. doi:10.1207/s15326985ep4004_5

19

Azevedo R, Hadwin AF. Scaffolding Self-regulated Learning and Metacognition – Implications for the Design of Computer-based Scaffolds. *Instructional Science* 2005;**33**:367–79. doi:10.1007/s11251-005-1272-9

20

Edelson DC. Learning-for-use: A framework for the design of technology-supported inquiry activities. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching* 2001;**38**:355–85.

21

Palincsar AS, Herrenkohl LR. Designing Collaborative Learning Contexts. *Theory Into Practice* 2002;**41**:26–32. doi:10.1207/s15430421tip4101_5

22

Hu-Pei Au K. Participation Structures in a Reading Lesson with Hawaiian Children: Analysis of a Culturally Appropriate Instructional Event. *Anthropology & Education Quarterly* 1980;**11**:91–115. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3216582>

23

Loewenberg Ball D, Feiman-Nemser S. Using Textbooks and Teachers' Guides: A Dilemma for Beginning Teachers and Teacher Educators. *Curriculum Inquiry* 1988;**18**:401–23. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1179386>

24

Bruckman A. Situated Support for Learning: Storm's Weekend With Rachael. *Journal of the Learning Sciences* 2000;**9**:329–72. doi:10.1207/S15327809JLS0903_4

25

Cohen DK. A Revolution in One Classroom: The Case of Mrs. Oublier. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis* 1990;**12**:311–29. doi:10.3102/01623737012003311

26

Delpit LD. The Silenced Dialogue: Power and Pedagogy in Educating Other People's Children. *Harvard Educational Review* 1988;**58**:280-98. <https://search.proquest.com/docview/212264098?accountid=8018>

27

Henning JE, Nielsen LE, Henning MC, et al. Designing Discussions: Four Ways to Open Up a Dialogue. *The Social Studies* 2008;**99**:122-6. doi:10.3200/TSSS.99.3.122-126

28

Herrenkohl LR, Palincsar AS, DeWater LS, et al. Developing Scientific Communities in Classrooms: A Sociocognitive Approach. *Journal of the Learning Sciences* 1999;**8**:451-93. doi:10.1080/10508406.1999.9672076

29

Lee CD. Is October Brown Chinese? A Cultural Modeling Activity System for Underachieving Students. *American Educational Research Journal* 2001;**38**:97-141. doi:10.3102/00028312038001097

30

Lee CD. Toward A Framework for Culturally Responsive Design in Multimedia Computer Environments: Cultural Modeling as a Case. *Mind, Culture, and Activity* 2003;**10**:42-61. doi:10.1207/S15327884MCA1001_05

31

Lehrer R, Shumow L. Aligning the Construction Zones of Parents and Teachers for Mathematics Reform. *Cognition and Instruction* 1997;**15**:41-83. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3233755>

32

Lepper MR. Motivational Considerations in the Study of Instruction. *Cognition and Instruction* 1988;**5**:289-309. doi:10.1207/s1532690xci0504_3

33

Palincsar AS, Herrenkohl LR. Designing Collaborative Learning Contexts. *Theory Into Practice* 2002;**41**:26–32. doi:10.1207/s15430421tip4101_5

34

Rosebery AS, Warren B, Conant FR. Appropriating Scientific Discourse: Findings From Language Minority Classrooms. *Journal of the Learning Sciences* 1992;**2**:61–94. doi:10.1207/s15327809jls0201_2

35

Smith BK, Frost J, Albayrak M, et al. Facilitating narrative medical discussions of type 1 diabetes with computer visualizations and photography. *Patient Education and Counseling* 2006;**64**:313–21. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2006.03.011

36

Patten J van, Chao C-I, Reigeluth CM. A Review of Strategies for Sequencing and Synthesizing Instruction. *Review of Educational Research* 1986;**56**:437–71. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1170341>

37

Easterday MW, Rees Lewis DG, Gerber EM. The logic of the theoretical and practical products of design research. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology* Published Online First: 11 July 2016. doi:10.14742/ajet.2464